RICHARDS BAY COMBINED CYCLE POWER PLANT (CCPP) AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE NEAR RICHARDS BAY, KWAZULU-NATAL COMMENTS AND RESPONSES REPORT

CONTENTS

COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE SCOPING REPORT	1
ORGANS OF STATE ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION	1
TRAFFIC IMPACTS	4
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS AND I&AP REGISTRATIONS	6
VISUAL IMPACTS & SITE LOCATION	8
PROJECT NEED AND DESIRABILITY	10
PROJECT TECHNICAL DETAILS	10
SITE SELECTION PROCESS	16
GRID CONNECTION INFRASTRUCTURE	18
LAND CLAIMS	19
AIR NAVIGATION IMPACTS	
IMPACTS TO AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL	20
COMMENTS FROM THE NATIONAL DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS	25
WATER USES AND WATER USE LICENSE APPLICATION PROCEDURE	28
WATER CONSUMPTION REQUIREMENTS AND WATER AVAILABILITY	42
TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL OF EFFLUENT	44
AIR QUALITY IMPACTS	45
CONSULTATION WITH THE RICHARDS BAY CLEAN AIR ASSOCIATION	48
ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS	55
IMPACTS TO TRANSNET ACTIVITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE	56
SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACTS	56
CONSULTATION WITH THE RICHARDS BAY IDZ	57
GENERAL	59

COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE SCOPING REPORT

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
ORGAN	IS OF STATE ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND REQUESTS FOR IN	FORMATION	
1.	Do you have a locality plan depicting the	Futhi Mathebula	A locality map was sent to Futhi Mathebula of Transnet via email
	proposed activities versus Transnet Freight Rail	National Capacity	on 24 August 2017.
	properties so that we are able to comment	Planning & Strategy	
	comprehensively?	Transnet Real Estate	
		Transnet Ltd	
		Email: 21-08-2017	
2.	Please send me the Background Information	John Geeringh	The BID and locality map was sent to John Geeringh of Eskom via
	Document (BID) or a locality map.	Senior Environmental	email on 22 August 2017. No further comments have been
		Consultant	received to date.
		Eskom SOC Ltd	
		Email: 22-08-2017	
3.	Your EIA process notice forms part of our approval	Lizell Stroh	SACAA's requirements have been submitted to the applicant. The
	from the South African Civil Aviation Authority	Obstacle Inspector	applicant will apply for the SACAA approvals once the CCPP
	(SACAA) with regard to CCPP project refers. There	Procedures for Air	designs are finalised. SACAA will be consulted in November 2017 to determine the process to follow.
	is a SACAA process whereby permission is applied	Navigation Services-	
	for with regards to obstacles which could pose an	Aircraft Operations	
	aviation hazard. More information can be	Air Navigation Services	
	obtained at http://www.caa.co.za. Click on		
	information for industry 'Obstacles' on the LHS.	South African Civil	
	Forms, Part 139-27 and submit on the form itself.	Aviation Authority	
	• Kindly provide a .kml (Google Earth) file	(SACAA)	
	reflecting the footprint of the proposed		
	development site including the proposed	Email: 22-08-2017	

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
No.	 Comment/Issue overhead electric power line route that will evacuate the generated power to the national grid. Also indicate the highest structure of the project & the Overhead electric power transmission line. Note that there may be other wind farms and PV farms in the area. Unique names are preferable. Please always use the proposed PV farm name in the Subject box when corresponding via email with this office and indicate the name & address which should appear on the CAA approval/decline letter. There is an assessment fee of R820 per application. 	Issue Raised By	Response
	 For billing purposes: company name VAT nr. and postal details. Kindly ensure that all the above data is forwarded. Incomplete data causes unnecessary delays. 		
4.	Thank you for notifying Amafa. Comment will be published on the SAHRIS facility on www.sahra.org.za once we have received proof of payment (currently R700) and site photos/case images. The payment details are on the cover sheet of the Need and Desirability Form (NID-Notice of Intention to Develop Form) available on the Amafa website www.heritagekzn.co.za.	Bernadet Pawandiwa Senior Heritage Officer Archaeology Compliance/Permits Amafa Heritage KwaZulu-Natali	The Scoping Report was uploaded on the SAHRIS website (Case Reference: 11535) on 21 August 2017. A completed Need and Desirability Form and proof of payment was submitted to SAHRIS and Amafa Heritage on 04 September 2017. No further comments have been received to date.

