

January 2010
Authorisation Phase

*Kusile Railway
Project: Proposed
construction of a
railway line (and
associated
infrastructure) from
the existing railway
(parallel to the N4)
to the Kusile Power
Station*



DEA REF NO: 12/12/20/1488

Proponent: Eskom Generation

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Project: 12202

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Overview of the Proposed Project

The Kusile Power Station, and its infrastructure, including rail and road transportation, received Environmental Authorisation (EA) in March 2008. According to the original planning, sorbent would only be transported by rail to the power station while the roads network would be used for other transportation. However, during the detailed design of the infrastructure, the authorised rail route was deemed not feasible due to some technical challenges. The planning process also showed that the rail construction and operation would not be ready when the first generation unit comes into operation thus necessitating an alternative sorbent transportation mechanism. Road transportation was deemed an appropriate *temporary* alternative until the railway is operational.

One of the conditions of the EA (March 2008) for the construction of Kusile Power Station was that it must be fitted with the most advanced air pollution reducing equipment ever installed at a power station in South Africa (Flue Gas Desulphurisation [FGD]). This technology would result in a minimum of 90% of the sulphur dioxide (SO₂) being removed from the power station emissions, bringing it in line with international emission standards.

Alkaline sorbents (materials used to adsorb either liquids or gases) are used for scrubbing flue gases to remove the SO₂. Lime is used on large coal or oil fired boilers as found in power plants, as it is less expensive than sodium hydroxide. Therefore, a sorbent is required to reduce the amount of SO₂ that is emitted. The SO₂ reacts with the calcium in the limestone to form calcium sulphate (CaSO₄) or calcium sulphite (CaSO₃) and CO₂. The source of sorbent would be determined through a commercial process.

Eskom Generation appointed Zitholele Consulting (Pty) Ltd, an independent company, to conduct an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) to evaluate the potential environmental and social impacts of the proposed construction of a railway from the existing Pretoria – Witbank railway to the Kusile Power Station. Three alternative corridors (500 m wide) were identified and are being assessed in this EIA.

Purpose of this Report

This report is the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR), a key component of the environmental authorisation process for the proposed construction of a railway line from the existing Pretoria-Witbank railway line, north of the N4 highway, to the Kusile Power Station for the transportation of sorbent.

This report addresses the requirements for the Impact Assessment Phase for the EIA as outlined in the NEMA regulations. The aim of this Final EIR is to:

- Provide information to the authorities as well as interested and affected parties on the proposed project;

- Provide information regarding alternatives that are being considered;
- Indicate how interested and affected parties have been and are still being afforded the opportunity to contribute to the project, verify that the issues they raised to date have been considered, and comment on the findings of the impact assessments;
- Describe the baseline receiving environment;
- Provide information on the assessing and ranking of the alternatives;
- Provide proposed mitigation measures in order to minimise negative impacts and enhance positive impacts; and
- Present the findings of the Impact Assessment Phase in a manner that facilitates decision-making by the relevant authorities.

Environmental Impact Assessment Process

An EIA for the proposed railway is been undertaken in accordance with the EIA Regulations promulgated in terms of Section 24 (5) of the NEMA. This EIA was undertaken in order to identify environmental issues associated with the proposed project, and determine which issues require further investigation.

To ensure effective public participation in the EIA phase, the Public Participation Process (PPP) was implemented in stages. This process included the identification of, and consultation with all relevant stakeholders, as well as ongoing communication and networking with I&APs throughout the duration of the project. Issues and concerns raised during this process were compiled in an Issues and Response Report (IRR), and included within the Scoping Report, and this Final EIR.

The Draft EIR was available for public review. During the review period, public feedback meetings were held to discuss the draft report. Comments received from the public have been considered in this Final EIR, which is being presented to the DEA for comment, consideration and authorisation.

Conclusion

This section provides a short sensitivity matrix, which compares the three different alternatives corridors and their associated environmental sensitivities. Where an impact is rated between two thresholds, that is, low-moderate, moderate-high or high-very high the rating assigned to the description will lean towards the value assigned to that impact. (i.e. if ranked as 2.9 it will fall within the upper threshold that is the high category as indicated in the matrix below).

