ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE PROPOSED NUCLEAR POWER STATION ('NUCLEAR-1') AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE

Transport Specialist Study Impact Assessment Phase

Volume 1 Status Quo Assessments









Prepared for: Arcus GIBB (Pty) Ltd

On behalf of: Eskom Holdings Ltd









Version 12 August 2012

FOREWORD

In response to internal comments and feedback from the public process, a thorough and indepth revision of Versions 10 and 11 of the Transport Impact Assessment Report has been undertaken in accordance with the following scope guidelines:

1. On a Broad Level:

- 1.1 The Department of Transports *Manual for Traffic Impact Studies* (RR93/635).
- 1.2 The PGWC's Transport Impact Assessments: Draft Regulations on minimum requirements in terms of the National Land Transport Transition Act, 2000.

2. More Specifically:

- 2.1 Methodology received from Eskom including the Terms of Reference (ToR) for the Transportation Impact Assessment 27 June 2006;
- 2.2 Proposal for Traffic and Transportation Assessment as formed part of the original EIA proposal July 2006;
- 2.3 Proposal accepted by Eskom and Contract signed February 2007;
- 2.4 Eskom provided information for transportation routes at Specialist Integration Meeting February/ March 2007;
- 2.5 Proposal submitted to Eskom for the Thyspunt Access Investigation 4 June 2008;
- 2.6 Report received from Eskom entitled Main Road Access to Site 9 May 2008;
- 2.7 Letter received from Eskom on 11 December 2008 in terms of the 2009 Scope Change;
- 2.8 Plan of Study for EIA requirements in terms of Traffic and Transportation as received from the GIBB EIA Team and approved by the Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism;
- 2.9 Public comment in the form of Issues and Response Reports (IRRs) and minutes of meetings;
- 2.10 Meeting 1 June 2011 regarding the bypasses of Humansdorp and St. Francis Bay Sea Vista attended by Eskom and GIBB, during which GIBB motivated for the investigation of these alternative bypasses;
- 2.11 Aurecon Road Investigations for EIA Process Addendum Report 20 June 2011;
- 2.12 Aurecon Abnormal Load Haul Route Investigation Report 23 March 2011
- 2.13 Aurecon Thyspunt Site Proposed Site Access Roads Report 16 March 2011 Rev 2
- 2.14 Scope change G (which included the revision of the TIA to include traffic counts) submitted to Eskom September 2011
- 2.15 Eskom comments on Versions 10 and 11 of the Transport Specialist Study -

The revision of the Traffic Impact Assessment Report includes, inter alia:

- Correction of all technical, calculation, grammatical and formatting errors identified in the reviews.
- Inclusion of all comments received from Eskom, where appropriate.

- Addressing comments and questions received from the public and recorded in the IRRs received up until July 2012.
- Amendment of previously provided construction starts date of 2011 to end of 2013 as advised by Eskom.
- Analysis of new access routes at Thyspunt as recommended in the various Aurecon reports.
- Additional off-peak traffic accounts for these routes.
- Inclusion of a peak season (December) traffic count at Thyspunt as requested in the public comments.
- Removal of access to the Pebble Bed Modular Reactor (PBMR) site from the Duynefontein assessment.

In terms of the above correspondence and guidelines, the Transport Impact Assessment Report does not include in-depth consideration of vehicle emissions, vehicle noise and socio-economic impacts of increased traffic in close proximity to existing residential areas. The report also does not include cost estimates for any of the external road upgrades, as separate EIAs for the road upgrades / construction will be undertaken in future by independent consultants appointed by Eskom.



Cape Town

14 Kloof Street Cape Town 8001 PO Box 3965 Cape Town 8000

Tel: +27 21 469 9100 Fax: +27 21 424 5571 Web: www.gibb.co.za

DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE

I, Andrew Bulman as duly authorised representative of Arcus GIBB (Pty) Ltd hereby confirm my independence as well as that of Arcus GIBB as a specialist and declare that neither I nor Arcus GIBB have any interest, be it business, financial, personal or other, in any proposed activity, application or appeal in respect of which Arcus GIBB was appointed as environmental assessment practitioner in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), other than fair remuneration for worked performed, specifically in connection with the Environmental Impact Assessment for the proposed conventional nuclear power station ('Nuclear 1'). I further declare that I am confident in the results of the studies undertaken and conclusions drawn as a result of it – as is described in my attached report.

Signed on Behalf of Arcus GIBB (Pty) Ltd

Andrew Bulman Technical Executive

Report Authors:

Nuran Nordien (BSc Eng (UCT)), 9 years experience Yusry Frizlar (Pr.CPM, BSc Eng (UCT), BSc (Hon) Transport (UP)), 20 years experience Sarah Chow BSc Eng (UCT), 3 years experience

Reviewer and Approver: Andrew Bulman (Pr.Eng, BSc Eng Transport (Stell)), 17 years experience



GIBB Holdings Reg: 2002/019792/02 Directors: R. Vries (Chairman), Y. Frizlar, B Hendricks, M Mayat, J.M.N. Ras

Arcus GIBB (Pty) Ltd, Reg: 1992/007139/07 is a wholly owned subsidiary of GIBB Holdings. A list of divisional directors is available from the company secretary.

15 August 2012

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Arcus GIBB (Pty) Ltd (Arcus GIBB) was appointed by Eskom Holdings SoC (Eskom) to undertake an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Environmental Management Plan (EMP) for the proposed construction of a nuclear power station and associated infrastructure on one of three selected sites that are located in the Eastern and Western Cape Provinces, namely:

- Duynefontein (Existing Koeberg Nuclear Power Station Site) Western Cape;
- Bantamsklip Western Cape;
- Thyspunt Eastern Cape

Two other sites in the Northern Cape, namely Brazil and Schulpfontein, were excluded from further study, in the Scoping Phase of the EIA process. The three sites were accepted by the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) in the Scoping phase.

This Traffic Impact Assessment Report details the Impact Assessment Phase of Nuclear-1's Transport Specialist Study.

The **Duynefontein** site requires no significant upgrades during the construction and operational phases of Nuclear-1 with regard to intersection upgrades, heavy load transport road upgrades and emergency evacuation upgrades. Duynefontein, however, requires a significant number of stand-by evacuation vehicles to ensure safe evacuation of construction workers if an accident does occur at the adjacent Koeberg Nuclear Power Station during the construction period. These vehicles can also be used to shuttle the construction workers to and from the site during the AM and PM peak periods.

The **Bantamsklip** site will have a significant impact on the transport network, with upgrades required to the public transport system, heavy load routes and road upgrades required for emergency evacuation purposes and bypassing Gansbaai. Due to the Bantamsklip site's isolated location, transporting heavy loads by road will require significant upgrades and the alternative transport by sea should be considered. A suitable site on the beach near to Bantamsklip will have to be identified and a landing with loading / off-loading facilities will have to be constructed.

The **Thyspunt** site requires significant transport upgrades with regard to public transport, access and emergency evacuation, during the construction phases. The recommended routes in Version 9 of this Report were revised as a result of public input and recommendations received between 29 May 2011 and 2 June 2011. Based on the feedback received, the R330 is now proposed to be used for light vehicle traffic and abnormal load transport, and sections will require upgrading for this purpose. The Oyster Bay Road is now proposed to be upgraded to a surfaced road to be used during the construction and operations phases for staff access, light vehicle traffic, heavy vehicle traffic and as an emergency evacuation route for areas such as Oyster Bay. DR1762, which links the R330 and Oyster Bay Road is now proposed to be surfaced to provide improved east-west connectivity. Bypass roads to the east and west of Humansdorp are also now proposed to be constructed to reduce the traffic impact on central Humansdorp.

