

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. The need and Justification for the Proposed Project	1
1.2. Overview of the Proposed Project	3
1.3. Environmental Study Requirements	3
<b>2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1. The proposed Matimba B Power Station	5
2.1.1. <i>How is electricity generated?</i>	6
2.2. Project Alternatives	7
2.2.1. <i>The 'Do Nothing' Alternative</i>	7
2.3. Location Alternatives for the Establishment of a New Coal-Fired Power Station within South Africa	8
2.4. Site Alternatives Identified within the Lephalale Area for the Establishment of a New Coal-fired Power Station	9
2.4.1. <i>Description of Identified Site Alternatives</i>	10
2.5. Feasible Technology Alternatives	13
2.5.1. <i>Cooling Technology</i>	13
2.5.2. <i>Combustion Technology</i>	15
<b>3. SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS</b>	<b>16</b>
3.1. Approach to Undertaking the Study	16
3.2. Authority Consultation	16
3.2.1. <i>Consultation with Supervisory Authorities</i>	16
3.2.2. <i>Consultation with other Relevant Authorities</i>	17
3.3. Legislative Considerations	17
3.4. Environmental Scoping Study	25
3.4.1. <i>Evaluation of Potentially Significant Environmental Impacts</i>	26
3.4.2. <i>Overview of the Public Participation Process for ESS</i>	27
3.4.3. <i>Public Review of the Draft Environmental Scoping Report</i>	31
3.4.4. <i>Final Environmental Scoping Report</i>	31
<b>4. APPROACH TO SITE EVALUATION</b>	<b>32</b>
4.1. Site Evaluation – Field Studies	32
4.2. Specialist Studies	33
4.3. Rating Criteria	36
4.4. Site Rating Matrix	37
4.5. Assumptions and Limitations	37

<b>5.</b>	<b>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA ENVIRONMENT</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>5.1.</b>	<b>Locality</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>5.2.</b>	<b>Climate</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>5.3.</b>	<b>Geology</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>5.4.</b>	<b>Land Types</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>5.5.</b>	<b>Water Resources</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>5.5.1.</b>	<b><i>Surface Water</i></b>	<b>45</b>
<b>5.5.2.</b>	<b><i>Groundwater</i></b>	<b>46</b>
<b>5.5.3.</b>	<b><i>Water Users</i></b>	<b>46</b>
<b>5.6.</b>	<b>Ecology and Biodiversity</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>5.7.</b>	<b>Social Environment</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>5.7.1.</b>	<b><i>Land Use</i></b>	<b>49</b>
<b>5.7.2.</b>	<b><i>Population</i></b>	<b>49</b>
<b>5.7.3.</b>	<b><i>Age and gender distribution</i></b>	<b>49</b>
<b>5.7.4.</b>	<b><i>Education</i></b>	<b>50</b>
<b>5.7.5.</b>	<b><i>Employment</i></b>	<b>50</b>
<b>5.7.6.</b>	<b><i>Income</i></b>	<b>50</b>
<b>5.7.7.</b>	<b><i>Housing</i></b>	<b>50</b>