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
		Email: 22-08-2017	
5.	The South African National Roads Agency SOC Ltd	Jabu Zondo	SANRAL's requirements with regards to the submission of Scoping
	(SANRAL) hereby notifies you that all Scoping	Statutory Control –	and Environmental Impact Assessment Reports are noted. A
	Environmental Impact Assessment Reports	Eastern Region	Scoping Report was submitted to SANRAL on 21 August 2017. It
	submitted to this office for comments shall conform		must be noted that a Traffic Impact Assessment will be undertaken
	to the following requirements:	SANRAL	during the EIA Phase of the project.
	1) All reports must be submitted as a hard copy		
	via courier or normal mail.	Email: 25-08-2017	
	2) Submissions must be A4 – DIN size (210x297mm)		
	and be bound on the left side.		
	3) Cover letter fully describing the purpose of the		
	submission.		
	4) Executive Summary including a description of		
	the proposed development or activity.		
	5) Clearly annotated Locality Map – A3-Din size		
	(297x420mm) folded to A4 size.6) Clearly annotated Development/Site Layout		
	plan – A3 Din size (297x420mm) folded to A4		
	size.		
	7) Associated Town Planning Proposal		
	8) Listed Activities.		
	9) Road infrastructure provision and the		
	associated Traffic Impact Assessment		
	10) Comments from other relevant Transport		
	Authorities e.g. Provincial Departments of		
	Transport, Municipality etc.		
	11) Storm water management		
	All ancillary information must be included on a		

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Re	esponse
	Compact Disc (CD) for further reference.			
	12) All submissions to be addressed to:			
	The Regional Manager – Eastern Region			
	58 Van Eck Place			
	Mkondeni			
	Pietermaritzburg			
	3201			
	Attention: Statutory Control Department			
	13) Every effort must be taken by the applicant to			
	ensure that only relevant and concise			
	information is included to prevent unnecessarily			
	large or voluminous submissions.			
	Your cooperation in this regard will be appreciated			
	and you are to note that any submission in an			
	electronic (soft copy) format or a submission that			
	does not conform to the above standard			
	requirements will not be processed from hereon.			
	Furthermore, SANRAL reserves the right to request			
	any additional information it deems relevant in its			
	consideration of any submission in this regard.			
TRAFFIC	CIMPACTS			
6.	From the drawing supplied it is not clear if you will	Judy Marx	*	The routes which are located within close proximity to the
	be near a National Route our comments are set out	Statutory Control –		project site include the Regional road (R34) located
	below in the event that it does traverse or run	Eastern Region		approximately 900m south of the project site and the National
	parallel to a National Route.			road (N2) located approximately 4.5km to the west of the
		South African National		project site.
	Any powerline and associated infrastructure that	Roads Agency SOC Ltd	*	The project site and the associated infrastructure does not

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	crosses or runs parallel to the National Road or placed within SANRAL's (The South African National Roads Agency SOC Ltd) building restriction area which is 60 metres from the Road Reserve Boundary needs SANRAL's approval.	(SANRAL) Letter: 15-08-2017	traverse the National road, therefore approval from SANRAL will not be required in this regard. It should be noted that the grid infrastructure to connect the CCPP to the national grid, or any other linear infrastructure associated with the project, will be assessed under a separate application for environmental authorisation.
	Once a route has been approved and finalised and falls within 60 metres parallel or crosses the National Road a wayleave will have to be submitted to SANRAL's Eastern Region for approval. Formal application shall be made to this office on an encroachment form which can be made available at the time of application and must be completed by the service owner.		 The roads associated with the development of the Richards Bay CCPP will not be located within 60m of a National road, therefore no wayleave application will be required to be approved by SANRAL's Eastern Region. A formal application for encroachment is not required due to the location of the project site in relation to the N2, which is located approximately 4.5km to the west.
7.	How will the impacts on traffic be managed if diesel or gas is required to be trucked in.	Franz Schmidt SHREQC Manager Richards Bay Alloys Public Meeting: 31-08-2017	A Traffic Impact Assessment will be undertaken in the EIA phase of this project, and will also address issues related to transportation of the fuel. Traffic impacts will be assessed and appropriate management measures proposed and presented in the Traffic Impact Assessment and in the EIA Report. Gas will not be trucked in but will be supplied by a gas supplier via its pipeline to the Eskom connection point at the boundary fence of the plant. Only diesel (used as back-up) will be trucked in.
8.	What modes of transport will be moving in and out of the proposed power plant?	Vuyo Keswa Environmental Manager Transnet Freight Rail Meeting:	A gas pipeline will be used to supply gas to the power plant as the primary fuel. Fuel tankers will be used occasionally should diesel be required to operate the facility as a back-up (this is all during operation of the power plant). During construction there will be construction vehicles moving in and out of the site on a regular basis

