

ESKOM TRANSMISSIE
MMAMABULA & MATIMBA TRANSMISSION INTEGRATION
PROJECTS
PUBLIEKE VERGADERING / PUBLIC MEETING
14 APRIL 2007: 16:00 – 18:00
KOSHUISSAAL - STEENBOKPAN

Item No.	Bespreking / Discussion	Aksie / Action
1.	<p><i>WELCOME & OPENING / WELKOM & OPENING</i></p> <p>Dr Dawid de Waal het die vergadering ge-open en almal verwelkom. Hy het homself voorgestel as fasiliteerder wat ook as voorsitter sal optree. Hy het genoem dat die voorleggings in Engels gedoen sal word maar dat betrokkenes kan deelnaam in die taal van hulle keuse en hy dan sal vertaal indien nodig.</p> <p><i>Dr Dawid de Waal opened the meeting and welcomed everyone. He indicated that the presentations will be in English but that attendees can ask questions in the language of choice and he will translate as necessary.</i></p> <p>Dr de Waal het die studie span voorgestel. Daar is gewoonlik twee spanne met hierdie tipe projek – die applikant (aansoeker) en die aangestelde konsultant. <i>Dr de Waal introduced the study team. There are two teams on this type of project – the applicant and the appointed consultant.</i></p> <p>Applikant / Applicant:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">> Mamokete Mafumo: Eskom Transmissie – Projek Bestuurder / <i>Eskom Transmission – Project Manager</i>> Mfundi Songo: Eskom Planning> Koos vd Merwe: Eskom Transmissie – Onderhandelaar / <i>Eskom Transmission – Negotiator</i>> Richard Chinzvende: Eskom Distribution <p>Konsultant / Consultant:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">> Moses Mhlangu: Margen Industrial Services – Lead Consultant> Jonel Boonzaaiër: Margen Industrial Services – Publieke Deelname / <i>Public Participation</i>> Solly Mohlala: Margen Industrial Services> Stuart Dunsmore: PBAI – Projek Bestuurder – OIS Proses / <i>Project Manager – EIA Process</i>> Marti Moolman: Afrosearch – Publieke Vergadering Spesialis / <i>Public Meeting Specialist</i>> Dawid de Waal: Afrosearch – Fasiliteerder / <i>Facilitator</i>	

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

Item No.	Bespreking / Discussion	Aksie / Action
2.	<p>INTRODUCTION, MEETING RULES AND PROPOSED AGENDA / INLEIDING, VERGADERING REELS EN VOORGESTELDE AGENDA</p> <p>Die Agenda is aanvaar en is aangeheg in Aanhangsel 1. <i>The Agenda was accepted and is attached in Annexure 1.</i></p> <p><i>Proposed that old-fashioned meeting be held – please work through the chairman when asking questions. If you ask something or make a comment, please say your name as well for the minutes. Please note that everyone will get a chance to ask their questions – this will be a structured meeting according the Agenda. Please keep questions till after the presentations have been completed.</i></p> <p>Daar word voorgestel dat 'n outydse vergadering gehou moet word – werk asseblief deur die voorsitter wanneer vrae gevra word. Indien u iets vra of kommentaar wil lewer, noem asseblief u naam vir die neem van notules. Neem kennis dat almal 'n kans sal kry om vrae te vra – hierdie is 'n gestruktureerde vergadering volgens die Agenda. Hou asseblief die vrae vir na afloop van die voorleggings.</p> <p>Die bywoningsregister is aangeheg as Aanhangsel 2. <i>The Attendance Register is attached as Annexure 2.</i></p>	
3.	<p>DESCRIPTION OF THE EIA PROCESS / BESKRYWING VAN DIE OIS PROSES</p> <p><i>The purpose of the Environmental Impact Process is that when someone wants to carry out certain activities (as defined by the legislation – list of activities) an assessment must be done to determine what the impact of this activity will be on the environment. An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) must be done by an independent consultant. The Applicant cannot do the EIA, but will appoint the consultant and also pay for them. This is tricky, because if the applicant pays for the consultant, how can the consultant be independent. There are various ways to verify this. The consultant must complete a form stating their independence and the consultant also cannot have shares in the Applicant's business or obtain more than a certain % of work from the Applicant.</i></p> <p><i>There are two phases to any EIA – the Scoping Phase and the Environmental Impact Phase. During the Scoping Phase you find out who the people are that might be affected by this project and also then what issues you will investigate in the next phase. A Scoping Report will be drawn up and submitted to the public for comment – then this report will be finalised and submitted to the relevant authority for approval. Once this report has been approved, the following phase will start.</i></p> <p><i>During the EIR phase, the issues as identified in the previous phase will be investigated and further consultation will take place. An EIR will be drawn up</i></p>	