<b>5.7.8.</b>	<b><i>Services</i></b>	<b>51</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>WATER</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>6.1.</b>	<b>Scope of Work</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>6.2.</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>6.2.1.</b>	<b><i>Desktop Study and Data Sources</i></b>	<b>53</b>
<b>6.2.2.</b>	<b><i>Fieldwork</i></b>	<b>54</b>
<b>6.3.</b>	<b>Overview of the Geology of the Area</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>6.3.1.</b>	<b><i>Structural Geology</i></b>	<b>58</b>
<b>6.3.2.</b>	<b><i>The Grootegeluk Coal Deposits</i></b>	<b>62</b>
<b>6.4.</b>	<b>Regional Hydrogeology</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>6.4.1.</b>	<b><i>Regional groundwater occurrence and aquifers</i></b>	<b>63</b>
<b>6.4.2.</b>	<b><i>Candidate site hydrogeology</i></b>	<b>64</b>
<b>6.4.3.</b>	<b><i>Groundwater use</i></b>	<b>72</b>
<b>6.4.4.</b>	<b><i>Ambient hydrochemistry</i></b>	<b>72</b>
<b>6.4.5.</b>	<b><i>Aquifer classification</i></b>	<b>73</b>
<b>6.5.</b>	<b>Surface Water Hydrology</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>6.5.1.</b>	<b><i>Current surface water supply</i></b>	<b>77</b>
<b>6.5.2.</b>	<b><i>DWAF studies</i></b>	<b>80</b>
<b>6.5.3.</b>	<b><i>Possible water augmentation sources</i></b>	<b>82</b>
<b>6.5.4.</b>	<b><i>Conclusions</i></b>	<b>83</b>
<b>6.6.</b>	<b>Existing Matimba Power Station</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>6.6.1.</b>	<b><i>Power Station Infrastructure</i></b>	<b>83</b>
<b>6.6.2.</b>	<b><i>Power station geology and hydrogeology</i></b>	
<b>6.6.3.</b>	<b><i>Power station monitoring</i></b>	<b>84</b>

<b>6.6.4.</b>	<b><i>Impact Assessment Regarding Existing Matimba Power Station</i></b>	91
<b>6.7.</b>	<b>Candidate Site Study</b>	91
<b>6.7.1.</b>	<b><i>Threat factor</i></b>	92
<b>6.7.2.</b>	<b><i>Barrier factor</i></b>	93
<b>6.7.3.</b>	<b><i>Resource factor</i></b>	94
<b>6.7.4.</b>	<b><i>Site Suitability Assessment</i></b>	94
<b>6.8.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	99
<b>6.8.1.</b>	<b><i>Alternative Site Rating</i></b>	99
<b>6.8.2.</b>	<b><i>Site Preference Rating</i></b>	101
<b>6.8.3.</b>	<b><i>General</i></b>	101
<b>6.9.</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	102
<b>7.</b>	<b>FLORA AND FAUNA</b>	104
<b>7.1.</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	104
<b>7.1.1</b>	<b><i>Flora</i></b>	104
<b>7.1.2.</b>	<b><i>Fauna</i></b>	105
<b>7.2.</b>	<b>Limitations to this investigation</b>	105
<b>7.3.</b>	<b>The Biophysical Environment</b>	106
<b>7.3.1.</b>	<b><i>Regional Vegetation</i></b>	106
<b>7.3.2.</b>	<b><i>Ecology and Biodiversity</i></b>	108
<b>7.4.</b>	<b>Ecological Attributes and Status</b>	110
<b>7.4.1.</b>	<b><i>Farm Appelvlakte 448 LQ</i></b>	110
<b>7.4.2</b>	<b><i>Farm Nelsonskop 464 LQ</i></b>	111
<b>7.4.3.</b>	<b><i>Farm Naauwontkomen 509 LQ</i></b>	112
<b>7.4.4.</b>	<b><i>Farm Eenzaamheid 687 LQ</i></b>	113
<b>7.4.5.</b>	<b><i>Farm Droogeheuvel 447 LQ</i></b>	114