NUCLEAR-1 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

TRANSPORTATION SPECIALIST STUDY ASSESSMENT PHASE

VOLUME 1 STATUS QUO ASSESSMENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Description INTRODUCTION				
1					
	1.1	Project Background	1		
	1.2	Outcomes of Transport Impact Scoping Study	1		
	1.3	Aim of the Transport Impact Assessment Study	3		
	1.4	Scope of this Transport Impact Assessment Study	3		
	1.5	Revisions of Version 12	4		
	1.6	Limitations	4		
2	FRA	MEWORK	5		
	2.1	Legislative Framework	5		
	2.2	Assumptions and Limitations	5		
	2.3	Methodology	6		
3	DES	CRIPTION OF THE SITES AND SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT	8		
	3.1	Duynefontein	8		
	3.2	Bantamsklip	12		
	3.3	Thyspunt	16		
4	DUYNEFONTEIN TRANSPORT STATUS QUO ASSESSMENT				
	4.1	Access and Internal Road Network	20		
	4.2	Traffic Analysis	22		
	4.3	Parking	28		
	4.4	Public Transport	29		
	4.5	Non-Motorised Transport	33		

	4.6 Low to Medium Radioactive Waste Transport			
	4.7	Emergency Evacuation	33	
	4.8	Air Route and Shipping Lane Impacts	34	
	4.9	Mitigating Actions Required	34	
5	BANT	AMSKLIP TRANSPORT STATUS QUO ASSESSMENT	35	
	5.1	Traffic Analysis	35	
	5.2	Public Transport	38	
	5.3	Non-Motorised Transport	38	
	5.4	Mitigating Actions Required	40	
6	THYS	PUNT TRANSPORT STATUS QUO ASSESSMENT	41	
	6.1	Traffic Analysis	41	
	6.2	Public Transport	53	
	6.3	Non-Motorised Transport	57	
	6.4	Mitigating Actions Required	57	

VOLUME 2 – IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

7	DUYNEFONTEIN CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACT ASSSESSMENT
8	BANTAMSKLIP CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACT ASSESSMENT
9	THYSPUNT CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACT ASSESSMENT
10	DUYNEFONTEIN OPERATIONAL PHASE IMPACT ASSESSMENT
11	BANTAMSKLIP OPERATIONAL PHASE IMPACT ASSESSMENT
12	THYSPUNT OPERATIONAL PHASE IMPACT ASSESSMENT
13	CONCLUSIONS
14	MITIGATING ACTIONS SUMMARY

15 REFERENCES

VOLUME 3 – ANNEXURES A - C

ANNEXURE A DUYNEFONTEIN TRAFFIC MODEL

ANNEXURE B BANTAMSKLIP TRAFFIC MODEL

ANNEXURE C THYSPUNT TRAFFIC MODEL

VOLUME 4 – ANNEXURES D – G

- ANNEXURE D ESKOM NUCLEAR-1 PROJECT: THYSPUNT SITE. ROAD INVESTIGATION FOR EIA PROCESS ADDENDUM
- ANNEXURE E ESKOM NUCLEAR-1 PROJECT: THYSPUNT SITE. ABNORMAL LOAD HAUL ROAD INVESTIGATION
- ANNEXURE F ESKOM NUCLEAR-1 PROJECT: THYSPUNT SITE. EVACUATION ROUTES
- ANNEXURE G ESKOM NUCLEAR 1 PROJECT: THYSPUNT SITE. PROPOSED SITE ACCESS ROADS

ABBREVIATIONS

AADT	Average Annual Daily Traffic
AFB	Air Force Base
AIS	Automatic Identification System
CPTR	Current Public Transport Record
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
EPZ	Emergency Protective Zone
IRT	Integrated Rapid Transport
ITP	Integrated Transport Plan
KNPS	Koeberg Nuclear Power Station
LOS	Level of Service (See p.23 for definition)
NDoT	National Department of Transport
NM	Nautical Miles
NMT	Non-motorist Transport
NNR	National Nuclear Regulator
NSIP	Nuclear Siting Investigation Programme
PAZ	Protective Action Zone
PBMR	Pebble Bed Modular Reactor
PE	Port Elizabeth
PGWC	Provincial Government of the Western Cape
SAMSA	South African Maritime Safety Authority (SAMSA)
SDF	Spatial Development Framework
SID	Standard Instrument Departure
SPMT	Self Propelled Modular Transporter
STAR	Standard Instrument Arrival
ТСА	Terminal Control Area
TIA	Transport Impact Assessment
TFTC	Test Flight and Development Centre
TSS	Traffic Separation Schemes
UPZ	Urgent Protective Action Zone

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 **Project Background**

Arcus GIBB (Pty) Ltd (Arcus GIBB) was appointed by Eskom Holdings SoC (Eskom) to undertake an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Environmental Management Plan (EMP) for the proposed construction of a nuclear power station and associated infrastructure on one of three selected sites located in the Eastern and Western Cape Provinces.

Transportation was identified as one of the areas requiring a specialist study. Arcus GIBB Transportation therefore forms part of the Nuclear-1 EIA Team and is responsible for the transportation specialist study component of the EIA.

The Scoping Phase of the EIA process resulted in the two sites in the Northern Cape being excluded from further investigation.

1.2 Outcomes of Transport Impact Scoping Study

In August 2007, an Inception Report for the transportation specialist study was prepared as part of the screening and scoping phase. The following five potential sites were considered in the EIA process, as shown in **Figure 1.1**:

- Duynefontein (Existing Koeberg Nuclear Power Station Site) Western Cape;
- Bantamsklip Western Cape;
- Thyspunt Eastern Cape;
- Brazil Northern Cape; and
- Schulpfontein Northern Cape.

The transportation specialist Scoping Report presented the preliminary determination of impacts of Nuclear-1 on the environment and its relevant significance (sensitivity) and possible mitigation measures.

It was recommended that the following transportation impacts be investigated in more detail in the assessment phase of the Nuclear-1 EIA process for the Duynefontein, Bantamsklip and Thyspunt sites:

- Site access;
- Emergency evacuation;
- Abnormal load transport routing;
- Fuel transport routing;
- Radioactive waste transport routing;
- Normal daily travel impacts;
- Existing and planned transportation infrastructure; and
- Aviation and shipping line impacts.



Assessment Phase: Transportation Specialist Study

1.3 Aim of the Transport Impact Assessment Study

The aim of the Transport Impact Assessment (TIA) is to determine the transport impact on the existing transport network during all phases, i.e. construction, operation and decommissioning, within 5 km to 20 km (as appropriate to the site) of the proposed Nuclear-1 Power Station. This takes into account the impacts and possible mitigation measures for the development of Nuclear-1 for each of the proposed sites as listed below:

- Duynefontein;
- Bantamsklip; and
- Thyspunt

This Report serves as the transportation output of the assessment phase and presents the detailed transportation findings of each site.

1.4 Scope of this Transport Impact Assessment Study

This Transportation Specialist Study will amongst others, answer the following question:

What impact will activities associated with the construction and operation of the proposed nuclear power station have on traffic in the surrounding environment (extent determined uniquely for each site), and along the access routes to be used for the transportation of equipment and materials?

The transportation impacts of the Nuclear-1 Power Station during the construction, operational and decommissioning phases of the development are assessed through the following processes and tasks.. This Report is compiled based on the results of these processes and tasks.

- Site visits and traffic counts at critical road links in the area of each site under consideration;
- Description of the background traffic flow based on traffic counts;
- Calculation of future traffic flow based on the background traffic flow;
- Discussion of access location in terms of access spacing, sight distance and operational requirements;
- Conceptual design of the required road / rail upgrades for the facility or to improve evacuation times;
- Description of the proposed development and operation including routing of heavy vehicles;
- Calculation of trip generation and heavy vehicle movement frequency;
- Analysis of the existing and future operation of the road network;
- Existing and future upgrades to the transport network;
- Analysis of possible evacuation times of the local population using the road network;

- Description of the surrounding road / rail network and future transportation planning proposals for each site;
- The frequency and type of rail use;
- Description of the surrounding aviation air routes, within 80 km radius of each site;
- Description of the future development proposals for new, extensions and / or closure of airports affecting each site;
- Description of the shipping line network affecting each site; and
- Description of the activities and functions at the ports and harbours affecting each site.