TABLE 1-1: ALTERNATIVE SENSITIVITY MATRIX

Sensitivity	RAILWAY CORRIDOR			POWER LINE A			POWER LINE B	
	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative A-(a)	Alternative A-(b)	Alternative B-(a)	Alternative B-(b)	
		Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative A-(a)	Alternative A-(b)	Alternative B-(a)	Alternative B-(b)
BIO-PHYSICAL								
Air	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	
Geology	Moderate	Moderate - High	Low - Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low - Moderate	Low - Moderate	
Topography	Low - Moderate	Moderate	Low-Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	
Soils and Agricultural Potential	Moderate - High	High	Moderate - High	Low	Low	Low	Low	
Surface Water and Wetlands	High	High (most stream crossings)	High	High	Moderate - High	Low - Moderate	Low - Moderate	
Groundwater	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	
Terrestrial Ecology	Moderate	Moderate - High	Moderate	Moderate	Low - Moderate	Low - Moderate	Low - Moderate	
Avi-fauna	Moderate - High	High	Moderate - High	High	High	High	High	

Sensitivity	RAILWAY CORRIDOR			POWER LINE A			POWER LINE B	
	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative A-(a)	Alternative A-(b)	Alternative B-(a)	Alternative B-(b)	
Aquatic Ecology	Moderate	High	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate - Low	Low	Low	
Social	Moderate	Moderate - High	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	
Visual	Moderate	Moderate - High	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	
Risk	Low	Low	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Noise	Low	Moderate - High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	
Traffic	Low	Low - Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	
Heritage	Low	Low	Low (preferred)	Low	Low	Low	Low	
Total Sensitivities	11	16	10	11	9	6	6	

SOCIO-ECONOMIC

CULTURAL



On the basis of the matrix presented above, it is suggested that railway corridor alternative 3 (three) be utilised as the preferred alternative for the proposed railway, access roads and substations (as well as associated infrastructure) and power line corridor A-(b) be utilised as the preferred alternative for the one 88/132kV power line, as these have the least sensitive features associated with the alignments. For the second power line both alternative B-(a) and B-(b) where ranked equally and have few environmental sensitivities therefore either is preferred.

The corridors that were assessed for the railway alternatives were 500 metres in width along the length of the proposed routes. Consequently Alternative 1 and Alternative 3 corridors are immediately adjacent to each other along the property boundaries. This being said it is preferable to construct the railway line along this boundary to minimise the impact on the landowners as little to no land will be lost. Therefore the preferred alternative is alternative three.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 WHO IS THE PROPONENT?	1
1.2 INCREASED ELECTRICITY SUPPLY PLAN	1
1.3 KUSILE RAILWAY AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT	1
1.4 CONTEXT OF THIS REPORT	2
1.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT PRACTITIONER (EAP) DETAILS	2
1.6 PROJECT PROGRESS	3
1.7 OBJECTIVES OF THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT PHASE	4
2 LEGAL REQUIREMENTS	5
2.1 THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (ACT 108 OF 1996)	5
2.2 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT (NO 107 OF 1998)	5
2.3 ENVIRONMENT CONSERVATION ACT (ACT NO 73 OF 1989)	9
2.4 ADDITIONAL ACTS AND FRAMEWORKS	9
2.5 ELECTRICITY PLANNING PROCESSES	10
3 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROCESS	14
3.1 SCOPING PHASE	14
3.2 IMPACT ASSESSMENT PHASE	20
4 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	24
4.1 PROPOSED RAILWAY LINE	24
4.2 PROPOSED ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE	28
4.3 MAJOR ACTIVITIES OF THE OVERALL PROJECT (INCLUDING THE EIA)	31
4.4 OVERALL PROJECT SCHEDULE (UP TO CONSTRUCTION)	32
5 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	33
5.1 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	33
5.2 RAILWAY CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVES	34
5.3 POWER LINE AND SUBSTATION ALTERNATIVES	40
5.4 OPERATIONAL ALTERNATIVES	44
5.5 "NO GO" ALTERNATIVE	45
6 BASELINE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT	46
6.1 BIO-PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	46
6.2 SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT	89
6.3 CULTURAL ENVIRONMENT	104
7 IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	115
7.1 SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT	115