<b>7.4.6.</b>	<b><i>Farm Zongezien 467 LQ</i></b>	115
<b>7.4.7.</b>	<b><i>Farm Kuipersbult 511 LQ</i></b>	116
<b>7.4.8.</b>	<b><i>Farm Kromdraai 690 LQ</i></b>	117
<b>7.5.</b>	<b>Red Data Species</b>	119
<b>7.5.1.</b>	<b><i>Red Data Flora</i></b>	119
<b>7.5.2.</b>	<b><i>Protected Tree Species</i></b>	120
<b>7.5.3.</b>	<b><i>Red Data Fauna</i></b>	120
<b>7.6.</b>	<b>Sensitive Habitat Types</b>	123
<b>7.7.</b>	<b>Nature and Extent of Impacts</b>	124
<b>7.7.1.</b>	<b><i>Farm Appelvlakte 448 LQ</i></b>	125
<b>7.7.2.</b>	<b><i>Farm Nelsonskop 464 LQ</i></b>	126
<b>7.7.3.</b>	<b><i>Farm Naauwontkomen 509 LQ</i></b>	127
<b>7.7.4.</b>	<b><i>Farm Eenzaamheid 687 LQ</i></b>	128
<b>7.7.5.</b>	<b><i>Farm Droogeheuvel 447 LQ</i></b>	129
<b>7.7.6.</b>	<b><i>Farm Zongezien 467 LQ</i></b>	130
<b>7.7.7.</b>	<b><i>Farm Kuipersbult 511 LQ</i></b>	131
<b>7.7.8.</b>	<b><i>Farm Kromdraai 690 LQ</i></b>	132

<b>7.8.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	133
<b>7.8.1.</b>	<b><i>Power Station Alternatives</i></b>	133
<b>7.8.2.</b>	<b><i>Ancillary Infrastructure Alternatives</i></b>	133
<b>7.8.3.</b>	<b><i>Site Preference Rating</i></b>	136
<b>7.9.</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	136
<b>8.</b>	<b>GEOLOGY, SOILS AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL</b>	138
<b>8.1.</b>	<b><i>Geology</i></b>	138
<b>8.1.1.</b>	<b><i>Candidate Site Geology</i></b>	138
<b>8.1.2.</b>	<b><i>The Grootegeluk Coal Deposits</i></b>	139
<b>8.2.</b>	<b><i>Geotechnical studies</i></b>	140
<b>8.3.</b>	<b><i>Soils and Agricultural Potential</i></b>	141
<b>8.4.</b>	<b><i>Nature and Extent of Impacts</i></b>	143
<b>8.5.</b>	<b><i>Conclusions</i></b>	144
<b>8.6.</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	146
<b>9.</b>	<b>AIR QUALITY</b>	147
<b>9.1.</b>	<b>Approach</b>	147
<b>9.2.</b>	<b>Project Description</b>	147
<b>9.2.1.</b>	<b><i>Proposed Technology</i></b>	147
<b>9.3.</b>	<b>Sensitive Receptors</b>	148
<b>9.4.</b>	<b>Atmospheric Emission Limits and Ambient Air Quality Criteria</b>	148
<b>9.4.1.</b>	<b><i>DEAT Permit Requirements for Scheduled Processes</i></b>	148
<b>9.4.2.</b>	<b><i>Local and International Ambient Air Quality Guidelines and Standards</i></b>	149
<b>9.5.</b>	<b>Climatology and Atmospheric Dispersion Potential</b>	156
<b>9.5.1.</b>	<b><i>Synoptic Climatology and Regional Atmospheric Dispersion Potential</i></b>	157
<b>9.5.2.</b>	<b><i>Meso-scale Atmospheric Dispersion Potential</i></b>	161
<b>9.6.</b>	<b>Existing Sources of Emission and Baseline Air Quality</b>	165
<b>9.6.1.</b>	<b><i>Existing Sources of Atmospheric Emission</i></b>	166
<b>9.6.2.</b>	<b><i>Measured Baseline Air Quality</i></b>	171
<b>9.7.</b>	<b>Spect Identification and Site Consideration</b>	178
<b>9.7.1.</b>	<b><i>Sources of Atmospheric Emissions</i></b>	178
<b>9.8.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	179
<b>9.8.1.</b>	<b><i>Site ranking</i></b>	179
<b>9.9.</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	181