1.5 Revisions of Version 12

This version of the Traffic Impact Assessment Report includes the following revisions made to versions 10 and 11 of the previous reports:

- Correction of all technical, calculation, grammatical and formatting errors identified in the reviews.
- Inclusion of all comments received from Eskom, where appropriate.
- Addressing comments and questions received from the public and recorded in the IRRs.
- Amendment of previously provided construction starts date of 2011 to end of 2013 as advised by Eskom.
- Analysis of new access routes at Thyspunt as recommended in the various Aurecon reports.
- Additional off-peak traffic accounts for these routes.
- Inclusion of a peak season (December) traffic count at Thyspunt as requested in the public comments.
- Removal of access to the PBMR site from the Duynefontein assessment

1.6 Limitations

This Report does not include the following:

• In-depth consideration of vehicle emissions, vehicle noise and socio-economic impacts of increased traffic in close proximity to existing residential areas. These are covered in separate specialist studies.

Cost estimates for any of the external road upgrades, as separate EIAs for the road upgrades / construction will be undertaken in future.

2 FRAMEWORK

2.1 Legislative Framework

The following legislation and guideline documents form the framework for the transportation specialist study:

- National Nuclear Regulator Act, 1999 (Act No. 47 of 1999);
- National Road Traffic Act, 1996 (Act No. 93 of 1996);
- National Department of Transport (NDoT) Manual for Traffic Impact Studies, October 1995;
- Provincial Government: Western Cape (PGWC) Road Access Guidelines, May 2001;
- Department of Transport (DoT) Dimensional and Mass Limitations and Other Requirements for Abnormal Load Vehicles, March 2010;
- Hazardous Substances Act, 1973 (Act No. 15 of 1973);
- National Land Transport Act, 2009 (Act No. 5 of 2009);
- Sea-Shore Act, 1935(Act No. 21 of 1935); and
- South African Civil Aviation Authority Obstacle Limitations and Markings Outside Aerodrome or Heliport (2010)

2.2 Assumptions and Limitations

The following assumptions were made while compiling this Report:

- A Protective Action Zone (PAZ) of 0.8 km radius is to be implemented around the boundary (the exact definition of boundary will require clarification) of Nuclear-1. No further development will be allowed within the PAZ. Employees within the PAZ need to be evacuated within 4 hours.
- A 0.8 km to 3 km Urgent Protective Action Zone (UPZ) is to be implemented around the boundary of Nuclear-1. Public within the UPZ need to be evacuated within 16 hours.
- Low to medium level radioactive waste will be stored at Vaalputs (Northern Cape Province).
- High level radioactive waste (spent fuel) will be stored within the proposed nuclear power station for a period of approximately 60 years, as is currently practised at the existing Koeberg Nuclear Power Station.
- Nuclear fuel delivery to Nuclear-1 will occur during the operational stage approximately 2 to 3 times a year as for Koeberg Nuclear Power Station. The fuel will be manufactured internationally and will enter South Africa via a major port and transported by road to the proposed Nuclear-1 site. Due to the infrequent annual fuel delivery consignments, the road transport impacts are expected to be negligible and are required to conform to the regulations for the transport of hazardous materials.
- From the information provided by Eskom, the transport activities expected to occur during the decommissioning phase of Nuclear-1 are expected to be less

than the transport activities expected to occur during the construction phase of the project and will likely occur approximately 60 years after the commissioning phase. The decommissioning phase transport impacts should be assessed at a later stage closer to the time of decommissioning and are therefore not considered further in this Report.

- Several construction phase details such as the location of laydown and location of worker's villages will be assessed in a separate EIA process and are not included in this TIA.
- Eskom has provided an estimation of construction phase traffic in the document *Nuclear-1 Traffic Estimates during Construction and Operation to the Thyspunt site, (June, 2010).* The provided estimation was used in the analysis and it is assumed to be accurate.
- The access to the previously proposed Pebble Bed Modular Reactor (PBMR) opposite the Duynefontein site is removed from the analysis as it will no longer be constructed.
- Recommended access routes for the general construction vehicles, abnormal loads and emergency evacuation for the Thyspunt site were provided by Aurecon in 2011 and were used in the analysis.
- The construction phase of Nuclear-1 is expected to commence from the end of 2013 into 2014. The construction period is expected to last for 9 years, of which 2019 (year 6 of the construction period) is considered the peak construction period.

2.3 Methodology

Each site was assessed from a transportation perspective for the different Nuclear-1 development phases as follows:

- Status Quo Assessment (No-Go Alternative);
- Construction Phase Assessment; and
- Operational Phase Assessment.
- •

2.3.1 Status Quo Assessment (No-Go Alternative)

The Status Quo Assessment determines the status quo of the existing transport system for the three sites. Each site has been assessed in terms of the following criteria:

- Traffic analysis;
- Access;
- Public transport;
- Non-motorised transport;
- Parking (if applicable);
- Waste transport (if applicable);
- Heavy load transport (if applicable);
- Emergency evacuation (if applicable);
- Air routes (if applicable);

• Shipping lanes (if applicable).

2.3.2 Construction Phase Assessment

The following Nuclear-1 construction phase transport impacts were identified for investigation:

- Daily construction related transport impacts:
 - o Access;
 - Traffic analysis;
 - o Parking;
 - Public transport; and
 - o Non-motorised transport
- Impacts of abnormal load transport to the Nuclear-1 site; and
- Emergency evacuation impacts (Duynefontein only, as it is an operating nuclear power station)

2.3.3 **Operation Phase Assessment**

The following Nuclear-1 operational phase transport impacts were identified for investigation:

- Normal daily transport impacts
 - o Access;
 - o Traffic analysis;
 - o Parking;
 - Public transport;
 - o Non-motorised transport;
- Low to medium nuclear waste transport;
- Emergency evacuation impacts; and
- Air and shipping route impacts.

It is assumed that the mitigation actions required for the Construction Phase of the development are undertaken before the Operational Phase commences.

3 DESCRIPTION OF THE SITES AND SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT

3.1 Duynefontein

3.1.1 Locality of the Site

The Duynefontein site is situated on the west coast of South Africa in the Western Cape Province and falls within the City of Cape Town's municipal boundary, approximately 35 km north of Cape Town, as shown in **Figure 3.1**.

The Duynefontein site currently houses Eskom's Koeberg Nuclear Power Station, with a visitor's centre, various offices and conference facilities and is also a registered nature reserve. Nuclear-1 is proposed to be situated on the Duynefontein site adjacent and to the north of to the existing Koeberg Nuclear Power Station, north of Koeberg nuclear power station. The Duynefontein site is located approximately 400 km south of Vaalputs, where the nuclear waste will be stored.

3.1.2 Surrounding Land Use

Several residential centres are located in the vicinity of Duynefontein. Melkbosstrand and Bloubergstrand are situated to the south, and Atlantis is located approximately 15 km to the north of the site. The Duynefontein residential area is located on the outskirts of the Koeberg Nuclear Power Station and is the closest residential area to the site. Saldanha is located approximately 100 km north of Duynefontein and is mainly an industrial centre.

Koeberg Nuclear Power Station has a wider Protective Action Zone (PAZ) and Urgent Protective Action Zone (UPZ) than prescribed by the European Utility Requirements (EUR) for light water reactors as proposed for Nuclear-1. The PAZ is a 5 km zone and the UPZ is a 16 km zone at Koeberg Nuclear Power Station. Due to the existing Koeberg Nuclear Power Station on the Duynefontein site, the proposed Nuclear-1 exclusion and evacuation zones will be concurrent with Koeberg's existing exclusion and evacuation zones. The Duynefontein residential area falls within this 5 km PAZ radius. Melkbosstrand and Bloubergstrand fall within the 16 km UPZ.