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
9.	Has a Traffic Impact Assessment been undertaken?	31-08-2017	A Traffic Study was undertaken as part of the Environmental Screening and Site Selection Study and a Traffic Impact
			Assessment will be conducted during the EIA phase.
PUBLIC	PARTICIPATION PROCESS AND I&AP REGISTRATIONS		1
10.		Frans van der Walt	The proposed 3000MW Richards Bay Combined Cycle Power
	25/08/2017) that Public Meetings are to be held for		Project (CCPP) is a different project to the Gas Power Plant
	what I can only assume to be the same project as	QS2000 Plus (Quantity	proposed by Richards Bay Gas Power 2 (Pty) Ltd, an independent
	this one, but this time round for a facility 10 times	Surveyors & Project	
	the size, i.e. 3000 MW vs. the original 300 MW. We	Managers)	referred to by Frans Schmidt, related to. The EIA process for the
	have not heard from you whatsoever since the		Gas Power Plant (proposed by Richards Bay Gas Power 2) project
	communication hereunder, and also find that	Email: 28-08-2017	was completed in 2016. The project received environmental
	curious?		authorisation in October 2016.
	I shall be attending the Public Meeting on Thursday,		The EAP confirms that Frans Schmidt has been registered as an
	31/08 at 09h00 at the Richards Bay Public Library. 1		Interested and Affected Party (I&AP) on the Richards Bay CCPP
	look forward to receiving substantially more		project's database. Correspondence distributed from the CRM
	information on this project, as well as the planned		system did not reach Frans Schmidt due to technical issues which
	routing of the LNG Gas from the source and/or the		have subsequently been resolved.
	Port of Richards Bay. I can only assume that this EIA		
	process actually include the route?!		Please note that the LNG gas pipeline will be subjected to an EIA
			process under a separate application which will be undertaken by
	I do have various issues with the deemed locality for		a separate entity.
	the facility and would share that at the Meeting.		
	Please do ensure that we are added to the		
	database on this project to ensure we do receive		
	future correspondence, notices, etc.		
11.		George Lotter	George Lotter of Motla Consulting Engineers has been registered
	George Lotter) via email rbadmin@motla.co.za to	Electrical Engineer	as an I&AP on the project's database.
	your database. We are electrical consulting		

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	engineers.	Motla Consulting Engineers (Pty) Ltd. Email: 29-08-2017	
12.	The DEA will request comments from DWS on the Scoping and EIA reports. We will submit our comments to the environmental consultant and to DEA directly.	Masala Nemubura Environmental Officer Department of Water and Sanitation Meeting: 30-08-2017	Comments on the draft SR dated 17-09-2017 were received from the DWS.
13.	It is recommended that you consult Mondi. Mondi has previously blocked activity on the proposed project site. I have noted that air quality has been identified as least preferable in terms of the selected site. Air pollution works both ways and one would need to take cognisance of the air pollution impacts that Mondi would have on the project site and determine what mitigation measures could be implemented to reduce these impacts.	Franz Schmidt SHREQC Manager Richards Bay Alloys Public Meeting: 31-08-2017	A one-on-one meeting was held with Candice Webb the Environmental Manager at Mondi on 30 August 2017. Potential air quality impacts caused by Mondi have been raised and Eskom has taken note of these.
14.	The site is in close proximity to Mondi. Have any incompatibilities with those land users been assessed (i.e. the pulp mill).	Sandy Camminga Chairperson – EIA Committee Richards Bay Clean Air Association Meeting:	A meeting has been held with Mondi and further discussions will be held in this regard and comments on the DSR are expected to be submitted.