<b>10.</b>	<b>LAND USE</b>	183
<b>10.1.</b>	<b>Background</b>	183
<b>10.2.</b>	<b>Approach</b>	184
<b>10.3.</b>	<b>Development Concept</b>	185
<b>10.4.</b>	<b>Defining The Subject Areas</b>	185

<b>10.5.</b>	<b>Property Particulars</b>	186
<b>10.6.</b>	<b>Planning Policy and Guideline Documents</b>	187
<b>10.6.1.</b>	<b><i>Integrated Development Plans (IDP's) at District Level</i></b>	187
<b>10.6.2.</b>	<b><i>Integrated Development Planning at Local Level</i></b>	188
<b>10.6.3.</b>	<b><i>Northern Province Spatial Development Framework, 2002</i></b>	188
<b>10.6.4.</b>	<b><i>Lephalale Local Municipality Integrated Development Plan, 2002</i></b>	189
<b>10.7.</b>	<b>Land Use Rights and Zoning Implications</b>	190
<b>10.8.</b>	<b>Implication of Zoning Restrictions</b>	191
<b>10.9.</b>	<b>Land Use Regime and Implications</b>	191
<b>10.9.1.</b>	<b><i>Towns and Settlements</i></b>	191
<b>10.9.2.</b>	<b><i>Agricultural</i></b>	192
<b>10.9.3.</b>	<b><i>Exemption Farms (Hunting Lodges)</i></b>	193
<b>10.9.4.</b>	<b><i>Mineral potential areas</i></b>	193
<b>10.9.5.</b>	<b><i>Development Clusters</i></b>	194
<b>10.10.</b>	<b>The Expected Impact of the Development on Surrounding Land Uses</b>	195
<b>10.10.1.</b>	<b><i>Towns and Settlements</i></b>	195
<b>10.10.2.</b>	<b><i>Agriculture (communal and commercial farming)</i></b>	201
<b>10.10.3.</b>	<b><i>Exemption Farms</i></b>	203
<b>10.10.4.</b>	<b><i>Mineral potential areas</i></b>	205
<b>10.10.5.</b>	<b><i>Development Clusters</i></b>	206
<b>10.11.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	206
<b>10.11.1.</b>	<b><i>Site Preference Rating</i></b>	208
<b>10.12.</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	209
<b>10.12.1.</b>	<b><i>Towns and Settlements</i></b>	209
<b>10.12.2.</b>	<b><i>Agriculture</i></b>	210
<b>10.12.3.</b>	<b><i>Exemption Farms</i></b>	211
<b>10.12.4.</b>	<b><i>Mineral Potential Areas</i></b>	211
<b>10.12.5.</b>	<b><i>Development Clusters</i></b>	
<b>11.</b>	<b><i>VISUAL IMPACT ASSESMENT</i></b>	212
<b>11.1.</b>	<b>Description of the Affected Environment</b>	212
<b>11.2.</b>	<b>Issues Related to the Visual Impact Assessment</b>	215
<b>11.3.</b>	<b>Criteria for the Evaluation and Determination of the Significance of the Visual Impact</b>	216
<b>11.3.1.</b>	<b><i>Potential Visual Exposure</i></b>	216
<b>11.3.2.</b>	<b><i>Visual Distance / Observer Proximity to the Facility</i></b>	222
<b>11.3.3.</b>	<b><i>Viewer Incidence / Viewer Perception</i></b>	223
<b>11.3.4.</b>	<b><i>Visual Absorption Capacity of the Natural Vegetation</i></b>	224
<b>11.4.</b>	<b>Visual Impact Evaluation: Power station</b>	226
<b>11.4.1.</b>	<b><i>General Impacts</i></b>	226
<b>11.4.2.</b>	<b><i>Site Specific Impacts</i></b>	227

<b>11.4.3.</b>	<b><i>Issues Related to the Visual Impact: Rating for the Power Station</i></b>	230
<b>11.5.</b>	<b>Visual Impact Assessment: Ancillary Infrastructure</b>	231
