

PROJECT NAME: THYSPUNT TRANSMISSION LINES INTEGRATION PROJECT

MEETING DESCRIPTION: TTLIP-NU-WAY DEVELOPMENT ROUTING MEETING

VENUE: BLOCK A, 367 SURREY AVENUE, FERNDALE, RANDBURG

DATE & TIME: TUESDAY 26 JUNE 2012 @ 10:00AM

	ID	Company	Tel	Cell	Email
In Attendance					
Jordan Mann	TM	Nu-Way Developments			
Lerato Mokgwatlheng	LM	Eskom	011 800 6812	084 580 6147	MokgwaLL@eskom.co.za
Pieter Steenkamp	PS		011 800 5818	083 632 7681	p.steenkamp@eskom.co.za
Kelly Tucker	KT	SiVEST	011 798 0600	083 652 4017	kellyt@sivest.co.za
Nicolene Venter	NV		012 662 2843	083 377 9112	iafrica@icon.co.za
Apologies					
Wimpie Henning	WH	Eskom	011 800 2962	078 459 4951	Wimpie.henning@eskom.co.za
Paul da Cruz	PDC	SiVEST	011 798 6425	084 224 0088	pauld@ssi.co.za

1. WELCOME, INTRODUCTION & APOLOGIES

NV welcomed all present and the attendees introduced themselves. No additional apologies, as per the Attendance above, were tabled.

2. PURPOSE OF THE MEETING

The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the current EIA Team-preferred routing for the Southern Corridor through or around Nu-Way Development's in Coega and to investigate and discuss a routing that is agreeable to the TTLIP team and Nu-Way Developments.

3. DISCUSSIONS AND DECISIONS

3.1. JM provided a detailed background regarding the financial investment, environmental assessment process, design and planning associated with the Nu-Way Development at Coega. High level interest from Parliament and that of the NMBM has been provided as this proposed development will assist the NMBM to address the huge housing shortage experienced by the NMBM.

3.2. PS gave a brief overview regarding the restrictions associated with Eskom's registered servitudes and the main three restrictions are, but not limited to:

- No permanent structures allowed
- No vegetation growing higher than 4m i.e. trees / shrubs
- No stacking of material / hay, etc

3.3. JM asked whether Eskom has the right to expropriate.

PS, and confirm by LM, replied that expropriation is the absolute last resort that Eskom will consider. All means possible, through consultation and negotiation, would be used to find a suitable agreement between the two parties.

3.4. JM enquired as to how Eskom calculate the compensation payout.

PS replied that Eskom look at the affected party's real financial loss i.e. impact on structures, services already provided to a site, etc.

3.5. JM stated that it is Nu-Way Developments request that the proposed 2 x 400kV power lines be route around the development, but should this not be possible that negotiations must be entered into. They are not opposing to the TTLIP.

LM replied that it is for that reason that the meeting is taking place. Due to the national importance of the project common grounds need to be found between the two parties as the TTLIP needs to move forward.

KT noted Nu-Way Developments preference that the power lines to avoid their development area will be communicated to the TTLIP technical team.

3.6. PS informed the attendee that as approved developments are encroaching on the corridors, Eskom will have to take a strategic decision and secure the servitudes for the 5 x 400kV Tx lines prior to Environmental Authorisation. These negotiations will therefore be done on risk.

- 3.7. LM informed JM that Eskom has a MOA with Red Cape, a wind farm developer close to Thyspunt and it would be to the advantage of both Eskom and Nu-Way to draft a MOA between them.

PS state that the MOA will not include any figures (compensation) but it is an understanding between the two parties and both taking note of each others' conditions and limitations.

- 3.8. JM enquire as to when the Southern Corridor power lines would be constructed.

LM replied that the newly proposed Port Elizabeth substation and the lines between this substations and Eskom's existing Grassridge Transmission substation will go ahead irrespective of the Nuclear-1 project. SiVEST submitted revised Applications to the DEA seeking approval for the new proposed Port Elizabeth substation and the 2 x 400kV power lines from the new proposed Port Elizabeth power lines to Grassridge. The new proposed substation and associated 2 x 400kV power lines if on a critical path as the NMBM need the additional electricity supply to address the developments taking place in the Eastern Cape Province. Construction will commence as soon as possible.

- 3.9. JM enquired whether the servitude of the existing Tx power line traversing the Nu-Way Development is not wide enough to accommodate the additional 2 x 400kV Tx power lines.

PS responded that as he is not familiar with the study area as he is attending the meeting on behalf of Mr Wimpie Henning who is the appointed Land & Rights person who will ultimately be responsible for the servitude negotiations.

It was agreed that information will be obtained and feedback provided to JM.

- 3.10. LM informed JM that a copy of the fly-over report / maps / .kml / shape files will be forwarded to him.

4. WAY FORWARD & CLOSURE

- 4.1. Possible MOA between Eskom and Nu-Way Development

Responsible person: LM

- 4.2. Investigation to assess whether the existing Tx power line traversing the Nu-Way Development is wide enough to accommodate the additional 2 x 400kV Tx power lines

Responsible person: PS & LM

- 4.3. Fly-over report / maps / .kml / shape files of the Southern Corridors to be send to JM.

Responsible person: PDC

Delivery date: 12 July 2012

- 5. Meeting ended at 12h00**