7.2	SPATIAL SCALE.....	116
7.3	DURATION SCALE	117
7.4	DEGREE OF PROBABILITY	117
7.5	DEGREE OF CERTAINTY.....	117
7.6	QUANTITATIVE DESCRIPTION OF IMPACTS.....	118
7.7	NOTATION OF IMPACTS.....	118
8	IMPACT ASSESSMENT.....	119
8.1	CONSTRUCTION PHASE	120
8.2	OPERATIONAL PHASE	157
8.3	DECOMMISSIONING PHASE	164
9	ALTERNATIVE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS.....	167
10	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	170
10.1	IMPACT ASSESSMENT SUMMARY	170
10.2	WAY FORWARD	172

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 3-1: TECHNICAL AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS AND ACTIVITIES THAT COMPRISE THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE PROPOSED KUSILE RAILWAY PROJECT.	16
FIGURE 3-2: BACKGROUND INFORMATION DOCUMENTS WERE DISTRIBUTED IN THE AREA.	17
FIGURE 3-3: SITE NOTICE BOARDS WERE PUT UP IN THE STUDY AREA.	18
FIGURE 4-1: EXAMPLE OF A BOTTOM DISCHARGE WAGON.	25
FIGURE 4-2: EXAMPLE OF A TIPPLER WAGON.	25
FIGURE 4-3: EXAMPLE OF THE TRACK DESIGN.	26
FIGURE 4-4: TYPICAL CROSS SECTION OF FORMATION AND TRACK DESIGN.	26
FIGURE 4-5: EXAMPLE OF THE PROPOSED RELAY ROOM.	26
FIGURE 4-6: EXAMPLE OF THE CONTENTS OF A RELAY ROOM.	27
FIGURE 4-7: EXAMPLE OF THE PROPOSED OHTE.	27
FIGURE 4-8: EXAMPLE OF THE PROPOSED SUBSTATION TO BE CONSTRUCTED FOR THE RAILWAY LINE.	29
FIGURE 4-9: EXAMPLE OF A LOW VOLTAGE DISTRIBUTION POWER LINE.	29
FIGURE 4-10: EXAMPLE OF AN ACCESS ROAD.	30
FIGURE 5-1: LOCALITY OF THE THREE RAILWAY LINE CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVES.	35
FIGURE 5-2: LOCALITY OF RAILWAY CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVE 1.	37
FIGURE 5-3: LOCALITY OF RAILWAY CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVE 2.	38
FIGURE 5-4: LOCALITY OF RAILWAY CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVE 3.	39
FIGURE 5-5: LOCALITY OF THE PROPOSED 88/132kV POWER LINE CORRIDOR FOR RAILWAY CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVE 1.	42
FIGURE 5-6: LOCALITY OF THE PROPOSED 88/132kV POWER LINE CORRIDOR FOR RAILWAY CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVE 2.	43
FIGURE 5-7: SCHEMATIC LAYOUT OF THE OPERATION OPTION 1.	44
FIGURE 5-8: SCHEMATIC LAYOUT OF THE OPERATION OPTION 2.	45
FIGURE 6-1: REGIONAL GEOLOGY OF THE AREA.	48
FIGURE 6-2: GEOLOGY OF THE STUDY AREA.	49
FIGURE 6-3: TOPOGRAPHY OF THE AREA.	53
FIGURE 6-4: RIDGES FOUND IN THE AREA.	54
FIGURE 6-5: 3-DIMENSIONAL ILLUSTRATION OF THE STUDY AREA.	55
FIGURE 6-6: SOIL TYPE MAP.	57
FIGURE 6-7: MISPAH SOIL FORM (SOIL CLASSIFICATION, 1991).	58
FIGURE 6-8: GLENROSA SOIL FORM (SOIL CLASSIFICATION, 1991).	58
FIGURE 6-9: CLOVELLY SOIL FORM (SOIL CLASSIFICATION, 1991).	58
FIGURE 6-10: AVALON SOIL FORM (SOIL CLASSIFICATION, 1991).	58
FIGURE 6-11: KROONSTAD SOIL FORM (SOIL CLASSIFICATION, 1991).	59
FIGURE 6-12: SOFT PLINTHIC B-HORIZON.	59
FIGURE 6-13: LONGLANDS SOIL FORM (SOIL CLASSIFICATION, 1991).	59
FIGURE 6-14: WASBANK SOIL FORM (SOIL CLASSIFICATION, 1991).	60
FIGURE 6-15: WESTLEIGH SOIL FORM (SOIL CLASSIFICATION 1991).	60
FIGURE 6-16: KATSPRUIT SOIL FORM (SOIL CLASSIFICATION, 1991).	60
FIGURE 6-17: WILLOWBROOK SOIL FORM (SOIL CLASSIFICATION 1991).	60
FIGURE 6-18: LAND USE MAP OF THE NORTHERN SECTION OF THE AREA.	62
FIGURE 6-19: AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL MAP.	66
FIGURE 6-20: SURFACE WATER AND DRAINAGE FEATURES.	67