<b>11.5.1.</b>	<b><i>General Impacts</i></b>	231
<b>11.5.2.</b>	<b><i>Site Specific Impacts</i></b>	231
<b>11.5.3.</b>	<b><i>Issues Related to the Visual Impact: Rating for the Ancillary Infrastructure</i></b>	233
<b>11.6.</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	234
<b>11.7.</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	235
<b>12.</b>	<b>TOURISM</b>	236
<b>12.1.</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	236
<b>12.2.</b>	<b>Assumptions and Limitations</b>	236
<b>12.3.</b>	<b>Background</b>	237
<b>12.3.1.</b>	<b><i>Relevant Acts and Policies</i></b>	237
<b>12.3.2.</b>	<b><i>Implications for Development</i></b>	240
<b>12.3.3.</b>	<b><i>Tourism in South Africa</i></b>	241
<b>12.3.4.</b>	<b><i>Tourism in Limpopo Province</i></b>	242
<b>12.3.5.</b>	<b><i>Tourism in and Around Lephalale</i></b>	243
<b>12.3.6.</b>	<b><i>Future Tourism in and Around Lephalale</i></b>	247
<b>12.4.</b>	<b>Potential Impact of the Proposed Power Station on Tourism</b>	248
<b>12.4.1.</b>	<b><i>Impacts of Matimba Power Station (Existing)</i></b>	248
<b>12.4.2.</b>	<b><i>Anticipated Impacts</i></b>	249
<b>12.4.3.</b>	<b><i>Scoping of Impacts relating to the Tourism Industry</i></b>	249
<b>12.5.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	252
<b>12.5.1.</b>	<b><i>Preferred Site Selection</i></b>	252
<b>12.5.2.</b>	<b><i>Site Preference Ratings</i></b>	255
<b>12.5.3.</b>	<b><i>General</i></b>	255
<b>12.6.</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	256
<b>13.</b>	<b>HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT</b>	258
<b>13.1.</b>	<b>Definitions and Assumptions</b>	258
<b>13.2.</b>	<b>Legislative Requirements</b>	259
<b>13.2.1.</b>	<b><i>South African Heritage Resources Act (Act No 25 of 1999)</i></b>	259
<b>13.2.2.</b>	<b><i>Environmental Conservation Act (Act No 73 of 1989)</i></b>	260
<b>13.3.</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	260
<b>13.3.1.</b>	<b><i>Preliminary Investigation</i></b>	260
<b>13.3.2.</b>	<b><i>Field Survey</i></b>	261
<b>13.3.3.</b>	<b><i>Documentation</i></b>	261
<b>13.3.4.</b>	<b><i>Standardised Set of Conventions Used to Assess the Impact of Projects on Cultural Resources</i></b>	261
<b>13.4.</b>	<b>Description of the Area</b>	262

<b>13.5.</b>	<b>Survey Results</b>	264
<b>13.5.1.</b>	<b>Site number 1</b>	264
<b>13.5.2.</b>	<b>Site number 2</b>	264
<b>13.5.3.</b>	<b>Site number 3</b>	265
<b>13.5.4.</b>	<b>Site number 4</b>	265
<b>13.6.</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	265
<b>13.6.1.</b>	<b>Stone Age</b>	266
<b>13.6.2.</b>	<b>Iron Age</b>	267
<b>13.6.3.</b>	<b>Historical Period</b>	267
<b>13.7.</b>	<b>Identification of Risk Sources</b>	268
<b>13.8.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	268
<b>13.9.</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	269
<b>14.</b>	<b>TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT</b>	271
<b>14.1.</b>	<b>Status Quo Conditions</b>	271