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

Item No.	Bespreking / Discussion	Aksie / Action
	<p><i>and submitted to the public for comment. This report will then be finalised and submitted to the relevant authority.</i></p> <p><i>For these projects, the National Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT) will deliver and RoD (Record of Decision). This decision cannot be made by the applicant and/or the consultant – the department makes the final decision on this, based on the recommendations in the mentioned reports. Will deliver one of 3 types of RoD: a. No, the project cannot continue; b. Yes, the project can continue; c. Yes, the project can continue but with certain conditions.</i></p> <p><i>Once DEAT has taken a decision, stakeholders have 30 days to lodge their appeal. The consultants need to notify all I&APs on the database of the RoD within 10 calendar days of receiving the RoD. The appeal must be substantial – you cannot lodge appeal just because you don't like the RoD – you must have facts. As DEAT delivers the RoD, the appeal will be heard by the Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism.</i></p> <p><i>The public have three ways to give insets to the projects: a. by attending meetings, b. by giving comments on the reports and c. by appealing the RoD.</i></p> <p><i>Please note that 4 projects will be discussed today and not all 4 are in the same phase of the EIA Process.</i></p> <p><i>The consultants also take note of the fact that there are various rumours doing the rounds that Eskom has bought the property for the substation and has therefore decided where the substation should be. This is not the case. No activity is allowed to start unless a positive RoD has been received from the relevant authority.</i></p> <p>Die doel van die Omgewings Impak Proses (OIS) is dat wanneer iemand 'n sekere aktiwiteit wil onderneem (lys van aktiwiteite, soos gedefinieer deur wetgewing) moet 'n ondersoek gedoen word om te bepaal wat die impak van hierdie aktiwiteit op die omgewing gaan wees. 'n OIS moet gedoen word deur 'n onafhanklike konsultant. Die applicant kan nie die OIS doen nie, maar sal 'n konsultant aanstel en ook betaal vir die konsultant. Hierdie kan problematies wees, want hoe kan die konsultant onafhanklik wees indien die applicant daarvoor betaal. Daar is verskeie maniere om hierdie te verifieer: die konsultant moet 'n vorm voltooi wat stel dat hulle onafhanklik is en verder mag die konsultant nie aandeel he in die applicant se besigheid nie en ook nie meer as 'n sekere persentasie werk doen vanaf die applicant nie.</p> <p>Daar is twee fases vir 'n OIS – die Bestekopname Fase en die Omgewingsimpak Fase. Tydens die Bestekopname Fase word daar uitgevind wie die mense is wat potensieel deur hierdie projek geraak kan word en ook dan watter kwessies ondersoek moet word in die volgende fase. 'n</p>	

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

Item No.	Bespreking / Discussion	Aksie / Action
	<p>Bestekopname Verslag word opgetrek en dan beskikbaar gestel aan die publieke vir kommentaar – hierdie verslag word dan gefinaliseer en ingedien by die betrokke departement vir goedkeuring. Wanneer hierdie verslag aanvaar word, kan daar met die volgende fase begin word.</p> <p>Tydens die Omgewingsimpak Fase word die kwessies ondersoek soos in die vorige fase geïdentifiseer en verdere konsultasie vind dan plaas. 'n Omgewingsimpak Verslag word dan opgetrek en beskikbaar gemaak vir publieke kommentaar. Hierdie verslag word dan gefinaliseer en ingedien by die betrokke departement.</p> <p>Vir hierdie projekte, sal die Nasionale Departement van Omgewingsake en Toerisme (DEAT) die RoD (Rekord van Besluitneming) lewer. Hierdie besluit kan nie deur die konsultant en/of die applicant geneem word nie – die DEAT neem die finale besluit gebaseer op aanbevelings van die konsultant. Die departement sal een van drie besluite nie: a. nee die projek kan nie voortgaan nie, b. ja, die projek kan voortgaan; en c. ja, die projek kan voortgaan met sekere voorwaardes.</p> <p>Wanneer DEAT die besluit geneem het, het belanghebbendes 30 dae om appel aan te teken. Die konsultante moet alle geregistreeerde I&APs op die databasis binne 10 kalender dae in kennis stel van die RoD vandat dit ontvang is. Die appel must “substance” he – jy kan appelleer omdat jy nie van die besluit hou nie – daar moet feite wees. Omdat die RoD uitgereik word deur DEAT, sal die Minister van Omgewingsake en Toerisme die appel aanhoor.</p> <p>Die publieke het drie maniere om deel te neem aan die proses: a. deur insette te gee by vergaderings, b. duer kommentaar te lewer op die verslae en c. deur die appel proses.</p> <p>Neem asseblief kennis dat 4 projekte vandag bespreek sal word en dat die projekte nie almal op dieselfde fase is in die OIS proses nie.</p> <p>Die konsultante neem ook kennis van die feit dat daar verskeie “rumours” die rondte doen dat Eskom reeds die grond gekoop het vir Delta substasie en dus besluit het waar die substasie gaan wees. Dit is nie die geval nie. Alvorens n positiewe RoD ontvang is vanaf die betrokke regeringsdepartement mag daar nie begin word met die aktiwiteit nie.</p>	
4.	<p>TECHNICAL PRESENTATIONS / TEGNIESE VOORLEGGINGS</p> <p>PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED PROJECTS / VOORLEGGING VAN VOORGESTELDE PROJEKTE – STUART DUNSMORE</p> <p>NOTA: Die aanbieding is in Engels vervat soos tydens die vergadering.</p>	