FIGURE 6-21: DAMS AND WETLANDS WITHIN THE STREAMS ON SITE (KLIPFONTEINSPRUIT)	68
FIGURE 6-22: WETLANDS DELINEATED AND CLASSIFIED.	72
FIGURE 6-23: WETLAND INTEGRITY.....	73
FIGURE 6-24: VEGETATION TYPES IN THE STUDY AREA.	76
FIGURE 6-25: HYPARRHENIA GRASSLAND.....	77
FIGURE 6-26: ROCKY GRASSLAND.....	78
FIGURE 6-27: ERAGROSTIS PLANA MOIST GRASSLAND.....	79
FIGURE 6-28: SEEPAGE AREA.....	79
FIGURE 6-29: LOCATION OF AQUATIC BIO-MONITORING SITES.....	89
FIGURE 6-30: STUDY AREA INDICATING THE DIRECTLY AFFECTED LANDOWNERS.....	95
FIGURE 6-31: VISUAL IMPACT FROM THE RAIL ALTERNATIVE 1 ALIGNMENT.....	99
FIGURE 6-32: VISUAL IMPACT FROM THE RAIL ALTERNATIVE 2 ALIGNMENT.....	100
FIGURE 6-33: VISUAL IMPACT FROM THE RAIL ALTERNATIVE 3 ALIGNMENT.....	101
FIGURE 6-34: VISUAL IMPACT FROM THE POWER LINE ALTERNATIVE A ALIGNMENT.....	102
FIGURE 6-35: VISUAL IMPACT FROM THE POWER LINE ALTERNATIVE B ALIGNMENT.....	103
FIGURE 6-36: LOCATION OF THE HISTORICAL STRUCTURES IN RELATION TO THE CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVES.....	108
FIGURE 6-37:- HISTORICAL HOUSE 01 IS PART OF FARMSTEAD COMPLEX 01.....	108
FIGURE 6-38: HISTORICAL HOUSE 03 IS SITUATED NEXT TO HH04 AND IS IN A SEVERELY DILAPIDATED CONDITION.....	109
FIGURE 6-39: HH05 AND A RONDAVEL (NOT VISIBLE ON PHOTO) QUALIFY AS HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURES IN THE NORTHERN PART OF THE RAILWAY STUDY AREA.....	109
FIGURE 6-40: HISTORICAL HOUSE 06 DATES FROM THE VICTORIAN/EDWARDIAN PERIOD AND QUALIFIES AS A HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE.....	110
FIGURE 6-41: HISTORICAL GRAVEYARD (GY01) ON ONVERWACHT 265LS WITH TEN GRAVES BELONGING TO COLONIALS WHO SETTLED NEAR THE TRANSPORT ROAD BETWEEN PRETORIA AND BELFAST DURING THE LATE 19 TH CENTURY AND THE EARLY PART OF THE 20 TH CENTURY.....	110
FIGURE 6-42: GY04 NEAR PETRUS NKOSI'S HOME HOLDS AT LEAST SIX UNMARKED GRAVES.....	111
FIGURE 6-43: IN A BLUE GUM BUSH HOLDS SIX GRAVES WHICH ARE DEMARCATED WITH UPRIGHT STONES.....	112
FIGURE 6-44: GY08 HOLDS THE REMAINS OF EIGHT INDIVIDUALS AND IS DEMARCATED WITH A DOLERITE WALL.....	112
FIGURE 6-45: DOLERITE STRUCTURES WHICH WERE PROBABLY USED AS DWELLINGS BY FARM WORKERS DURING THE EARLIER PART OF THE 20 TH CENTURY (ABOVE).....	113
FIGURE 6-46: A SQUARE STRUCTURE CONSTRUCTED WITH DOLERITE WHICH WERE PROBABLY USED AS A CATTLE ENCLOSURE BY FARM WORKERS DURING THE EARLIER PART OF THE 20 TH CENTURY OR PERHAPS EVEN EARLIER.....	113
FIGURE 8-1: GEOTECHNICAL IMPACTS PER SECTION FOR ALTERNATIVE 1.....	124
FIGURE 8-2: GEOTECHNICAL IMPACTS PER SECTION FOR ALTERNATIVE 2.....	126
FIGURE 8-3: GEOTECHNICAL IMPACTS PER SECTION FOR ALTERNATIVE 3.....	128
FIGURE 8-4: CURRENT CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES ON SITE.....	130
FIGURE 10-1: PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES.....	171