Currently a 2 km seaward exclusion zone exists around the sea shore bordering the Koeberg Nuclear Power Station as per the Sea-Shore Act, 1935 (Act No. 21 of 1935). No general activity (swimming, operation of sea vessels etc.) is allowed within the 2 km by 3.2 km area of the sea shore adjacent to Koeberg Nuclear Power Station.

Many of the Koeberg Nuclear Power Station staff resides in the Duynefontein and Melkbosstrand residential areas located south of the site.

3.1.3 Road Network

The West Coast Road (R27) and N7 are the primary regional and national distributors, as shown in **Figure 3.2**. The R27 runs in a north-south direction and links Cape Town with the west coast towns of Langebaan, Vredeburg, Saldanha and Velddrif. It is located approximately 2.5 km east of the site and provides the main access to the Duynefontein site.

The N7 also runs in a north-south direction linking the main towns of the Western Cape and Northern Cape.





Nuclear-1 EIA

3.1.4 Rail Network

There are two railway line branches, as shown in **Figure 3.2**, running in north-south directions from Cape Town.

The line from Cape Town to Namaqualand runs past Kalbaskraal and has two branches to Malmesbury and towards Saldanha. This line is approximately 24 km east of the site.

The Atlantis goods line runs approximately 6 km east of the site, from Cape Town's CBD, traversing Table View and ending in Atlantis. It connects with the suburban rail system at Chempet Station in Cape Town.

3.1.5 Airports

The existing major and minor airports and landing strips in the vicinity of the site are shown in **Figure 3.2** and are listed as follows:

- Major airports and landing strips:
 - Cape Town International Airport;
 - Ysterplaat and Langebaan (Military airfields); and
 - Stellenbosch airfield.
- Minor airports and landing strips:
 - Diepkloof airfield;
 - Rosenburg farm airstrip;
 - Saldanha airfield; and
 - Kersefontein airfield.

3.1.6 Harbours

The existing major harbours in the vicinity of the proposed Nuclear-1 are shown in **Figure 3.2** and are listed as follows:

- The Port of Cape Town; and
- The Port of Saldanha.

3.2 Bantamsklip

3.2.1 Locality of the Bantamsklip Site

Bantamsklip is situated on the southern coast of South Africa, as shown in **Figure 3.3**, and lies within the Western Cape Province approximately 250 km southeast of Cape Town. It forms part of the Overstrand Local Municipality and is within the Overberg District Municipality Area. Bantamsklip is situated to the east of Gansbaai and is currently a vacant site covered with vegetation.

Vaalputs is located approximately 500 km to the north-west of the site. Pearly Beach is located less than 10 km to the north-west and Bredasdorp is located 60 km to the north-east of the site.

12



Nuclear-1 EIA

Assessment Phase: Transportation Specialist Study 13

August 2012 Version 12

3.2.2 Surrounding Land Use

Fishing and holiday towns are scattered along the southern coast within a 70 km of the site. The main towns are Gansbaai, Bredasdorp, Stanford and Hermanus.

3.2.3 Road Network

The N2 runs in an east-west direction approximately 60 km north of Bantamsklip and links to the N7 via Cape Town, as shown in **Figure 3.4**. The N2 can be accessed from Bantamsklip via several routes along the R43, R326 and the R320. The R43 is a surfaced road, which runs adjacent to the Bantamsklip site and gives direct access to the site. The site can currently be accessed via off-road tracks.

The Overstrand Local Municipality experiences a large influx of holiday makers during the summer holidays. On average, a 50% increase in vehicular traffic and a 100% increase in pedestrians are experienced during this period.

The existing road network has sufficient capacity to carry existing traffic and should be able to do so in the foreseeable future. One outstanding exception, however, is the portion of the R43, between Hawston and Hermanus. Delays in excess of 30 minutes are experienced during weekday peak hours, with significantly increased delays during holiday periods.

The Overstrand Municipality and the Provincial Government Western Cape are in discussion over the upgrading of this road section. Delays are also experienced on the N2, in the Grabouw / Sir Lowry's Pass region, but this is generally limited to holiday periods.

3.2.4 Rail Network

A number of railway lines run through the Overberg District Municipality, as shown in **Figure 3.4**. However, very few of these are operational and are mostly limited to the transportation of goods.



3.2.5 Airports

The Overberg District Municipality has a number of airstrips. The largest is located at the Test Flight and Development Centre (TFTC) Airforce base between Bredasdorp and Waenhuiskrans in the Cape Agulhus municipal area, as shown in **Figure 3.4**.

The Cape Agulhus municipal area also has a second private airstrip at Andrew's Field, between Bredasdorp and Struisbaai. There is also an airstrip at the Bontebok National Park in the Swellendam municipal area, which is used for the transportation of tourists. The Theewaterskloof municipal area, situated to the west of the Overstrand municipal area, also has an airstrip in Caledon.

The closest major commercial airport is at Cape Town International Airport. The TFTC Airfield is planned to be upgraded to provide domestic and international aeronautical transportation capacity for the development of the region's tourism and industrial sectors.

3.2.6 Harbours

The Port of Cape Town is the closest major harbour in the vicinity of the Bantamsklip site. The harbour is 250 km away from the site.

There are small boat harbours at Hermanus and Gansbaai, but these are mainly used for recreational purposes and commercial fishing.

3.3 Thyspunt

3.3.1 Locality of the Site

Thyspunt is situated on the east coast of South Africa and lies within the Eastern Cape Province, approximately 80 km west of Port Elizabeth, as shown in **Figure 3.5**. It is located in the Cacadu District Municipality on the Kouga Coast.

Vaalputs is located in the Northern Cape Province cross-country from Thyspunt approximately 750 km to the north-west. Humansdorp is located 15 km to the north, Oyster Bay is located 7 km west of the site and St. Francis Bay is located 5 km east of the site.

3.3.2 Surrounding Land Use

The surrounding coastal towns such as Oyster Bay and St. Francis Bay are mainly low-density holiday and tourist destinations with Humansdorp being the closest major town. The inland areas are utilised mainly for farming.

3.3.3 Road Network

The N2 runs in an east-west direction connecting the main centres along the east coast, such as Port Elizabeth, George and Cape Town, as shown in **Figure 3.6**. The N2 links to the N7 via Cape Town. The R102 runs parallel to the N2 from Humansdorp through Jeffrey's Bay to Port Elizabeth.



17



Access to the N2 from Thyspunt is via Humansdorp along the R330 or the unsurfaced Oyster Bay Road. The R330 is a surfaced road that runs from Humansdorp in a southerly direction past St. Francis Bay to Seal Point on the coast. The recently upgraded Oyster Bay Road is a gravel road that runs from Humansdorp south to Oyster Bay. It is in fairly good condition during the dry season, but requires more maintenance during the wet season.

The Cacadu Municipality experiences a large influx of holiday makers during the summer holidays. An average of 50% increase in vehicles and 100% increase in pedestrians is experienced during this period.

3.3.4 Rail network

There are currently two railway services operating on railway lines in the Cacadu District Municipality as follows:

- Alicedale Grahamstown; and
- Port Alfred Bathurst.

The Alicedale – Grahamstown service is mostly used by work seekers and shoppers travelling to Grahamstown, whereas the Port Alfred – Bathurst service is mostly used by tourists to explore the Bathurst area.

3.3.5 Airports

The main air access to the Cacadu District is via the Port Elizabeth Airport, as shown in **Figure 3.6**. However, there are other airports in the district which perform significant regional functions.

