

## Nicolene Venter

---

**From:** Nicolene Venter  
**Sent:** 16 November 2009 15:27  
**To:** 'Mike Kantey'  
**Cc:** 'Ryan Donnelly'; 'waterwaysbb@webmail.co.za'; 'bev@stfrancisc.co.za'; 'daniemay@gmail.co.za'; 'atu-sa@agnet.co.za'; 'caneoffice@cane.org.za'; Dave Blair; Chris le Roux  
**Subject:** FW: deviation from scientific approach in local EIA, Thyspunt South Africa

Dear Mike

Your e-mail has been forwarded to Arcus Gibb who is the EIA Consultants for the Nuclear-1 Project.

Please note that we CC this e-mail to those for whom we have e-mail address – those not included are not registered I&APs for the proposed Thyspunt Transmission Lines Integration Project.

Kind regards

NICOLENE VENTER  
Principal Public Participation Manager  
**SiVEST Environmental Division**

**direct** +27 11 798 0635 **tel** +27 11 798 0600 **fax** +27 11 803 7272  
**email** [nicolenev@sivest.co.za](mailto:nicolenev@sivest.co.za) **website** [www.sivest.co.za](http://www.sivest.co.za)

---

**Consulting Engineers • Project Managers • Environmental Consultants • Town and Regional Planners**  
Durban • Johannesburg • Pietermaritzburg • Richards Bay • Ladysmith • Somerset West • King Williams Town

---

---

**From:** Mike Kantey [mailto:mike.kantey@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 16, 2009 11:45 AM  
**To:** Ryan Donnelly  
**Cc:** Chris le Roux; Hilton Thorpe; Bev St Francis Chronicle; Gary Pienaar; Alison Tilley; Danie & May de Villiers; Rob Fryer; John Williams; Ruediger Dahlhaeuser; C. A. N. E.; Martin Kurz; lyamunda@hotmail.com; gunterwippel@aol.com  
**Subject:** deviation from scientific approach in local EIA, Thyspunt South Africa

We support the views of F.A.S.T. in this matter and wish the record to state that the deviations from the scientific principles expressed in NEMA have been noted.

Mike Kantey  
National Chairperson  
Coalition Against Nuclear Energy  
[www.cane.org.za](http://www.cane.org.za)

072 628 5131

2009/11/15 Ryan Donnelly <[ryan@stfrancisbay.co.za](mailto:ryan@stfrancisbay.co.za)>  
Hi Chris

Please can you make a note for the record that we disagree with and protest to the statement made in response to our question on page 8 of Annexure C , Final draft minutes for the Thyspunt Transmission Lines Project, Key Stakeholder Workshop Kelway Hotel PE.

This statement is in breach of NEMA regulations with regard to the integrity of the independence of the public EIA process and its factual content.

The argument for nuclear and against renewables in this fashion is often put out to the public during public EIA's by Eskom representatives who are the developer and not independent.

The response in question is clearly not objective, factual or informative and obviously serves to benefit the developer.

Responses to the public must be factual and not serve to support the developers interest during a public EIA.

In response to Eskom's response to our question:

Weather conditions are not highly unpredictable in many areas like Upington, and there are base load renewable technologies such as thermal and concentrated Solar power.

"For A Safe Tomorrow" finds this Public EIA process flawed as it is driven by the developers interest, there are no independent experts present as should be when taking NEMA regulations into account. FAST suggests to all related higher authorities that this EIA process be abandoned and restarted in accordance with NEMA regulations.

Ryan Donnelly  
For A Safe Tomorrow  
072 646 2341

On 13 Nov 2009, at 3:38 AM, Themba Skonje wrote:

<KSW Final Draft Minutes (Complete).pdf>

--

Mike Kantey  
Director  
Watercourse cc

072 628 5131

**Tracking:**

Recipient	Delivery	Read
'Mike Kantey'		
'Ryan Donnelly'		
'waterwaysbb@webmail.co.za'		
'bev@stfrancisc.co.za'		
'daniemay@gmail.co.za'		
'atu-sa@agnet.co.za'		
'caneoffice@cane.org.za'		
Dave Blair	Delivered: 16/11/2009 15:28	
Chris le Roux	Delivered: 16/11/2009 15:28	Read: 16/11/2009 15:33