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
		31-08-2017	
15.	The presentation should have included more detailed information on the power plant processes.	Sharin Govender PM: Environmental Management	The presentation provided a summary of the infrastructure required for the power plant and the technology being investigated. Detailed information is presented within the Scoping Report.
		City of uMhlatuze Municipality	
		Meeting: 31-08-2017	
VISUAL	IMPACTS & SITE LOCATION		
16.	Is the proposed site the same erven that Pulp United undertook an EIA on?	Candice Webb Environmental Manager'	The project is proposed on Portion 2 and Portion 4 of Erf 11376, the same site that was considered for the Pulp United plant.
17.	Mondi's primary concern is the potential impact the power plant or power plant processes would have on the quality of our product. Only potable water is utilised within our process to ensure the brightness	Mondi Meeting:	Mondi's concern regarding the potential impacts to their product considering the location of the warehouse in relation to the proposed power plant site is noted. Eskom and the air quality specialist will consider this concern in their layout design, and the
	and whiteness of our product. The proposed power plant will face Mondi's warehouse and this is a concern for us.	30-08-2017	most optimal layout will be provided in the Draft EIA.
18.	What will the power plant's visual impact be? The power plant's proximity to the John Ross Highway must be considered.	Frans van der Walt QS2000 Plus (Quantity Surveyors & Project Managers)	Afzelia Environmental Consultants have been appointed to undertake a Detailed Visual Impact Assessment. The Scoping report provides detail on the visual receptors in the area that would be impacted by the development. At this stage, the visual impact is considered to be medium-low subject to a detailed assessment being undertaken in the EIA phase.
19.	This power plant will be a Major Hazardous Installation (MHI). The location of the power plant in close proximity to the John Ross Highway, a	Public Meeting: 31-08-2017	A MHI assessment is being conducted and will form part of the EIA report. The potential impact of the facility on the John Ross Highway will be considered in the MHI assessment.

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	critical arterial to the Richards Bay Port, must be considered.		
20.	I am not supportive that Phase 1D is being considered as the site for the development of the proposed power plant due to the potential visual impacts and that it will be a MHI. This project will have a negative impact on the proposed Richards Bay Port expansion. More appropriate sites should be considered, for example, sites within Phase 2 of the IDZ might be better suited for the development of a power station.		Afzelia Environmental Consultants have been appointed to undertake a Detailed Visual Impact Assessment. The Scoping report provides detail on the visual receptors in the area that could be impacted by the development. At this stage, the visual impact is considered to be medium-low subject to a detailed assessment being undertaken in the EIA phase. Eskom identified six potential sites within the greater Richards Bay area for the development of the proposed power plant. Four sites were taken forward into an environmental screening study. The process followed in determining which sites were most preferred is outlined in Chapter 3 of the Scoping report. Phase 1D is considered to be the most preferred alternative for consideration in the environmental screening and site selection study. The area surrounding the project site is inclusive of open fields, industrial activities, and pockets of commercial activities. The proposed development is, therefore, compatible with the surrounding land uses. No fatal flaws from an environmental perspective were identified. Mitigation in terms of air quality through appropriate design of the facility will however be required.
21.	That specific location concerns me. A much better site would be next to the Athene Transmission Station in Empangeni because of its proximity to the Sasol pipeline. The power station can also connect		As Savannah Environmental indicated in the presentation, Eskom commissioned a Site Screening and Selection Study to identify the most preferred site for the power plant. The Site Screening and Selection Study details the methodology used and the factors
	to the Athene Transmission Station. This site would make more sense as there would be limited visual and air quality impacts.		considered in selecting this site as the most preferred alternative. The Scoping report provides further details in this regard.