<b>14.1.1.</b>	<b>Description of Road Infrastructure</b>	271
<b>14.1.2.</b>	<b>Description of Travel Patterns</b>	273
<b>14.1.3.</b>	<b>Location of Employee Residences</b>	273
<b>14.1.4.</b>	<b>Other Transport Infrastructure</b>	273
<b>14.2.</b>	<b>Assumptions for Traffic / Transport Scoping</b>	274
<b>14.2.1.</b>	<b>Proposed Power Station</b>	274
<b>14.2.2.</b>	<b>Coal Supply</b>	274
<b>14.2.3.</b>	<b>Ash Handling</b>	274
<b>14.3.</b>	<b>Transport Impacts and Considerations</b>	274
<b>14.3.1.</b>	<b>Transport of Employees</b>	274
<b>14.3.2.</b>	<b>Coal Supply Transportation</b>	275
<b>14.3.3.</b>	<b>Ash Transportation</b>	275
<b>14.3.4.</b>	<b>Construction Traffic</b>	276
<b>14.3.5.</b>	<b>Transportation Components during Construction</b>	276
<b>14.4.</b>	<b>Transport Evaluation of Alternative Sites</b>	276
<b>14.4.1.</b>	<b>Power Station Site Selection</b>	276
<b>14.5.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	278
<b>14.5.1.</b>	<b>Results of Site Selection</b>	278
<b>14.5.2.</b>	<b>Site Preference Rating</b>	279
<b>14.5.3.</b>	<b>General</b>	280
<b>14.6.</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	280
<b>15.</b>	<b>NOISE</b>	281
<b>15.1.</b>	<b>Approach</b>	281
<b>15.2.</b>	<b>Details of Study Area</b>	281
<b>15.2.1.</b>	<b>Topography</b>	281
<b>15.2.2.</b>	<b>Roads</b>	281
<b>15.2.3.</b>	<b>Railway Lines</b>	282
<b>15.2.4.</b>	<b>Land Use</b>	282

<b>15.2.5.</b>	<b><i>Aspects of Acoustical Significance</i></b>	283
<b>15.3.</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	283
<b>15.3.1.</b>	<b><i>General</i></b>	283
<b>15.3.2.</b>	<b><i>Determination of the Existing Conditions</i></b>	284
<b>15.3.3.</b>	<b><i>Evaluation of Planning / Design Phase and Construction Phase Impacts</i></b>	286
<b>15.3.4.</b>	<b><i>Evaluation of Operational Phase Impacts</i></b>	286
<b>15.4.</b>	<b>Details of the Noise Measurement Survey and Existing Noise Climate Condition Evaluation</b>	286
<b>15.4.1.</b>	<b><i>General Details</i></b>	287
<b>15.4.2.</b>	<b><i>The Existing Ambient Noise Climate</i></b>	287
<b>15.5.</b>	<b>Evaluation of Noise Impact</b>	293
<b>15.5.1.</b>	<b><i>Evaluation of the Pre-Construction Phase</i></b>	293
<b>15.5.2.</b>	<b><i>Evaluation of the Construction Phase</i></b>	293
<b>15.5.3.</b>	<b><i>Evaluation of the Operational Phase</i></b>	296
<b>15.6.</b>	<b>Evaluation of the Alternative Sites</b>	300
<b>15.7.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	305
<b>15.7.1.</b>	<b><i>Comparison of the Alternative Sites</i></b>	305
<b>15.7.2.</b>	<b><i>Site Preference Rating</i></b>	308
<b>15.7.3.</b>	<b><i>General</i></b>	308
<b>15.8.</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	309
<b>16.</b>	<b>SOCIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT</b>	310
<b>16.1.</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	310
<b>16.1.1.</b>	<b><i>Definition of Social Impact Assessment</i></b>	310
<b>16.1.2.</b>	<b><i>Steps followed in the Scoping Study</i></b>	311