The provincial government owned air landing field in Ndlambe Municipality is leased by a private company that owns the property around the facility and is utilised for training pilots. About 200 to 250 learners are taught to fly an aircraft per year for both commercial and air transport plane licenses.

The facility has three grass runways and no sophisticated landing instruments are used due to unavailability of tarred runways and other facilities. The private company has requested funding from the Province to surface one of the runways.

Airports that can accommodate light aircraft are located at St. Francis Bay, Humansdorp and Paradise Beach, as shown in **Figure 3.6**.

3.3.6 Harbours

The main sea access to the Cacadu District is via the national harbour in Port Elizabeth in the Nelson Mandela Metro, as shown in **Figure 3.6**. However, there are other harbours, such as Coega, that perform significant regional functions in the district.

There are small boat harbours, which have been constructed by private developers, at Port Alfred and Port St. Francis. These are mainly used for recreational purposes and commercial fishing.

4 DUYNEFONTEIN TRANSPORT STATUS QUO ASSESSMENT

4.1 Access and Internal Road Network

4.1.1 Current Access

The Duynefontein site can be accessed via the following three access points, as shown in **Figure 4.1**.

- R27 / Main Access Road (Access 1);
- R27 / Emergency Access Road (Access 2); and
- Narcissus Avenue / Ou Skip Road (Access 3).

The three access points are currently unsignalised. Access 1 operates as a main access, Access 2 operates as an emergency access point only and Access 3 operates as a secondary access to the Koeberg Nuclear Power Station.

4.1.2 Access Spacing

In accordance with the *Road Access Guidelines (PGWC, 2001)*, the minimum spacing requirement between unsignalised intersections along the R27 in a semi-rural development environment is as follows:

• Class 1 Expressway: 1600 m

The current spacing along the R27, as shown in **Figure 4.1**, between:

- Access 1 and Access 2 is 1300 m; and
- Access 1 and Napoleon Street is 1500 m.

The existing unsignalised intersection spacings shown above are below the minimum requirement of 1600 m.



4.1.3 Sight Distance

Shoulder sight distance according to the Geometric Design of Rural Road: TRH 17 (NDoT, 1988), can be defined as follows and is shown in the diagram below:

"At a stop-controlled intersection, the driver of a stationery vehicle along an approach road must be able to see enough of the through road to be able to cross before an approaching vehicle reaches the intersection, even if this vehicle comes into view just as the stopped vehicle starts to cross. The line of sight is taken from a point on the centre line of the crossing road and 5 m back from the edge of the through road to a point on the centre line of the through road."



According

to the

Geometric Design of Rural Roads: TRH 17 (NDoT, 1988) at the 120 km/hr design speed on the R27, the shoulder sight distance for a stop-controlled intersection is 250 m for passenger vehicles and 450 m for trucks. The shoulder sight distance available at both Access 1 and Access 2 is in excess of 450 m and is therefore acceptable.

4.1.4 Internal Road Network

Currently the internal vehicular speed limit is 50 km/hr with traffic calming measures, such as speed bumps present. The internal road lane widths vary from 3.5 m to 6 m.

4.2 Traffic Analysis

4.2.1 Background Traffic

Manual traffic counts were undertaken on 18 June 2008 during the AM (06:00 - 09:00) and PM (16:00 - 18:00) peak periods. The locations of the surveyed intersections are shown in **Figure 4.1** and the intersections are as follows:

- R27 / Main Access Road (Access 1);
- R27 / Napoleon Street;
- Ou Skip Road / Narcissus Avenue (Access 3); and

• Ou Skip Road / Main Access Road.

The R27 / Access 2 is an emergency access only and was therefore not analysed in this section.

The AM and PM peak hours based on the intersections surveyed are:

- AM peak hour 07:00 to 08:00; and
- PM peak hour 16:30 to 17:30

The results of the 2008 AM and PM peak hour background traffic counts are shown in **Annexures A1 and A2.**

It is proposed that Nuclear-1 will be operational in 2023. The 2008 background traffic volumes were used to determine the 2023 background traffic by applying an annual growth rate of 2%. No annual growth rate was applied to the background traffic turning movements into the existing Koeberg Nuclear Power Station site as the staff compliment is expected to remain constant.

The growth rate in private car trips was derived from a comparison of the historical data obtained from the Western Cape Provincial Government (PGWC) road network information reports website. This growth rate was applied to the counted through traffic volumes on the R27.

The Koeberg Administrative Complex and Training Centre Campus is proposed as part of the Koeberg Power Station infrastructure and will be operational in 2018. The traffic estimated to be generated by the development was obtained from the *Koeberg Administrative Complex and Training Centre Campus TIA (HHO, 2007)* and were superimposed onto the 2018 background traffic volumes.

The calculated 2023 background traffic volumes for the AM and PM peak hours are shown in **Annexure A3 and A4**.

4.2.2 Intersection Capacity Analysis

Intersection analysis was performed using the SIDRA 3.2 Computer Programme for the following intersections:

- R27 / Main Access Road (Access 1);
- R27 / Napoleon Street;
- Ou Skip Road / Narcissus Avenue (Access 3); and
- Ou Skip Road / Main Access Road.

The following traffic scenarios were analysed during the AM and PM peak periods:

- 2008 Background Traffic; and
- 2023 Background Traffic.

Indexes such as Level of Service (LOS) and 95th percentile vehicle queues are used to indicate the operation at the intersections. Level of Service is a measure of delay and associating parameters experienced by motorists, i.e. discomfort, fuel consumption and increased travel time. LOS ranges between A to F, where LOS A describes operations with low control delay and extremely favourable progression and LOS F describes operations with control delay that is unacceptable to most drivers.

95th percentile vehicle queues indicate the maximum traffic queue at the intersection 95% of the time, which is considered as the worst case scenario.

The LOS and 95th percentile vehicle queues for the above scenarios are summarised in **Annexure A5 to A8**. The analysis results are summarised hereafter. Detailed results are available on request.

(a) R27 / Main Access Road (Access 1)

The existing geometry of the R27 / Main Access Road is shown in **Figure 4.2**. The intersection is currently a stop-controlled intersection at the Main Access Road.



Figure 4.2: R27 / Main Access Road Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2008 Background Traffic

All approaches operate acceptably at LOS A to LOS C during the AM and PM peak hours with no significant vehicle queues.

(ii) 2023 Background Traffic

The right-turning movement of the Main Access Road (Access 1) approach will deteriorate from LOS C with a 95th percentile queue of 3 vehicles to LOS F with a 95th percentile queue of 37 vehicles during the PM peak hour.

An upgrade is therefore required to improve the operation of the stop-controlled intersection, which is supported by the *Koeberg Administrative Complex and Training Centre Campus TIA (HHO, 2007)*.

(iii) Upgrades Required

The proposal to upgrade the R27 / Main Access Road (Access 1) intersection from a stop-controlled intersection to a signalised intersection detailed below in **Figure 4.3**.



Figure 4.3: R27 / Main Access Road Proposed Intersection Geometry

With the signalisation of the intersection, the right-turning movement of the Main Access Road (Access 1) approach will improve from LOS F with a 95th percentile queue of 37 vehicles to LOS C with a 95th percentile queue of 9 vehicles during the PM peak hour.

Traffic signal warrants contained in the SADC Road Traffic Signs Manual will, however, have to be complied with before this upgrade can be implemented. The appropriate warning signs of an upcoming signal, street lighting and reduction in speed limit on the R27 to 80 km/hr will also have to be implemented if traffic signals are installed.

Subsequent to the submission of the *Koeberg Administrative Complex and Training Centre Campus TIA (HHO, 2007)* the proposed upgrade of The R27 / Main Access Road (Access 1) intersection to signalised intersection was not approved by the Provincial Government Western Cape's (PGWC) Department of Transport and Public Works.