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
PROJEC	CT NEED AND DESIRABILITY		
22.	What is the reason for developing this project? It	Franz Schmidt	The purpose of the project is to reduce transmission losses from
	seems as though 3000MW is more than Richards	SHREQC Manager	generation facilities supplying KwaZulu-Natal, by having a
	Bay requires in the future with the development of		generation centre in KwaZulu-Natal. Also, the project is planned to
	other energy related projects.	Richards Bay Alloys	aid in reducing Eskom's carbon footprint per Unit of electricity
			produced, as power plants using natural gas emit approximately
		Public Meeting:	half the carbon of coal-fired power plants while using considerably
		31-08-2017	less water, thus supporting Government's commitment to reduce
			carbon emissions. It should be noted however, that Eskom are still
			undertaking feasibility studies to determine whether the
			development of such a power plant will be viable. Eskom will
			decide whether to proceed with the implementation of this power
			plant once the permitting requirements and regulatory
			compliance requirements have been met.
23.	Is the intention of this power plant to be part of the	GA Lotter	The plant is a mid-merit plant that will operate for 16 hours per day
	primary generation of Eskom or will it be a standby	Engineer	for 5 days per week.
	plant that will only be used if necessary. Is the plant		
	going to run fulltime or on a standby basis?	Motla	
		Public Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	
PROJEC	CT TECHNICAL DETAILS		
24.	Why is the gas pipeline being assessed under a	Candice Webb	Eskom will need to enter into a gas sales agreement (GSA) with
	separate EIA process?	Environmental Manager	potential gas suppliers. The entity supplying the gas will be
			responsible for undertaking the EIA for the gas pipeline. However,
		Mondi	the pipeline inside the power plant or at the boundary fence
			(connection point) of the gas power plant will be assessed in this
		Meeting:	EIA. Eskom is in discussions with Transnet and other stakeholders to
		30-08-2017	determine possible routing options for the gas pipeline.

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
No. 25.	Comment/Issue Where will the fuel for this power plant be sourced from? Will the fuel be supplied via the Mozambique gas pipeline, via LNG containers being delivered, via an FSRU or a land-based storage facility? How can an EIA for the gas power plant be undertaken without having completed an EIA for the fuel pipeline? Details pertaining to the supply of fuel must be included in this EIA assessment as this aspect of the project will have a monumental impact on transportation routes, safety, etc. One has to take fuel supply into consideration in this EIA.	Dion Wilmans Director Richards Bay Gas Power 2 Public Meeting: 30-08-2018	Response The application for environmental authorisation only applies to the power plant itself. In terms of Eskom's mandate, it is not authorised to develop or construct gas pipelines. Eskom is a power generation, transmission and distribution company. A partnership with the relevant service provider would need to be established to determine the routing of the pipeline and the supply of gas. This partnership will be responsible for the permitting of the pipeline and gas supply and storage. It should be noted, however, that Eskom considered aspects relating to fuel supply when the site was selected. The project is being developed in phases and the project's operational requirements will be met when all the phases and aspects of the project have been considered. Eskom has experience from two plants requiring the supply of fuel in the Western Cape and therefore, understand the requirements and what the impacts are. Furthermore, Eskom has in-house knowledge, expertise and capability to mitigate and manage
26.	I assume that this power plant will start up on diesel instead of gas. Will the plant be fully operational on diesel fuel alone?	Franz Schmidt SHREQC Manager Richards Bay Alloys Public Meeting: 31-08-2017	those impacts. The primary fuel stock for this power plant is gas. The plant will have dual fuel capabilities; however, the intention is to have the power station supplied by gas full time. The plant will only operate on diesel as a backup for emergency situations.
27.	The same site was subjected to an EIA for Pulp United. A number of environmental challenges were identified during that process. I am glad that you are aware of these challenges. Too often we	Frans van der Walt QS2000 Plus (Quantity Surveyors & Project	