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

Item No.	Bespreking / Discussion	Aksie / Action
	<p>There are 4 projects – many have heard quite a bit about two projects – other two projects need to be introduced to you and for us to get comments, and also to give an update on the first two projects.</p> <p>Complaints about not yet knowing where lines and substation will go – we need to keep I&APs involved – for feedback – so your feedback is invaluable to us.</p> <p>5 projects:</p> <p>3 x 400kV transmission power lines from Matimba B PS (Medupi PS) – operational by 2010 – to Marang and Dinaledi SS near Rustenbrug and Brits. 4 x 400kV transmission power lines from Mmamabula PS to Delta ss. 4 x 400kV transmission power lines from Medupi PS to Delta ss and Delta ss 6 x 765kV transmission power lines from Delta ss to Epsilon ss (near Potchefstroom).</p> <p>Please see schematic presentation showing all projects and how they relate to each other, as well as slides showing where each project is in EIA Phase.</p> <p>1. Matimba – Marang and Dinaledi Project: 3 x 400kV transmission power lines from Medupi PS to Marang and Dinaledi Substations Currently in EIA Phase – SR was submitted for public comments during last year – SR to authorities at the end of last year – have now given us feedback and as a result we have now moved into the EIA Phase. EIR is currently being compiled and should be made available by the end of April.</p> <p>It is intended that all the lines will run close to existing infrastructure. This appears to be the route with the least environmental impact, because all development is confined to one area.</p> <p>Once EIR is made available for comment, you will have chance to review the document. We will have meetings again with you to present this.</p> <p>2. Mmamabula-Delta Project: 4 x 400kV transmission power lines from Mmamabula PS to Delta SS Still in the Scoping Phase for this project – at the end of public review and want to submit final SR to authorities by end of next week. Will then move into EIA Phase and specialists will be coming into the field for studies and will be seeing landowners.</p> <p>An Addendum Report was made available for public comment – various alternatives were considered and important to note is that all these alternatives contained in the Addendum Report will be investigated in the following phase. (In fact the entire study area, will be considered during the next phase).</p> <p>3. Matimba B – Delta SS Project: 4 x 400kV transmission power lines from Matimba B PS (Medupi) to Delta SS and Delta SS This project has started and we are currently in the Scoping Phase. This is the first public meetings for this project in this area.</p>	

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

Item No.	Bespreking / Discussion	Aksie / Action
	<p>The Scoping Report, indicating the proposed sites for the substation, will be released for public comment in the next couple of weeks.</p> <p>4 locations have now been identified for Delta SS – Kromdraai (Eskom property), Zandnek (strategic, as this property is at the bend of the existing infrastructure), Minnaarspan (if lines from Mmamabula will be in the northern area, close to the coal-field area) and property north of existing Grootegeluk mine (property belonging to the mine, if lines from Mmamabula will follow northern routes)</p> <p>4. Delta-Epsilon: 6 x 765kV transmission power lines from Delta SS to Epsilon SS</p> <p>This project has started and we are currently in the Scoping Phase. The 765kV lines are biggest in the world and have a servitude of 80m per line. The towers are approximately 55m high. 765kV lines are for long-distance transmission of power.</p> <p>The report phase has not started and meetings will be held once the SR is made available for public comment.</p> <p>The Industrial Corridor is being considered to place all lines alongside each other without any separation distance. We are also considering Eskom's requirement of two lines next to each other, then 2km separation distance, then two lines, another 2km separation distance and then the final two lines. Please note that the 2km separation distance is a minimum distance and could be more.</p> <p>Way Forward:</p> <p>Marang-Dinaledi – EIR for public comment by end of April</p> <p>Mmamabula-Delta – SR finalised to submit to DEAT by end of next week (20 April)</p> <p>Matimba-Delta and Delta SS – SR for public comment in next week or two</p> <p>Delta-Epsilon – SR for public comment in next couple of months (end May)</p> <p>PRESENTATION ON ESKOM SERVITUDE PROCESS / VOORLEGGING VAN ESKOM SERWITUUT PROSES – KOOS VAN DER MERWE</p> <p>Note: This presentation is in Afrikaans as done by Speaker</p> <p>Wie is Eskom en hoe verkry hulle eiendom en regte oor eiendom?</p> <p>Eskom Holdings Ltd bestaan ingevolge die Eskom Conversion Act 13 of 2001. Eskom het net een aandeelhouer en dit is die Staat (Dept of Public Enterprises). Eskom se verskillende groepe, Krag Ontwikkeling, Transmissie en Distribusie het elkeen sy eie lisensie wat deur die Nasionale Energie Reguleerder uitgereik is.</p>	