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1-1: ALTERNATIVE SENSITIVITY MATRIX	III
TABLE 3-1: ADVERTISEMENTS PLACED DURING THE ANNOUNCEMENT PHASE	18
TABLE 3-2: STAKEHOLDER MEETING AND OPEN HOUSE THAT WAS ADVERTISED AND HELD AS PART OF THE PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD OF THE DRAFT SCOPING REPORT	19
TABLE 3-3: LIST OF PUBLIC PLACES WHERE THE DRAFT SCOPING REPORT WAS MADE AVAILABLE	20
TABLE 1-1: DESIGN CRITERIA FOR THE ALIGNMENT AND SORBENT YARD (UNLOADING)	28
TABLE 1-2: MAJOR ACTIVITIES FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT	31
TABLE 1-3: PRIMARY MILESTONES OF THE KUSILE RAIL LINE	32
TABLE 7-1: LONG TERM TEMPERATURE DATA FOR AREA (AIRSHED, 2006)	46
TABLE 7-2: GEOLOGICAL LITHOLOGIES AND STRATIGRAPHIC UNITS	49
TABLE 7-3: AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL CRITERIA	63
TABLE 7-4: LAND CAPABILITY OF THE REGIONAL SOILS FOR AGRICULTURAL USE	64
TABLE 7-5: NUMBER OF STREAM CROSSINGS PER ALTERNATIVE	68
TABLE 7-6: WETLAND TYPES BASED ON HYDRO-GEOMORPHIC CHARACTERISTICS (KOTZE ET AL, 2007)	70
TABLE 7-7: LEVEL OF SERVICE RATINGS	71
TABLE 7-8: POSSIBLE RED DATA PLANT SPECIES OCCURRING IN THE STUDY AREA	80
TABLE 7-9: ARTHROPODA RECORDED ON SITE	81
TABLE 7-10: REPTILIA RECORDED AT SITE RAILWAY 04	82
TABLE 7-11: AMPHIBIA RECORDED ON SITE	83
TABLE 7-12: MAMMALIA RECORDED AT SITE RAILWAY 04	83
TABLE 7-13: RED DATA FAUNAL SPECIES THAT MAY OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	84
TABLE 7-14: POPULATION GROUPED IN THE WARD	92
TABLE 7-15: HOUSEHOLD GENDER IN THE WARD	92
TABLE 7-16: NUMBER OF MEMBERS PER HOUSEHOLD IN THE WARD	92
TABLE 7-17: EMPLOYMENT STATUS IN THE WARD	92
TABLE 7-18: WORK STATUS IN THE WARD	92
TABLE 7-19: OCCUPATION IN THE WARD	93
TABLE 7-20: EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS IN THE WARD	93
TABLE 7-21: EDUCATION UNGROUPED IN THE WARD	94
TABLE 7-22: DIRECTLY AFFECTED LANDOWNERS	95
TABLE 7-23: COORDINATES FOR HISTORICAL STRUCTURES IN THE PROPOSED RAILWAY STUDY AREA	114
TABLE 7-24: COORDINATES FOR GRAVEYARDS IN THE PROPOSED RAILWAY STUDY AREA	114
TABLE 7-25: COORDINATES FOR DOLERITE STRUCTURES IN THE PROPOSED RAILWAY STUDY AREA	114
TABLE 8-1: QUANTITATIVE RATING AND EQUIVALENT DESCRIPTORS FOR THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	115
TABLE 8-2: DESCRIPTION OF THE SIGNIFICANCE RATING SCALE	116
TABLE 8-3: DESCRIPTION OF THE SIGNIFICANCE RATING SCALE	116
TABLE 8-4: DESCRIPTION OF THE TEMPORAL RATING SCALE	117
TABLE 8-5: DESCRIPTION OF THE DEGREE OF PROBABILITY OF AN IMPACT ACCRUING	117
TABLE 8-6: DESCRIPTION OF THE DEGREE OF CERTAINTY RATING SCALE	117
TABLE 8-7: EXAMPLE OF RATING SCALE	118
TABLE 8-8: IMPACT RISK CLASSES	118
TABLE 9-1: INITIAL IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY	120