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	find that outside consultants are unaware of other environmental assessments undertaken in the area.	Managers) Public Meeting:	power plant is likely to be less than 71ha depending on the environmental sensitivities on the site. The entire power plant may I require approximately 60ha.
	What is the full extent of that site? My concern is that there will not be sufficient space to develop the project due to the environmental sensitivities identified on the site.	31-08-2017	
28.	The gas pipeline will require an EIA. The pipeline route is critical as it may impact the Richards Bay Port expansion project.		A partnership needs to be established with other state-owned companies or with private companies to establish the gas pipeline. This entity will be responsible for the permitting required for the pipeline. More work needs to be undertaken in this regard from a technical and commercial point of view.
29.	Where will the product be stored and where is your strategic reserve going to be stored. This needs to be considered within the EIA. Is the storage going to be included within the footprint that you are referring to or will it be at another location?		The current planning is that only diesel will be stored on the site.
30.	My sentiment is that this EIA cannot be approved until you have clarified the routing of the transmission lines and the pipelines.		Comment noted.
31.	Why are the EIAs for the various project components being undertaken separately?	Retha van Niekerk Director Urban Plan	Eskom is unable to undertake the EIA for the fuel supply pipeline as the gas supplier will conduct this. A partnership needs to be established with other state-owned companies or with private companies to establish the gas pipeline. This entity will be responsible for the permitting required for the pipeline. More work
		Public Meeting: 31-08-2017	needs to be undertaken in this regard from a technical and commercial point of view. Eskom's transmission department will be initiating the EIA for the transmission lines which will commence once a consultant has been appointed (envisaged to be in the

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
			fourth quarter of 2017). Once this is completed the EIA for the
			powerlines will commence. It should be noted that Eskom is not
			developing the power plant in isolation from its other critical
			components. Consultation with various stakeholders and state-
			owned companies are ongoing.
			In terms of the project lifecycle for generation project, the
			Transmission EIA lags the facility EIA (generation). Eskom's
			transmission department will be initiating the EIA for the transmission
			lines which will commence once a consultant has been appointed
			(envisaged to be in the fourth quarter of 2017). Desktop and
			conceptual studies were undertaken from Eskom's transmission,
			generation and technical engineering departments. This
			information was used to inform the Site Screening and Selection
			Study. Eskom's transmission department will be initiating the EIA for
			the transmission lines which will commence once a consultant has
			been appointed (envisaged to be in the fourth quarter of 2017).
			Eskom has to select three corridors and a few substations close to
			the site are being considered. Also, Eskom is taking due
			consideration of future developments planned within the IDZ.
			Eskom is working very closely with the IDZ as well as Transnet and
			other key state-owned companies. It is expected that the Scoping
			Report for the transmission lines will be available in due course.
32.		Frans van der Walt	Eskom is not working in isolation. Key stakeholders and government
	developments such as the relocation of the airport		departments are being consulted and we are aware of other
	and the expansion of the port. With all due respect	QS2000 Plus (Quantity	developments taking place in Richards Bay.
	to Eskom, we have been involved in ElAs in Richards	Surveyors & Project	
	Bay where the same mistake was made.	Managers)	It should be noted that some of the sites considered within the Site
	Applicants separated the transmission lines from the		Screening and Selection Study were considered no-go areas for

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	substation EIAs and then it failed. It is tax payers'	Public Meeting:	development due to water related issues. The UVS site (Site 4a) is
	money that Eskom is wasting by using this	31-08-2017	not preferred from an environmental perspective as the impacts
	approach. Rather undertake a Scoping Study on		on the aquatic ecology and wetlands may present an impact of
	the preferred sites and investigate more sites and		high significance in these areas which cannot be avoided.
	present realistic solutions. Undertaking an EIA on this		
	site is premature if you do not know what your		
	source of supply is and where your source of supply		
	is going to be stored. The UVS site would have		
	been optimal for this development but was		
	dropped to environmental concerns.		
33.	The City of uMhlatuze Municipality is concerned	Sharin Govender	This project is being developed in a development phased
	that this project is not being planned holistically as	PM: Environmental	approach where the project is considered holistically. The pipeline
	the gas pipeline, the LNG import terminal and the	Planning	and transmission power lines are being considered by Eskom even
	liquefaction plant are excluded from this EIA. It is		though separate EIA processes are being undertaken for these
	the Municipality's sentiment that this project needs	City of uMhlathuze	project components. Eskom is in the process of appointing an EIA
	to be dealt with from a cumulative perspective.	Municipality	consultant to undertake the environmental assessment required for
			the transmission line infrastructure. This process will not lag far
		Meeting;	behind the EIA for the power plant.
		31-08-2017	
			With regards to the gas pipeline, Eskom's commodities department
			is responsible for sourcing potential gas supply through various
			stakeholders. The gas supplier will be responsible for the permitting
			requirements of this project component, therefore a separate EIA
			will be undertaken by the entity responsible for the gas. It must be
			noted that Eskom will not present a business case for this power
			plant if all the project components are not in place.
34.	The Richards Bay Clean Air Association is	Sandy Camminga	The Richards Bay CCPP will be operated on gas with diesel as a
	concerned that there is no gas available to supply	Director	back-up in case there is an emergency situation. It would not be
	a gas power plant in Richards Bay. We will not		feasible to operate the power plant solely on diesel as this is too