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

Item No.	Bespreking / Discussion	Aksie / Action
	<p>Eskom Transmissie se lisensie verplig hom om te verseker dat elektrisiteit vanaf die kragstasie vervoer word na alle areas in Suid Afrika vanwaar dit versprei word deur Distribusie. Transmissie moet ook verseker dat die kraglyn netwerk onderhou word om te verseker dat die kwaliteit van voorsiening voldoen aan die voorgeskrewe standard. Transmissie is afhanklik van grondeienaars in Suid Afrika om die lyne oor hulle eiendom te bou ten einde elektrisiteit aan die verbruikers te voorsien.</p> <p>Eskom moet met elke grondeienaar onderhandel wat deur die kraglyn geraak word waarna regte by die Akte kantoor geregistreer moet word. Onderhandelings word gedoen sodat die grond eienaars se regte volgens die konstitusie respekteer word. Eskom is 'n regerings instelling en moet aan al die wetgewing voldoen aangaande die verkryging van regte en die vergoeding daarvoor.</p> <p>Die Elektrisiteits Regulasie Wet, No, 4 van 2006 in afdeling 27 (1) bepaal: (indien GEEN ooreenkoms met die grondeienaar bereik kan word nie) Die Staat mag, om aan die vereistes van die Wet te voldoen, grond of enige reg in, oor of in belang van die eiendom, onteien namens die lisensie houer. Die Konstitusie van Suid Afrika, Wet 108 van 1996, afdeling 25: Eiendom mag onteien word: (2) a vir 'n publieke doelwit of in publieke belang B onderworpe aan vergoeding</p> <p>(3) vergoeding moet regverdig wees en 'n balans skep tussen publieke belang en die belang van die persoon wat geaffekteer word,...</p> <p>Met betrekking tot: Die huidige gebruik van die eiendom; Die geskiedenis van die aankoop en gebruik van die eiendom; Die markwaarde van die eiendom.</p> <p>Die Onteieningswet No. 63 van 1975 Afdeling 12: a. in die geval van enige eiendom, uitsluitend 'n reg, behalwe geregistreerde minerale regte, die som van – i. die bedrag wat die eiendom sou behaal indien dit op die datum van kennisgewing op die ope mark verkoop is aan 'n gewillige koper deur 'n gewillige verkoper; en ii. 'n bedrag om te vergoed vir enige werklike finansiële verlies veroorsaak deur die onteiening; b. in die geval van 'n reg, uitgesluit 'n geregistreerde minerala reg, 'n bedrag om te vergoed vir enige werklike finansiële verlies veroorsaak deur die onteiening of die verkryging van die reg.</p> <p>Opsomming:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eskom word 100% deur die Staat besit en si verplig om 'n noodsaaklike diens te verskaf. • Eskom is verplig om eiendom en regte te bekom soos voorgeskryf deur die wet en dit behels eenmalige vergoeding en nie huur nie. • Waardaseis van die Voorgestelde serwituut word gedoen deur 	

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

Item No.	Bespreking / Discussion	Aksie / Action
	<p>onafhanklike professionele waardeerders wat die gewillige koper en gewillige verkoper waarde bepaal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'n Tweede metode van bepaling van vergoeding is die voor- en na waardasie. • Indien 'n eiendom nie meer ekeonomies bedryf kan word as gevolg van die beoogde Eskom projek nie, sal die eiendom deur Eskom aangekoop word. <p>Please note that the presentations are available on Eskom's website: www.eskom.co.za/eia - and also available on request.</p>	
5.	<p>BESPREKING / DISCUSSION</p> <p>Verskeie kwessies is ge-opper na die aanbiedings en die is in table format vervat in Aanhangsel 3.</p> <p>Various issues were discussed after the presentation and these are in table format in Annexure 3.</p>	
8.	<p>WAY FORWARD AND CLOSURE / PAD VOORENTOE EN SLUITING</p> <p>Die notules van die vergadering sal beskikbaar wees oor 14 dae. Gaan asseblief die notules na. Indien geen veranderings aangebring word, sal die notules soos hierin vervat as finale notules aangedui word.</p> <p>The minutes of the meeting will be available in 14 days. Please read through the minutes – if no changes to the minutes are received the minutes as indicated above will be accepted as the final minutes of the meeting.</p> <p>Dates to remember / Datums om te onthou:</p> <p>Marang-Dinaledi – EIR for public comment by end of April / Omgewingsimpak Verslag beskikbaar vir publieke kommentaar einde April</p> <p>Mmamabula-Delta – SR finalised to submit to DEAT by end of next week (20 April) / Bestekopname Verslag word gefinaliseer vir inhandiging by DEAT einde volgende week (20 April)</p> <p>Matimba-Delta and Delta SS – SR for public comment in next week or two / Bestekopname Verslag vir publieke kommentaar in die volgende week of twee</p> <p>Delta-Epsilon – SR for public comment in next couple of months (end May) / Bestekopname Verslag vir publieke kommentaar in volgende paar maande (einde Mei).</p> <p>Dawid de Waal: What impact will the power line have on overseas visitors – from the Spanish visitors:</p>	