<b>16.1.3.</b>	<b><i>Ranking of Alternative Sites</i></b>	313
<b>16.2.</b>	<b>Preliminary Baseline Profile</b>	314
<b>16.2.1.</b>	<b><i>Demographics</i></b>	316
<b>16.2.2.</b>	<b><i>Summary</i></b>	321
<b>16.3.</b>	<b>Estimation of Social Impacts</b>	324
<b>16.3.1.</b>	<b><i>Impacts originating prior to construction phase</i></b>	324
<b>16.3.2.</b>	<b><i>Impacts originating during construction phase</i></b>	326
<b>16.3.3.</b>	<b><i>Impacts originating during operational phase</i></b>	331
<b>16.3.4.</b>	<b><i>Relationships among impacts</i></b>	337
<b>16.3.5.</b>	<b><i>Synthesis</i></b>	337
<b>16.4.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	339
<b>16.4.1.</b>	<b><i>Summary of potential social impacts</i></b>	339
<b>16.4.2.</b>	<b><i>Rating of alternative sites</i></b>	341
<b>16.5.</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	342
<b>16.5.1.</b>	<b><i>Methodology to be followed during the Environmental Impact Assessment phase</i></b>	342
<b>16.5.2.</b>	<b><i>Specific Studies to be Conducted</i></b>	345



<b>17.</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
<b>17.1.</b>	<b>Overall Evaluation of Alternative Sites</b>	350
<b>17.1.1.</b>	<b><i>Farm Appelvlakte 448 LQ</i></b>	350
<b>17.1.2.</b>	<b><i>Farm Nelsonskop 464 LQ</i></b>	350
<b>17.1.3.</b>	<b><i>Farm Naauwontkomen 509 LQ</i></b>	352
<b>17.1.4.</b>	<b><i>Farm Eenzaamheid 687 LQ</i></b>	353
<b>17.1.5.</b>	<b><i>Farm Droogheuvel 447 LQ</i></b>	354
<b>17.1.6.</b>	<b><i>Farm Zongezien 467 LQ</i></b>	355
<b>17.1.7.</b>	<b><i>Farm Kuiperbult 511 LQ</i></b>	355
<b>17.1.8.</b>	<b><i>Farm Kromdraai 690 LQ</i></b>	356
<b>17.1.9.</b>	<b><i>Conclusions</i></b>	357
<b>17.2.</b>	<b>Evaluation in Terms of Economic and Technical Criteria</b>	358
<b>17.3.</b>	<b>Overall Conclusion and Recommendation</b>	359
<b>18.</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b>	361

**Appendices:**

<b>Appendix A:</b>	<b>Minutes of the Authorities Meeting</b>
<b>Appendix B:</b>	<b>Advertisements</b>
<b>Appendix C:</b>	<b>I&amp;AP Database</b>
<b>Appendix D:</b>	<b>Briefing Paper</b>
<b>Appendix E:</b>	<b>Minutes of One-on-one Consultations</b>
<b>Appendix F:</b>	<b>Landowner Map</b>
<b>Appendix G:</b>	<b>Minutes of the Public Meeting</b>
<b>Appendix H:</b>	<b>Minutes of the Key Stakeholder Workshop</b>
<b>Appendix I:</b>	<b>Issues Trail and Comments Received</b>
<b>Appendix I 1:</b>	<b>Issues Trail</b>
<b>Appendix I 2:</b>	<b>Comments Received</b>
<b>Appendix J:</b>	<b>Water Use Versus Water Availability</b>
<b>Appendix K:</b>	<b>DWAF Briefing Paper</b>
<b>Appendix L:</b>	<b>Extract from DEAT 'Guidelines for Scheduled Processes' (1994)</b>
<b>Appendix M:</b>	<b>Land Use Maps</b>
<b>Appendix N:</b>	<b>Photographs of the Local Road System</b>
<b>Appendix O:</b>	<b>Traffic Counts</b>
<b>Appendix P:</b>	<b>Transport Costs</b>
<b>Appendix Q:</b>	<b>Noise Glossary</b>
<b>Appendix R:</b>	<b>Site Rating Matrix</b>