The PGWC has proposed the construction of a grade separated structure (i.e. where each road is constructed at a different grade or level to reduce traffic movement conflicts using ramps, interchanges or bridges) at the R27 / Main Access Road (Access 1) intersection. This proposal is currently under investigation and should be considered once the investigation is complete.

(b) R27 / Napoleon Street

The existing geometry of the R27 / Napoleon Street is shown in **Figure 4.4**. The intersection is currently a stop-controlled intersection at the Napoleon Street approach.



Figure 4.4: R27 / Napoleon Street Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2008 Background Traffic

All approaches operate acceptably at LOS A to LOS C during the AM and PM peak hours with no significant vehicle queues. It should be noted, however, that due to the high speed nature of the R27, separate right-turn and left-turn lanes on the R27 should be provided to improve safety.

(ii) 2023 Background Traffic

The Napoleon Street approach will deteriorate from LOS E with a 95th percentile queue of 2 vehicles to LOS F with a 95th percentile queue of 13 vehicles during the AM peak. An upgrade to a signalised intersection with additional turning lanes is therefore required.



Figure 4.5: R27 / Napoleon Street Proposed Intersection Geometry

The Napoleon Street approach will improve from LOS F with a 95^{th} percentile queue of 13 vehicles to LOS D with a 95^{th} percentile queue of 3 vehicles after the signalisation of the intersection.

Traffic signal warrants contained in the SADC Road Traffic signs manual will, however, have to be complied with before this upgrade can be implemented. The appropriate warning signs of an upcoming signal, street lighting and reduction in speed limit on the R27 to 80 km/hr will also have to be implemented if traffic signals are installed.

Due to the PGWC's reluctance to permit traffic signals on the R27, this upgrade may not be permitted. Traffic volumes will be too low to warrant a grade-separated structure. The final upgrade design of this intersection will therefore have to be agreed with the PGWC in conjunction with the Main Road Access upgrade.

(c) Ou Skip Road / Narcissus Avenue (Access 3)

The existing geometry of the Ou Skip Road / Narcissus Avenue intersection is shown in **Figure 4.6**. The intersection is currently a stop-controlled intersection at the Ou Skip Road approach.



Figure 4.6: Ou Skip Road / Narcissus Avenue Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2008 Background Traffic

All approaches operate well at LOS A and LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours with no significant vehicle queues.

(ii) 2023 Background Traffic

The intersection will continue to operate well at LOS A and LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours with no significant vehicle queues. No upgrades are therefore required.

(d) Ou Skip Road / Main Access Road

The existing geometry of the Ou Skip Road / Main Access Road intersection is shown in **Figure 4.7**. The intersection is currently a four-way stop-controlled intersection.



Figure 4.7: Ou Skip Road / Main Access Road Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2008 Background Traffic

All approaches operate well at LOS A and LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours with minimal traffic queues.

(ii) 2023 Background Traffic

All approaches will continue to operate at LOS A and LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours with minimal traffic queues. No upgrades are therefore required.

4.3 Parking

The number of parking bays currently provided at the Koeberg Nuclear Power Station is shown in **Table 4.1** below.

Туре	Parking Bays Provided
Staff	780
Visitors	140
Visitors Centre	25
Total	945

The parking provided is adequate to serve the current operation. An additional 800 parking bays are proposed to serve the Koeberg Administrative Complex and Training Centre Campus development.

4.4 Public Transport

4.4.1 Modal Split

The existing modal split to and from Koeberg Nuclear Power Station is currently 70% private transport and 30% public transport obtained from the *Koeberg Administrative Complex and Training Centre Campus TIA (HHO, 2007)*. The existing vehicle occupancy is 1.42 for private transport and 5.12 for public transport.

The proposed MyCiTi Integrated Rapid Transit (IRT) system, which will connect the West Coast areas of Atlantis and Melkbos to Cape Town's CBD, as shown in **Figure 4.8**, is currently under construction and will be in operation by September 2013. The MyCiTi services are expected to improve the public transport access to the area.

4.4.2 Existing Bus Service

Data obtained from the *City of Cape Town's 2003/2004 Current Public Transport Record (CPTR)* indicates that the site is located within easy access of the existing bus services. The main public transport mode is bus, serving the existing Koeberg Nuclear Power Station via the Main Access Rd (Access 1) and the Duynefontein Access (Access 3).

The bus routes are concentrated along the R27, as shown in **Figure 4.9**. Two sheltered bus stops are located within 50 m of Access 1 along the R27 and a transport interchange area is located on-site adjacent to the visitors parking.

A total of 51 bus routes are currently operating along the section of R27 at Koeberg Nuclear Power Station, with a maximum utilisation of 115% on the route to Hanover Park. There is adequate capacity on the other routes along the R27 to accommodate additional trips to the site. Additional services may, however, be required on the Hanover Park route.

The routes operating along the Main Access Road and Ou Skip Road have adequate capacity to accommodate additional passengers. However, the route from Koeberg Nuclear Power Station to Pella is currently operating close to capacity and additional bus services may be required for this route.

The proposed restructuring of public transport and the introduction of Integrated Rapid Transit (IRT) routes should, however, be taken into account before the introduction of new services.

4.4.3 Existing Minibus Taxi Service

The *Current Public Transport Record (CPTR*) shows that the proposed Nuclear-1 site is also located within easy access of the existing minibus taxi routes. The secondary public transport mode is the minibus taxi service serving the existing Koeberg Nuclear Power Station via the Main Access Rd (Access 1) and the Duynefontein Access, as shown in **Figure 4.10**.

The R27 has 26 minibus taxi routes, with the Main Access Road and Ou Skip Road each with 1 and 4 minibus taxi routes, respectively. However, the bus mode appears to dominate along the R27.







4.4.4 Existing Commuter Rail Services

There are no existing commuter rail stations located in the vicinity of the site.

4.5 Non-Motorised Transport

On-site observations show recreational pedestrians and cyclists present within the Duynefontein farm boundaries. The extensive traffic calming measures and the 50 km / hr speed limit observed on-site is conducive to promoting safe non-motorised travel.

4.6 Low to Medium Radioactive Waste Transport

Currently, approximately 48 low to medium radioactive waste consignments are transported from Koeberg Nuclear Power Station to Vaalputs in the Northern Cape Province annually as part of the normal operations of the existing nuclear power station. The current waste route to Vaalputs is discussed in **Volume 2, Chapter 11** and shown in **Figure 11.8**.

4.7 Emergency Evacuation

An emergency evacuation study and plan entitled *Koeberg Nuclear Power Station Emergency Plan: Transport Modelling and Evacuation Management Plan* was compiled in 2005 by HHO Consultants. This study determined that the evacuation time from the PAZ and UPZ were within acceptable time limits. A summary of the findings is provided in **Table 4.2** below. Further details can be obtained in the HHO report.

Table 4.2 – Koeberg Nuclear Power Stations current evacuation time assessment results

	Legislative	e Requirements	Koeberg Nuclear Power Station Current Evacuation Assessment			
Persons	Area	Safety Zone	Time period	Assessment Period	Time (2005 to 2030)	
All Public	360 degree radius	PAZ 0km to 5km	Within 4 hours	AM Peak "worst	1.8 to 2 hours	
All Public	Any 67.5 degrees	UPZ 5km to 16km	Within 16 hours	case"	8.2 to 14.3 hours	

4.8 Air Route and Shipping Lane Impacts

A Site Safety Report, which details all airports, air routes and shipping lane data, and Koeberg Nuclear Power Station's impacts on those routes was completed for the Koeberg Nuclear Power Station in 2006. Existing information (Restricted flying zones etc.) is provided in **Volume 2, Chapter 11.**

4.9 Mitigating Actions Required

The following mitigating actions are recommended:

- The R27 / Main Access Road intersection is required to be upgraded by 2023, to enable the intersection to cope with the projected traffic demand. If the PGWC's proposal to upgrade to a grade separated intersection is feasible then this option should be implemented. If this option is not feasible, the R27 / Main Access Road intersection signalisation upgrade option, as shown in Figure 4.3, should be implemented.
- The R27 / Napolean Street intersection should be upgraded to traffic signals. However, the final upgrading decision must be agreed with the PGWC in conjunction with the above upgrade.