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

Item No.	Bespreking / Discussion	Aksie / Action
	It is not good to have the power lines over a farm – we come to SA to see the Bushveld and do not want to see the power lines.	

AANHANGSEL 1 / ANNEXURE 1: AGENDA

Eskom Matimba & Mmamabula TI Projects

Draft Agenda

DATE / DATUM: 14 April 2007
VENUE / PLEK: Koshuissaal - Steenbokpan
TIME / TYD: 16H00
FACILITATOR / FASILITEERDER: DAWID DE WAAL

AGENDA

1. Welcome & Opening / Welkom & Opening
2. Introduction, Meeting Rules and Proposed Agenda / Inleiding, Vergadering Reels en Voorgestelde Agenda
3. Description of EIA Process / Beskrywing van OIS Proses
4. Technical Presentations / Tegniiese Voorleggings
 - Presentation of Proposed Projects / Voorlegging van Voorgestelde Projekte
 - Presentation on Eskom Servitude Process / Voorlegging van Eskom Serwituut Proses
5. Discussion / Bespreking
6. Way Forward and Closure / Pad Voorentoe en Sluiting

AANHANGSEL 2 / ANNEXURE 2: ATTENDANCE REGISTER / BYWONINGSREGISTER

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

AANHANGSEL 3 / ANNEXURE 3: KWESSIES GE-OPPER TYDENS BESPREKING / ISSUES RAISED DURING DISCUSSION

NO	KWESSIE	KOMMENTATOR	ANTWOORD
1.	<p>Hoeveelste vergadering is hierdie nou vir die projekte?</p> <p>Ek het Woensdag (drie dae terug) die eerste keer kennis gekry van hierdie projekte Die voorgestelde alternatiewe loop deur twee van my plase. Dit is onbillik om 4 dae kennis te gee van voorgenome vergadering en ook dan om te verwag dat ek teen die 16de April (wat minder as 'n week later is) kommentaar moet gee oor die voorgestelde alternatiewe. Verseker beswaar maak – al is dit by die appel proses – nie teen die Voorgestelde ontwikkeling nie, maar wel teen die proses omdat dit onbillik is om te verwag mens moet binne so 'n kort periode kommentaar lewer.</p> <p>Die tweede ding – klaar gese dat impakstudie so ver gevorder is en wys ook op die kaarte al die inligting wat bymekaar gemaak is. Ek het 'n R22 miljoen belegging in die Bosveld en dit eers nie eens genoteer is op die kaarte nie. Anita Bron het my Donderdag eers geskakel en gevra of sy en ander spesialiste in die komende week by die plaas kan slaap en</p>	Japie Nel	<p>Jonel Boonzaaier: Vir Mmamabula-Delta is hierdie nou die derde vergadering. Vir Delta-Epsilon and Matimba-Delta is hierdie die eerste formele vergadering, alhoewel die projekte genoem is by verskeie ander vergaderings. Vir Marang-Dinaledi het ons Augustus verlede jaar begin met publieke vergaderings en fokus groep vergaderings.</p> <p>Stuart Dunsmore: Your farms are in the northern area of the study area. These lines have been identified trying to use the limited mining information. But actually, wherever you put the lines in that area the opencast mining will be affected in future. The information we have for farms in that area is not complete so we not are in a position to plot a line through there. What we are trying to do is to give people a sense of what is going to happen so that we can get feedback from them. In actual fact the area is quite uniform in that there are lodges, eco-tourism and hunting in the entire study area, whether you go north or south of the Fault line. We are still going to come and identify site specific issues in the next phase. Your concern that you have not had enough time to comment it is not that great as we still need to come verify issues on the ground and do site specific studies. What</p>