TABLE 9-2: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	121
TABLE 9-3: CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	121
TABLE 9-4: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	122
TABLE 9-5: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON GEOTECH – ALTERNATIVE 1.....	124
TABLE 9-6: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON GEOTECH – ALTERNATIVE 2.....	126
TABLE 9-7: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON GEOTECH – ALTERNATIVE 3.....	129
TABLE 9-8: CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON GEOLOGY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	129
TABLE 9-9: INITIAL IMPACT ON TOPOGRAPGY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	130
TABLE 9-10: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON TOPOGRAPHY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	130
TABLE 9-11: CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON TOPOGRAPHY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	130
TABLE 9-12: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON TOPOGRAPHY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	131
TABLE 9-13: INITIAL IMPACT ON SOILS AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	131
TABLE 9-14: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON SOILS AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	132
TABLE 9-15: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON SOILS AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	133
TABLE 9-16: INITIAL IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER AND WETLANDS (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	133
TABLE 9-17: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER AND WETLANDS (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	134
TABLE 9-18: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER AND WETLANDS (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	135
TABLE 9-19: INITIAL IMPACT ON GROUNDWATER (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	135
TABLE 9-20: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON GROUNDWATER (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	135
TABLE 9-21: CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON GROUNDWATER (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	136
TABLE 9-22: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON GROUNDWATER (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	136
TABLE 9-23: INITIAL IMPACT ON TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	137
TABLE 9-24: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	137
TABLE 9-25: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	138
TABLE 9-26: INITIAL IMPACT ON AVI-FAUNA (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	138
TABLE 9-27: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON AVI-FAUNA (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	139
TABLE 9-28: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON AVI-FAUNA (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	139
TABLE 9-29: INITIAL IMPACT ON AQUATIC ECOLOGY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	139
TABLE 9-30: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON AQUATIC ECOLOGY (ALTERNATIVE 1).....	140
TABLE 9-31: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON AQUATIC ECOLOGY (ALTERNATIVE 2).....	140
TABLE 9-32: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON AQUATIC ECOLOGY (ALTERNATIVE 3).....	141
TABLE 9-33: CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON AQUATIC ECOLOGY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	141
TABLE 9-34: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON AQUATIC ECOLOGY (ALTERNATIVE 1).....	142
TABLE 9-35: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON AQUATIC ECOLOGY (ALTERNATIVE 2).....	142
TABLE 9-36: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON AQUATIC ECOLOGY (ALTERNATIVE 3).....	143
TABLE 9-37: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES (ALTERNATIVE 1 AND 2).....	143
TABLE 9-38: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES (ALTERNATIVE 3).....	144
TABLE 9-39: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	145
TABLE 9-40: INITIAL IMPACT ON TRAFFIC (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	145
TABLE 9-41: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON TRAFFIC (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	146
TABLE 9-42: CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON TRAFFIC (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	146
TABLE 9-43: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON TRAFFIC (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	147
TABLE 9-44: INITIAL DEMOGRAPHIC IMPACT (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	148
TABLE 9-45: INITIAL ECONOMIC IMPACT (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	149