5 BANTAMSKLIP TRANSPORT STATUS QUO ASSESSMENT

5.1 Traffic Analysis

5.1.1 Background Traffic

The 2007 AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes along the R43 were obtained from the Provincial Government's Road Network Information System website for the following intersections:

- R43 / DR01211; and
- R43 / DR01206.

The locations of the above-mentioned intersections are shown in Figure 5.1.

The count confirms that AM and PM peak hours are:

- AM peak hour 08:00 to 09:00; and
- PM peak hour 16:00 to 17:00.

The results of the 2007 AM and PM peak hour background traffic are shown in **Annexure B1 and B2**.

Nuclear-1 is expected to be operational in 2023. According to the *Overberg District Municipality's Integrated Transport Plan (ITP) (2006)*, the annual growth rate for the area is 3% per annum. This growth rate was applied to the background traffic to determine the 2023 background traffic.

The calculated 2023 background traffic volumes for the AM and PM peak hours are shown in **Annexure B3 and B4**.

5.1.2 Intersection Capacity Analysis

Intersection analysis was performed using the SIDRA 3.2 Computer Programme for the following intersections:

- R43 / DR01211; and
- R43 / DR01206.

The following traffic scenarios were analysed during the AM and PM peak periods:

- 2007 Background Traffic; and
- 2023 Background Traffic.

The LOS and 95th percentile vehicle queues for the above scenarios are summarised in **Annexure B5 to B8**. The analysis results are summarised hereafter. Detailed results are available on request.



36

(a) R43 / DR01211

The existing geometry and aerial view of R43 / DR01211 is shown in **Figure 5.2**. The intersection currently acts as a stop-controlled intersection at the DR01211 approaches.



Figure 5.2: R43 / DR01211 Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2007 Background Traffic

All approaches operate at LOS A during the AM and PM peak hours with minimal vehicle queues.

(ii) 2023 Background Traffic

All approaches will operate at LOS A and LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively, with minimal vehicle queues. No upgrades are therefore required.

(b) R43 / DR01206

The existing geometry and aerial view of R43 / DR01206 is shown in **Figure 5.3**. The intersection currently acts as a stop-controlled intersection at the DR01206 approach.



Figure 5.3: R43 / DR01206 Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2007 Background Traffic

All approaches operate at LOS A during the AM and PM peak hours, with minimal vehicle queues.

(ii) 2023 Background Traffic

All approaches will continue to operate at LOS A during the AM and PM peak hours with minimal vehicle queues. No upgrades are therefore required.

5.2 Public Transport

Public transport in the Overstrand Local Municipality is exclusively road-based and is more prominent in the major towns and almost non-existent in the smaller towns.

Only 30% of residents use public transport, while the remainder walk, cycle or use private transport. The following problems have added to the low levels of public transport usage in the region:

- The high costs of public transport;
- The high levels of unemployment;
- The unavailability of public transport; and
- Safety / driver behaviour.

The existing bus and minibus taxi routes are shown in **Figure 5.4**. The main public transport mode within the area is minibus taxi, which serves the beach resort towns of Gansbaai and Pearly Beach.

Buses are mostly used for the transportation of learners and organised parties and do not fulfil a commuter function as minibus taxis do. Buses are also contracted to transport employees. Tour buses are used for the transportation of exclusive groups.

Public transport facilities are currently provided in Hawston and Hermanus. No formal public transport facilities are provided in Gansbaai or Pearly Beach or in close proximity to Bantamsklip. Where required, workers are mostly transported by their employers in light delivery vehicles or trucks.

5.3 Non-Motorised Transport

The Overberg District Municipality's Integrated Transport Plan (2006) determined that 58% of people who travel use non-motorised transport (bicycle or walking). However, there is a lack of non-motorised transport facilities like pedestrian routes and cycle routes in the area. The ITP identified the promotion of public transport and non-motorised transport as a priority.



39

August 2012 Version 12

5.4 Mitigating Actions Required

No mitigation actions are recommended.

6 THYSPUNT TRANSPORT STATUS QUO ASSESSMENT

6.1 Traffic Analysis

6.1.1 Background Traffic

Manual traffic counts were undertaken on 24 - 26 June 2008 during the AM (06:00 - 09:00) and PM (16:00 - 18:00) peak periods at the following intersections:

- R330 / Main Access Road;
- R330 / St. Francis Bay Access Road;
- R330/ Oyster Bay Access Road;
- R330 / Gravel Road;
- Park Road / Main Street (R330);
- Main Street (R330) / Voortrekker Road (R102);
- R330 / N2 South Off-Ramp; and
- R330 / N2 North Off-Ramp

As Oyster Bay Road is considered as one of the major transport route to the Nuclear-1 site in the later stage of the study. Additional peak and off-peak traffic counts (over a 12 hours period during the day) were therefore undertaken on Tuesday, 16 August 2011 at the following intersections:

- Voortrekker Road (R102) / Westgate Road;
- Oyster Bay Road / Park Street;
- Voortrekker Road (R102) / Johnson Road

The location of the above-mentioned intersections is shown in **Figure 6.1**.

The count confirmed that the AM and PM peak hours are:

- AM peak hour 07:30 to 08:30; and
- PM peak hour 16:30 to 17:30

The 12 hours count also confirmed that the off-peak traffic volumes are significantly lower than the peak hour counts and it is therefore considered unnecessary to analyse the off-peak counts.

The results of the 2008/2011 AM and PM peak hour background traffic are shown in **Annexure C1 and C2**.

This area experiences a large influx of holiday makers over the summer holidays. An average of 50% increase in the background traffic can be expected for the PM peak during summer holiday season. This was observed from a follow-up traffic count undertaken on 20 and 21 December 2011.

Units of Nuclear-1 are expected to be completed between 2023 and 2025. According to the *Cacadu District Municipality's Spatial Development Framework (SDF) (2007)*, the annual growth rate for the area is 2% per annum. This growth rate was applied to the 2008/2011 background traffic to determine the 2023 background traffic.

The calculated 2023 background traffic volumes for the AM and PM peak hours are shown in **Annexure C3 and C4**.

6.1.2 Intersection Capacity Analysis

Intersection analysis was performed using the SIDRA 3.2 Computer Programme for the following intersections:

- R330 / St. Francis Bay Access Road;
- R330/ Oyster Bay Access Road;
- R330 / Gravel Road;
- Park Road / Main Street (R300);
- Main Street (R330) / Voortrekker Road (R102);
- R330 / N2 South Off-Ramp; and
- R330 / N2 North Off-Ramp
- Voortrekker Road (R102) / Johnson Street
- Vootrekker Road (R102) / Westgate Road / Koerat Street
- Park Street / Oyster Bay Road

The following traffic scenarios were analysed during the AM and PM peak periods:

- 2008/2011 Background Traffic; and
- 2023 Background Traffic

The LOS and 95th percentile vehicle queues for the above scenarios are summarised in **Annexure C5 to C8**. The analysis results are summarised hereafter.





(a) R330 / St. Francis Bay Access Road

The geometry and aerial view of R330 / St. Francis Bay Access Road in 2008 is shown in **Figure 6.3**. The intersection was a stop-controlled intersection at the golf estate and St. Francis Bay Access Road approaches in 2008.





(i) 2008 Background Traffic

All approaches operate at LOS A and LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours with minimal vehicle queues.

(ii) 2023 Background Traffic

The intersection was upgraded to a single-lane roundabout after the traffic count in 2008. The existing geometry of the intersection is shown in **Figure 6.4**.