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

NO	KWESSIE	KOMMENTATOR	ANTWOORD
	<p>dan die impakstudie doen. Hoe is dit dat die impak studie reeds gedoen is en op rekord is en my inligting is nie eers daarop nie.</p>		<p>Anita is going to be doing with other specialists is to talk to yourselves as well as other landowners to find out what is happening on the ground. We need to put lines on the map as we need people to participate. But these lines could change as we need to finalise</p> <p>Dawid de Waal: Purple dots on map shows lodges – how is it possible that not all the lodges in this area are reflected on this map? (refer to previous question that Mr Nel asked).</p> <p>Stuart Dunsmore: This goes back to the point of how quickly we can get hold of landowners to give us this information. We do not get information from FA's or the municipality or mining companies so we need to follow other venues to get people's contact details. We are still in the Scoping Phase of this project and we are still trying to get all of the landowners in the entire study area. We have to get all the information from landowners as to lodges etc, and this will be done.</p> <p>Dawid de Waal: Please ensure Japie Nel's details are on the list (database).</p> <p>Jonel Boonzaaier: Die rede hoekom Anita jou eers Donderdag gebel het is omdat ek eers Donderdag jou inligting vir Anita gegee het</p>

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

NO	KWESSIE	KOMMENTATOR	ANTWOORD
	<p>Japie Nel: Sasol onderhandel al 4 jaar met ons oor die moontlike prospekteer werk op ons plaas so die proses wat hulle gevolg het is beter en versoek dat die proses wat hier gevolg word beter is om die grondeienaars toe te laat om vroegtydig om kommentaar te lewer en ons belange te beskerm.</p>		<p>na die vergadering Woensdag. Toe ek Woensdag by jou was het dit my gepla dat jy gese het jy hoor nou eers van die projek. Ek het my rekords nagegaan en gesien dat ek jou wel probeer kontak het – maar jy was met vakansie. Ek kon nie jou persoonlike inligting kry nie en het dus net 'n boodskap gelos dat jy my dringend moet kontak. Die plaas Geelbekpan en boonste gedeelte van Kleinberg val buite die oorspronklike studie area en ons het hierdie plase eers met die Addendum verslag geïdentifiseer as moontlike areas waar die lyne kan loop. So wat daardie plase betref sou jy nie al in November kennis gekry het van die projek nie, omdat dit jou nie geraak het op daardie stadium nie.</p> <p>Dawid de Waal: Ongemaklike toedrag van sake: Mr Nel is right – there is no specification on how long someone has to respond – but it is reasonable practice to allow for 10 to 14 days. The Scoping Phase for this project is out and I suggest that you speak to Stuart and Jonel after the meeting as to possible extension of the comment period.</p> <p>Dawid de Waal: Kraglyn wat van nasionale belang is aan die een kant en aan die ander kant is daar die belange van individuele grondeienaars wat geraak kan word en die verwagting dat grondeienaars behoorlik kennis moet kry en behoorlik mee gekonsulteer moet word is nie onredelik nie.</p>

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

NO	KWESSIE	KOMMENTATOR	ANTWOORD
	<p>Japie Nel: Ner ter kennisname van die vergadering – op die noordelike gedeelte van die plaas is daar prospekter werk gedoen deur Sasol 4 jaar gelede – die inligting is beskikbaar by Sasol. Dra die konsultante kennis daarvan dat die steenkool tussen 15 en 90m diep is en sou hulle daar myn sal die oopgroef mynbou wees. Sou hulle 'n lyn daar deursit, sal die lyn geskuif moet word agv die mynbou wat in die toekoms kan plaasvind. Is Eskom bewus daarvan?</p> <p>Japie Nel: As konsultant en fasiliteerder soos u is, het Eksom al ooit daarna gekyk om lyne ondergronds te sit – weet dit is veelvoudig duurder, maar veral in die hoe-impak areas moet daar dalk gekyk word om lyne ondergronds te sit.</p>		<p>Stuart Dunsmore: Ja, ons is bewus daarvan. This is one of the issues that there is not just going to be power lines but other infrastructure as well and what we are trying to do is find out how this is going to develop in time. The mining companies information is changing all the time and the development of mining in that area has changed in the last couple of months. The development of infrastructure in this area does impact on each other – power lines cannot go over opencast mining, but can go next to it. If lines go over coal then the lines will have to be moved. The argument we are putting to the mining companies is that we put power lines over an area and when the design life of the lines lapse the lines are moved to another area that has already been mined.</p> <p>Stuart Dunsmore: We are undertaking a review of international practice of putting the cables underground – specifically the 400kV lines. For 765kV lines there are no manufactured cables underground in the world. The technology is changing and improving and what we are trying is to get all the information. We will be submitting this as part of the final SR to DEAT.</p>
2.	Indien die lyn op 'n lyndraad gaan, onderhandel julle met altwee grondeienaars of net een en indien daar onteien word,	Paul Pienaar	Koos van der Merwe: Indien die kraglyn op 'n grensraad gaan en die lyn loop op