TABLE 9-46: INITIAL INSTITUTIONAL AND EMPOWERMENT IMPACT (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	149
TABLE 9-47: INITIAL SOCIO-CULTURAL IMPACT (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	150
TABLE 9-48: ADDITIONAL DEMOGRAPHIC IMPACT (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	150
TABLE 9-49: ADDITIONAL ECONOMIC IMPACT (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	150
TABLE 9-50: ADDITIONAL INSTITUTIONAL AND EMPOWERMENT IMPACT (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	151
TABLE 9-51: ADDITIONAL SOCIO-CULTURAL IMPACT (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	152
TABLE 9-52: INITIAL IMPACT ON NOISE (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	154
TABLE 9-53: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON NOISE (ALTERNATIVE 1 AND 3).....	155
TABLE 9-54: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON NOISE (ALTERNATIVE 2).....	155
TABLE 9-55: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON NOISE (ALTERNATIVE 1 AND 3).....	156
TABLE 9-56: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON NOISE (ALTERNATIVE 2).....	156
TABLE 9-57: DYNAMIC IMPACT TABLE	157
TABLE 9-58: VISUAL IMPACT MATRIX	157
TABLE 9-59: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	158
TABLE 9-60: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER AND WETLANDS (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	159
TABLE 9-61: CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER AND WETLANDS (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	159
TABLE 9-62: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER AND WETLANDS (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	159
TABLE 9-63: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON AVI-FAUNA (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	160
TABLE 9-64: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON AVI-FAUNA (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	160
TABLE 9-65: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON TRAFFIC (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	161
TABLE 9-66: ADDITIONAL DEMOGRAPHIC IMPACT (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	162
TABLE 9-67: ADDITIONAL ECONOMIC IMPACT (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	162
TABLE 9-68: ADDITIONAL INSTITUTIONAL AND EMPOWERMENT IMPACT (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	162
TABLE 9-69: ADDITIONAL SOCIO-CULTURAL IMPACT (ALTERNATIVE 1-3).....	163
TABLE 9-70: ADDITIONAL IMPACT ON NOISE (ALTERNATIVE 1 AND 3).....	163
TABLE 9-71: RESIDUAL IMPACT ON NOISE (ALTERNATIVE 1 AND 3).....	164
TABLE 1-1: ALTERNATIVE SENSITIVITY MATRIX.....	167

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: EIA APPLICATION FORM AND EIA REFERENCE LETTER FROM THE DEA

APPENDIX B: LANDOWNER CONSENT FORMS

APPENDIX C: PROJECT LOCALITY MAP

APPENDIX D: DEA AUTHORISATION REQUIREMENTS FROM FSR

APPENDIX E: INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES DATABASE

APPENDIX F: BACKGROUND INFORMATION DOCUMENT

APPENDIX G: SITE NOTICES

APPENDIX H: NEWSPAPER ADVERTISEMENTS

APPENDIX I: ISSUES AND RESPONSE REPORT

APPENDIX J: MINUTES OF PUBLIC MEETING

APPENDIX K: EMP

APPENDIX L: SPECIALIST STUDIES

ABBREVIATIONS

CaSO ₃	Calcium Sulphite
CaSO ₄	Calcium Sulphate
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
DC	Direct Current
DEIR.....	Draft Environmental Impact Report
DME.....	Department of Minerals and Energy
DSR.....	Draft Scoping Report
DWEA.....	Department of Water and Environmental Affairs
EA	Environmental Authorisation
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
ECA.....	Environment Conservation Act
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
FEIR	Final Environmental Impact Report
FGD.....	Flue Gas Desulphurisation
FSR.....	Final Scoping Report
GNR	Government Notice Regulation
HDI.....	Historically Disadvantaged Individuals
I&APs.....	Interested and Affected Parties
IEM.....	Integrated Environmental Management
IEP.....	Integrated Energy Plan
ISEP.....	Integrated Strategic Electricity Planning
kV	Kilo Volts
MVA.....	Mega Volt Ampere
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act
NERSA	National Energy Regulator of South Africa
NIRP.....	National Integrated Resource Plan
OHTE	Overhead Traction Equipment
SIA	Social Impact Assessment
SO ₂	Sulphur Dioxide
TFR.....	Transnet Freight Rail
ToR.....	Terms of Reference