Figure 6.4: R330 / St. Francis Bay Access Road Existing Intersection Geometry

All approaches are still expected to operate at LOS A and LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours with minimal vehicle queues.

(b) R330 / Oyster Bay Access Road

The existing geometry and aerial view of R330 / Oyster Bay Access Road is shown in **Figure 6.5**. The intersection is currently a stop-controlled intersection at the Oyster Bay Access Road approach.



Figure 6.5: R330 / Oyster Bay Access Road Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2008 Background Traffic

All approaches on the R330 operate at LOS A during the AM and PM peak hours with minimal vehicle queues. The left and right turns from the Oyster Bay approaches operates at LOS B during both the AM and PM peak periods.

(ii) 2023 Background Traffic

All approaches on the R330 are still expected to operate at LOS A during the AM and PM peak hours with minimal vehicle queues. The left and right turns from the Oyster Bay approach are expected to operate at LOS B during both the AM and PM peak periods. No upgrades are therefore required.

(c) R330 / Gravel Road

The existing geometry and aerial view of R330 / Gravel Road is shown in Figure 6.6.



Figure 6.6: R330 / Gravel Road Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2008 Background Traffic

All approaches operate at LOS A during the AM and PM peak hours with minimal vehicle queues.

(ii) 2023 Background Traffic

All approaches will continue to operate at LOS A during the AM and PM peak hours with minimal vehicle queues. No upgrades are therefore required.

(d) Park Road (R330) / Main Street (R330)

The existing geometry and aerial view of Park Road / Main Street are shown in **Figure 6.7**. The intersection is currently an all-way stop-controlled intersection.



Figure 6.7a: Park Road / Main Street Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2008 Background Traffic

All approaches operate acceptably at LOS A and LOS B with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours. This has been confirmed by on-site observations undertaken during the December holiday season, as shown in **Figure 6.7b**.



Figure 6.7b: Traffic condition at the Park Road / Main Street intersection

(ii) 2023 Background Traffic

All approaches will continue to operate acceptably at LOS A and LOS B with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours. No upgrades are therefore required.

(e) Main Street (R330) / Voortrekker Road (R102)

The existing geometry and aerial view of Main Street (R330) / Voortrekker Road (R102) are shown in **Figure 6.8**.



Figure 6.8a: Main Street (R330) / Voortrekker Road (R102) Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2008 Background Traffic

All approaches operate acceptably at LOS A to LOS C with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours. This has been confirmed by the on-site observations undertaken during the December holiday season, as shown in **Figure 6.8b**.



Figure 6.8b: Traffic condition at the Voortrekker Road / Main Street intersection

(ii) 2023 Background Traffic

The intersection experiences the highest traffic volumes in the local area and is highly likely to require upgrading before 2023. This upgrade could either be to a roundabout or to a signalised intersection. It has been analysed as a signalised intersection with the existing geometry for the 2023 scenario. All approaches will continue to operate acceptably at LOS A to LOS C with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours.

(f) R330 / N2 South Off-Ramp

The existing geometry and aerial view of R330 / N2 South Off-Ramp is shown in Figure 6.9.



Figure 6.9: R330 / N2 South Off-Ramp Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2008 Background Traffic

All approaches operate acceptably at LOS A and LOS B with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours.

(ii) 2023 Background Traffic

All approaches will continue to operate acceptably at LOS A and LOS B with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours. No upgrades are therefore required.

(g) R330 / N2 North Off-Ramp

The existing geometry and aerial view of R330 / N2 North Off-Ramp are shown in **Figure 6.10a**.





(i) 2008 Background Traffic

All approaches operate acceptably at LOS A and LOS B with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours.

(ii) 2021 Background Traffic

All approaches will continue to operate acceptably at LOS A and LOS B with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours. No upgrades are therefore required.

(h) Voortrekker Road (R102) / Johnson Street

The existing geometry and aerial view of Voortrekker Road (R101) / Johnson Street are shown in **Figure 6.10b**.



Figure 6.10b: Voortrekker Road (R102) / Johnson Street Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2011 Background Traffic

All approaches operate well at LOS with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours.

(ii) 2021 Background Traffic

All approaches will continue to operate well at LOS A with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours. No upgrades are therefore required.

(i) Voortrekker Road (R102) / Westgate Road / Koerat Street

The existing geometry and aerial view of Voortrekker Road (R102) / Westgate Road / Koerate Street are shown in **Figure 6.10c**.



Figure 6.10c: Voortrekker Road / Westgate Road / Koerat Street Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2011 Background Traffic

All approaches operate well at LOS A and LOS B with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours.

(ii) 2021 Background Traffic

All approaches will continue to operate well at LOS A and LOS B with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours.

(j) Park Street / Oyster Bay Road

The existing geometry and aerial view of Park Street / Oyster Bay Road are shown in **Figure 6.10d**.



Figure 6.10d: Park Street / Oyster Bay Road Existing Intersection Geometry

(i) 2011 Background Traffic

All approaches operate acceptably at LOS A and LOS B with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours.

(ii) 2021 Background Traffic

All approaches will continue to operate acceptably at LOS A and LOS B with minimal vehicle queues during the AM and PM peak hours.

6.2 Public Transport

The existing modal split, obtained from the 2003 National Household Travel Survey (DoT, 2003) for the Cacadu District Municipality within the Eastern Cape is shown in **Table 6.1**.

District or metro	% of Households						
	Train	Bus	Taxi	Car	Walk	Other	Can't qet there
Cacadu	0	0.1	12.9	27.7	56.1	3.1	0.1

Table 6.1: Modal Split for Cacadu District Municipality

Only 30% of residents use private transport, 13% use public transport and the remainder walk or use other means of transport.

The existing public transportation infrastructure within the Cacadu District is dominated by the use of minibus taxis. Of this usage, 83% of minibus taxi commuters utilise taxis for long distance travelling (defined as a route travelling outside a town's boundary) and 17% use it for local / commuter routes (defined as a route not travelling outside a town's boundary).

Predominant minibus taxi ranks within the Cacadu District are contained within the towns as illustrated in **Figure 6.11**, the determining factor of the predominance being the utilisation of the taxi rank in the form of more than ten outgoing trips a day.

Current trends within the Cacadu District suggest that the utilisation of the bus as a mode of public transportation is declining rapidly. This is particularly evident in the form of local / commuter travel, due to the operation of taxis being far more lucrative and feasible. Long distance bus travel is still typically undertaken by operations such as City to City, Greyhound, Intercape and Translux – all of these service providers only travel on national routes.

In terms of rail transportation only three passenger rail services exist, as shown in **Figure 6.12**, namely:

- The Alicedale / Grahamstown route;
- The Port Alfred / Bathurst route; and
- The Apple Express line.





The Alicedale / Grahamstown route is primarily used by work seekers and shoppers and the Port Alfred and Bathurst route is primarily used by tourists exploring Bathurst. The Apple Express line is also predominantly utilised by day visitors / tourists travelling between Port Elizabeth and Thornhill (located within the Local Municipality of Kouga).

6.3 Non-Motorised Transport

There are currently minimal non-motorised transport (NMT) movements in the vicinity of the site. However, in the surrounding towns, such as Oyster Bay, Humansdorp and St. Francis Bay, NMT is limited to low-income users from rural areas and scholars.

Animal-drawn carts are widely used as an alternative to motorised transport by people in low-income areas. This is a particular problem on the N2, north of Grahamstown, where carts are used for transport by the communities situated adjacent to the N2.

6.4 Mitigating Actions Required

The following mitigation action is required:

• The intersection of Voortrekker Road and Main Street is highly likely to require upgrading by 2023 to either a roundabout or a signalised intersection. Further detailed investigation will be required before a final decision can be made.