9.	CONCLUSION	9-1
9.1	Introduction	9-1
9.1.1	Project Background	9-1
9.1.2	Description of the Study Area	9-2
9.1.3	Process to Date	9-5
9.2	Alternatives and Site Selection	9-6
9.3	Findings of the indentification of impacts	9-11
9.4	Conclusions and Recommendations	
10.	PLAN OF STUDY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	10-1
10.1	Introduction	10-1
10.1.1	Project Background	10-1
10.1.2	Purpose of the Plan of study for EIA	10-2
10.1.3	Details of Applicant	10-3
10.1.4	Details of Environmental Assessment Practitioner	10-3
10.1.5	Details of Authorities	10-4
10.2	Summary of Project Description	10-4
10.3	Summary of the Legislation Context	10-5
10.4	Summary of the Scoping Phase	10-5
10.4.1	Description of the Study Area	10-5
10.4.2	Description of the Baseline Environment	10-8
10.4.3	Summary of Alternatives	10-9
10.4.4	Summary of Public Participation	10-16
10.5	Description of Environmental Issues identified during Scoping	10-17
10.6	Impact Assessment Phase	10-20
10.6.1	Introduction	10-20
10.6.2	Decision-Making Framework	10-21
10.6.3	Impact Assessment Methodology	10-21
10.6.4	Public Participation Process (PPP)	10-23
10.6.5	Consultation with DEA	10-24
10.6.6	Terms of Reference for Specialist Studies	10-24
10.6.7	Requirements for Waste License Report	10-35
10.6.8	Proposed Project Rpogramme for the EIA	10-36
10.7	Conclusions and Recommendations	10-36

LIST OF TABLES

- **Table 2.1:**Details of Applicant
- **Table 2.2:**Details of the independent EIA Consultant (Environmental Assessment
Practitioner
- Table 2.3: Details of relevant competent authority DEA
- **Table 2.4:** Details of the commenting authorities MDEDET
- Table 2.5: Details of the commenting authorities DWA
- **Table 4.1:** Description of the various categories used in the sensitivity mapping
- Table 4.2: Specialist and Lidwala Project Team ratings
- Table 4.3:Client Ratings
- Table 4.4:
 Combined ratings
- Table 6.1:
 Date on which the adverts were published
- **Table 6.2:**Public Open Day and meeting
- Table 7.1: Farm Portions situated within the Hendrina Ash Dam Study Area
- **Table 7.2:** Farm Portions situated within the Hendrina Ash Dam Study Area
- **Table 7.3:**Red Listed bird species recorded in the quarter degree squares (2629BA and
2529DC) within which the study area is located (Harrison et al, 1997).
Report rates are percentages of the number of times a species was recorded
by the number of times the square was counted. Conservation status is
classified according to Barnes (2000).
- **Table 7.4:**Preferred Micro-habitats and likelihood of occurrence on site of Red Data
species recorded in the relevant QDGS's.
- **Table 7.5:**Desktop river characterisation of rivers and streams located in the study
area (Nel et al., 2004) and DWAF (2000).
- **Table 7.6**:Reconciliation of water requirements and availability (million m³/a) for the
year 2000 in the Olifants Water Management Area (DWAF, 2004b).
- **Table 7.7**:Fish species expected to utilise the river systems associated with the study
area, in and around the quaternary catchment (B12A, B12B and B12C).
Alien species are shown in red while sensitive species are indicated in green.
LC = Least Concern; DD = Data Deficient; EX = Exotic (IUCN, 2009).
- **Table 7.8:**Macroinvertebrate species expected to use the non perennial systems for a
part of their life cycle.
- Table 7.9:
 General Hydrogeology Map Classification Of South Africa
- Table 7.10: GRA2 Data Summary for B12B
- **Table 7.11:** Population Growth in Steve Tshwete Local Municipality
- Table 7.12: Number and Percentage by Gender
- Table 7.13:
 Level of Education in Steve Tshwete Local Municipality
- Table 7.14:
 Population Growth Rate 1996 2006 in Steve Tshwete Local Municipality
- **Table 7.15:** Informal, Formal and Unemployed Workforce 2001 in Steve Tshwete Local

 Municipality
- Table 7.16:
 Individual Monthly Income in Steve Tshwete Local Municipality
- Table 7.17: Annual Household Income in Steve Tshwete Local Municipality
- Table 7.18:
 Growth rates 1996 2002

- **Table 8.1:** Specialist Criteria for Site Preference Ratings
- **Table 8.2:** Ratings for respective factors considered for each alternative.
- Table 8.3:
 Final Site Ranking Matrix
- Table 8.4: Minimum Requirement Fatal Flaws
- **Table 9.1:**Final Site Ranking Matrix
- Table 9.2: Minimum Requirement Fatal Flaws
- **Table 10.1:** Details of the applicant
- **Table 10.2:** Details of the independent EIA consultant (Environmental Assessment Practitioner)
- Table 10.3: Details of the relevant authorities
- Table 10.4: Final Site Ranking Matrix
- Table 10.5: Minimum Requirement Fatal Flaws
- **Table 10.6:** Development approvals, Authorisations and Permits required for the
Proposed Project
- Table 10.7: List of Specialist Studies
- **Table 10.8:** Ecological categories, categories, key colours and category descriptionspresented within the biotic assessment.