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

NO	KWESSIE	KOMMENTATOR	ANTWOORD
	<p>word altwee onteien of net een?</p> <p>Baie van die lyne wys dis op die grensdraad – dubbel lyne.</p> <p>Maak dit dan nie meer ekonomiese sin dan om deur plase te gaan nie en die plase dalk aan te koop nie. Dan loop die lyn reguit wat die korste roete is.</p>		<p>die grens sal elke grondeienaar 27.5m kraglyn he op sy plaas en ons sal dus met elkeen onderhandel. Eskom se onderhoud mense hou nie daarvan nie, maar wel al sulke lyne onderhandel.</p> <p>Ja, dan sal daar met elke grondeienaar onderhandel word.</p> <p>Eskom verkies die korste roete omdat die goedkoper is. Maar dit hang van grondeienaars af – solank die lyne nie “zigzag” loop nie.</p>
3.	<p>Het hulle al die geval gehad – hoe word die waarde van ekotoerisme? Hoe bepaal jy klandisie wat ek verloor agv lyne wat oor my plaas loop – hoe gaan julle my verged daarvoor?</p>	Japie Nel	<p>Koos van der Merwe:</p> <p>As jy kan bewys dat jy toerisme verloor sal ons dit betaal, maar jy moet aan my bewys hoeveel jy verloor en oor watter tydperk. Dit is baie moeilik om te bepaal – soos die visuele impak is dit baie moeilik om te bepaal – watter waarde plaas jy op visuele impak.</p>
4.	<p>Wat van BTW en grondbelasting? Wie gaan daarvoor betaal? Grondbelasting is maandeliks wat betaal moet word.</p>	Desiree Sauer	<p>Koos van der Merwe:</p> <p>Indien jy geregistreer is vir BTW sal ons die BTW betaal. Wat die grondbelasting aanbetref is Eskom besig om dit te ondersoek.</p>
5.	<p>By vorige vergaderings is daar spesifiek gevra dat die Mmamabula-Delta lyne en die plasing van Delta substasie as een projek hanteer word? Ek sien uit die voorleggings dat dit nie gebeur nie en nog steeds as aparte projekte hanteer word.</p>	Hein Boegman	<p>Stuart Dunsmore:</p> <p>Dis ‘n baie goeie vraag. We are trying to bring the projects on line so that they run together. The Mmamabula-Delta project has to have an end point. They are very closely</p>

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

NO	KWESSIE	KOMMENTATOR	ANTWOORD
	<p>Ek weet nog nie steeds nie hoe kan jy 'n projek he van punt A tot B sonder dat jy weet waar punt B gaan wees nie.</p> <p>The problem is that the one (Mmamabula-Delta) gets submitted to DEAT on the 20th of April. The other one (Matimba-Delta) Scoping Report will only be made available for comment after this date. You are going to put something in front of the government saying this is where your study area is, and this is where the potential route should be. In that you will have to assume an end position. You haven't yet started with the Scoping Phase of this or public participation. I think this is a fundamental flaw in the process. This means that you will have to delay submitting the SR to DEAT for Mmamabula-Delta until you have finalised the SR for Matimba-Delta to submit both of them together to DEAT. In not doing this, you are pre-supposing a position for Delta substation and making a decision on a study that by your own admission you have not completed.</p> <p>Mr Chairman, as long as my concern has been noted.</p>		<p>linked. We are hoping to fast track the Matimba-Delta project and hoping to complete the studies with Mmamabula-Delta.</p> <p>Stuart Dunsmore: We believe we can draw them into the same timeframes overall. We are not pre-supposing a position at this stage.</p>
6.	<p>Eienaar van een van die plase wat geruineer gaan word deur die Eskom projek. Het vanmiddag vir mense gewys en wil dit graag op rekord he dat indien die lyne regdeur Vanjaarsveldtpan gaan, sal Eskom ongeveer 10 – 12 km spaar op die lengte van die lyn, wat omtrent R20 miljoen is. Kan die twee lyne regdeur Vanjaarsveldtpan bring en my grond uitkoop</p>	Peet Pienaar	<p>Stuart Dunsmore: We have taken the details of this and indicated in this afternoon's discussion as well.</p>

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

NO	KWESSIE	KOMMENTATOR	ANTWOORD
	<p>en dit gaan Eskom geld spaar.</p>		
7.	<p>I have three questions: There is a web-page – will the presentations be available on the web-page?</p> <p>As a mechanical engineer the shortest route between two points is a straight line. I do not see this option happening. Would like to have this noted that I do not see the straight line option in the presentations.</p> <p>On a specific farm, how many lines is allowed to go over a property – is there a limit to the number of lines or can Eskom put as many lines as they want to,</p> <p>I do not fully understand the 50% but will discuss this separately with Koos and consultants.</p>	John Harding	<p>Stuart Dunsmore: We will make it available – will give the information to Mamokete Mafumo at Eskom to put on the web-page.</p> <p>When we start with the projects we start with a straight line between two points. But we have to look at reasonable environmental impacts between these points. The best route for a linear development is seldom the straight line. We are now covering a very broad study area and are hoping to find what will be the best route.</p> <p>No limit to the number of lines that can go over a property, subject to the necessary authorisations given. If more than 50% of the farm is taken up by power lines Eskom will buy the property.</p>
8.	<p>I have a problem with purple dots shown on the map. I am involved with the technical college in town and we conducted a study on lodges in this area. And this area has the highest concentration of hunting lodges in the country and the purple dots do not reflect this. I know as far as Ken du Plessis is concerned his dot is not on there and I am sure there are others not on there either. Maybe have a bit more time to</p>	Noreen du Plessis	<p>Stuart Dunsmore: We are expecting to see more purple dots. We are trying to get a sense of the area with lodges, etc. and input from today's meeting will be used and will help us in determining the correct picture.</p> <p>Jonel Boonzaaier:</p>