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1: Steve Tshwete Local Municipality
- Figure 1.2: Environmental Impact Assessment Process
- Figure 3.1: An overview of the activities on site and where this project fits within the process
- **Figure 3.2:** Locality of Hendrina Ash Dam Study Area within the Steve Tshwete Local Municipal are of Mpumalanga
- **Figure 3.3:** The agricultural and mining activities that form the greater part of the study area
- Figure 3.4: The greater study are overlaid onto a google earth background
- Figure 4.1: Proposed study area within which alterantive sites were to be identified
- Figure 4.2: Layer integration
- **Figure 4.3:** String array parts and resultant indice calculations: max wins; sensitivity rating as is and sensitivity with an applied factor
- Figure 4.4: Combined Biophysical Sensitivity (Max Wins)
- Figure 4.5: Combined Biophysical Sensitivity (no factor)
- Figure 4.6: Combined Social Sensitivity (max wins)
- Figure 4.7: Combined Social Sensitivity (no factor)
- Figure 4.8: Overall Environemtnal Sensitivity (Max wins without technical / cost)
- Figure 4.9: Overall Environmental Sensitivity (Max wins with technical / cost)
- Figure 4.10: Overall Environmental Sensitivity (no factor without technical / cost)
- Figure 4.11: Overall Environmental Sensitivity (no factor with technical / cost)
- Figure 4.12: Overall Environmental Sensitivity (Adjustment factor included without technical / cost)

- **Figure 4.13:** Overall Environmental Sensitivity (Adjustment factor included with technical / cost)
- Figure 4.14: Recommended Alternative sites (sensitivity map with the adjustment factors and without cost)
- Figure 4.15: Recommended Alternative sites (sensitivity map with the adjustment factors and with cost)
- Figure 4.16: Five alternative sites for further consideration during the Scoping Phase
- Figure 6.1: Site notice at Hendrina Power Station
- Figure 6.2: Site notices at Alternative Sites (alternative E top and Alternative D bottom)
- **Figure 6.3:** Site notices at Alternative Sites (Alternative A top and Alternative B bottom)
- Figure 7.1: The location of the Hendrina Power Station within the Steve Tshwete Local Municipality
- Figure 7.2: Hendrina Ash Dam Study Area
- Figure 7.3: The location of the 5 identified alternatives within the demarcated study area
- Figure 7.4: Geology of the Study area
- Figure 7.5: Land cover categories for the study area
- Figure 7.6: The MBCP categories as they relate to the five alternative sites.
- Figure 7.7: An overview of the hydrogeology of the study area.
- **Figure 7.8:** Sketch Cross-Section of Groundwater occurrence at Hendrina (note vertical Exaggeration)
- Figure 7.9: Land cover / land use patterns of the study area.
- Figure 7.10: GGP profile by sector, 1996 to 2002
- Figure 8.1: Potential visual exposure for Alternative A.
- Figure 8.2: Potential visual exposure for Alternative B
- Figure 8.3: Potential visual exposure for Alternative C.
- Figure 8.4: Potential visual exposure for Alternative D
- Figure 8.5: Potential visual exposure for Alternative E
- Figure 8.6: Max Wins map (including cost) from the screening study showing acceptable areas for site choice
- **Figure 9.1**: An overview of the activities on site and where this project fits within the process
- **Figure 9.2:** The agricultural and mining activities that form the greater part of the study area
- Figure 9.3: Study area overlaid onto a google earth background
- **Figure 9.4:** Recommended alternative sites (sensitivity map with the adjustment factors with cost)
- Figure 9.5: Five Alternative sites for further consideration during the Scoping Phase
- Figure 9.6: Max Wins map (including cost) from the screening study showing acceptable areas for site choice
- Figure 10.1: An overview of the activities on site and where this project fits within the process

- Figure 10.2: The agricultural and mining activities that form the greater part of the study area
- Figure 10.3: Study area overlaid onto a google earth background
- Figure 10.4: Recommended alternative sites (sensitivity map with the adjustment factors with cost)
- Figure 10.5: Five Alternative sites for further consideration during the Scoping Phase
- Figure 10.6: Max Wins map (including cost) from the screening study showing acceptable areas for site choice

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A: Application Form
- Appendix B: Acknowledgement of Receipt of Application
- Appendix C: Curricula Vitae of Project Team
- Appendix D: Final Screening Report
- Appendix E: Pre-Application Meeting Minutes
- Appendix F: I&AP Database
- Appendix G: Comment and Response Report
- Appendix H: EIA Announcement Advert
- Appendix I: Background Information Document
- Appendix J: Draft Scoping Report Review and Public Open Day Advert
- Appendix K: Biodiversity Specialist Study
- Appendix L: Avifauna Specialist Study
- Appendix M: Surface Water Specialist Study
- Appendix N: Ground Water Specialist Report
- Appendix O: Visual Impact Specialist Report
- Appendix P: Design Input Report