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

NO	KWESSIE	KOMMENTATOR	ANTWOORD
	<p>ensure that you have all the information correctly reflected on the maps.</p>		<p>Can we get this information from the study on hunting lodges from you.</p> <p>Yes.</p>
<p>9.</p>	<p>Wat my bekommerd maak van die eerste vergadering tot nou toe is dat daar nie holisties gekyk word na al die projekte nie. Sluit aan by Hein se punt – Delta SS is nog nie bepaal nie. Daar word dus nie holisties gekyk na area en lyne nie en as Delta bepaal is en vas is, sal dit 'n invloed he op ander lyne</p> <p>Is daar nie reeds besluit oor waar Delta SS gaan wees nie. Een Spaanse agent het reeds genoem in Spanje dat Eskom reeds besluit het waar die substasie gaan wees en dat hulle reeds die grond gekoop het.</p>	<p>Hardus Steenkamp</p>	<p>Stuart Dunsmore: Think we have answered questions to the holistic approach.</p> <p>Please come look at our database after the meeting – to sort out all your issues. Please come look and talk to Jonel.</p> <p>If there is such a decision that has been made we have not been informed about this. We are informed from the start of the study, that from a structural and technical point of view that the Substation should be 20km from Medupi PS.</p> <p>Jonel Boonzaaier: Wat gebeur met die substasie is dat studies gedoen moet word op die grond waar die substasie moontlik kan gaan. Alvorens hierdie studies plaasvind moet Eskom “consent” of toestemming he vanaf die grondeienaar om daardie studies te kan doen. Daar is consents ontvang vanaf twee individuele grondeienaars, sowel as van grond wat Eskom besig is om te koop, naamlik Kromdraai. Omdat hulle toestemming gegee het vir die studies, beteken nie hulle het toestemming gegee dat Delta SS daar gebou kan word nie.</p>

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

NO	KWESSIE	KOMMENTATOR	ANTWOORD
	<p>Daar is reeds waardeerders uit na seker plase om die grond te waardeer. Een van die punte wat van die begin af genoem is is dat die substasie so na as moontlik aan die kragstasie moet wees. Ek hoop dat daaraan aandag gegee gaan word.</p>		<p>Dawid de Waal: This project or any componete cannot happen until the department has given a RoD.</p> <p>Stuart Dunsmore: This request is definitely been considered, as the farm Kromdraai is close to the power station and also will belong to Eskom.</p>
10.	<p>Just want to ask for the record. Has Eskom bought Zandnek or not? If indeed there was extensive negotiations to purchase this land I question the good faith of this. I do understand that Eskom can buy property at their own risk, but even so, this decision does not reflect well on the consultant and Eskom and they are then pre-supposing the location of Delta SS. If Eskom has started negotiations, I would like this on record.</p>	Hein Boegman	<p>Koos van der Merwe: Ek het vir Jan Kotze gevra of ons die studies kan doen. Hy het nee gese. Ek het hom weer gevra en hy het gese indien ons die studies doen en die grond dan wil koop wat sal ons hom betaal. Ek het toe voorgestel dat ons 'n waardasie doen om te bepaal wat die waarde van die grond gaan wees as Eksom die grond sou koop. Daar is waardasie gedoen op Jan se grond maar daar is nie 'n manier dat Eskom die grond sal koop voordat daar 'n aanbeveling is vanaf die konsultante nie.</p> <p>Sutart Dunsmore: We have not been advised by Eskom that there is a specific site that is preferred – the technical information has said that any site within a 20km radius from power station is the preferred area.</p>
11.	Is daar 'n pyplyn wat beplan word om water te lewer vir	Danie Steenkamp	Stuart Dunsmore:

STEENBOKPAN PUBLIEKE VERGADERING NOTULES / PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (SECOND MEETING)

NO	KWESSIE	KOMMENTATOR	ANTWOORD
	Matimba B Kragstasie?		The full picture of water supply to Matimba B is not clear to us. The water licensing for Matimba B is still to be resolved. Also heard that dam will be raised and pipeline from Rustenburg. There is no published information on this.
12.	Wat is Jan Kotze se plaas naam?	Japie Nel	Zandnek 358 LQ
13.			