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	support a gas power plant which will be operated using diesel because there is no gas available.	Richards Bay Clean Air Association	expensive and harmful to the environment. Eskom is currently engaging with various stakeholders to source gas. There is a possibility that gas could be imported from Mozambique via a
35.	There is no EIA process underway for the gas supply. My sentiments are that the EIA for the power plant is being undertaken prematurely. The critical component of this project is the supply of gas and this need to be put in place prior to the power plant being approved. We do not want a gas power plant operating on diesel in Richards Bay. Will the Air Quality Impact Assessment investigate the worst-case scenario which is a power plant that runs entirely on diesel? This is an assumption that	Meeting: 31-08-2017	pipeline. Eskom's governance will not approve the business case for this power plant if the fuel source is not available. Eskom is mandated to source the gas from potential gas suppliers and Eskom would be unable to proceed with the project if the gas is not sourced. Eskom will not run this plant on diesel as its primary source of fuel. The power plant will operate on a mid-merit basis of 16 hours a day for 5 days a week on gas. It will not operate at baseload, although the EIA will assess the impacts for both mid-merit and baseload cases.
	the Richards Bay Clean Air Association is going to make until there is an LNG facility in Richards Bay.		
36.	It is understood that the gas pipeline, the LNG import terminal and the liquefaction process plant will be operated by different entities. It is important to understand that the National DEA is in the process of undertaking a Strategic Environmental Assessment on the gas network and it is assumed that this assessment will include LNG aspects. However, it is imperative that I&APs are provided with a holistic understanding of this project.	PM: Environmental Management City of uMhlathuze Municipality Meeting; 31-08-2017	Eskom is engaging with the Department of Energy on an ongoing basis. Eskom forms part of the committee that is working on the SEA.
37.	Is this plant considered a Major Hazardous Installation (MHI)?	Sandy Camminga Director Richards Bay Clean Air	The power plant is considered to be a MHI and an MHI assessment will be undertaken in the EIA phase.

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
		Association	
		Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	
38.	What kind of waste would be generated by the	Issue raised at the	The waste which would be generated would include sewage,
	power plant?	meeting held with the	waste from the reverse osmosis plant.
		Richards Bay IDZ ERC	
		Committee on 31-08-	
		2017	
39.	Phase 1D consists of 3 portions and the portion	Sandy Camminga	The detailed layout will be presented in the EIA report. Eskom will
	being investigated are Portion 2 and Portion 4 of Erf	Director	ensure that the offset areas are avoided.
	11376. Portion 3 of Erf 11376 will likely be traversed	Dichardo Day Clean Air	
	by infrastructure such as access roads. It must be noted that any infrastructure linking to the site	Richards Bay Clean Air Association	
	would need to bypass the off-set area. We would	Association	
	need an understanding of what infrastructure will	Meeting:	
	need to traverse Portion 3 of Erf 11376.	31-08-2017	
SITE SEL	ECTION PROCESS	01 00 2017	
40.	How were the sites selected? I am not entirely	Sandy Camminga	KG: The sites along the coast were chosen based on the
10.	convinced that the other three sites options which	Director	technology that Eskom wanted to use for the power plant, which
	were assessed were even viable to begin with.		was wet cooling technology and planned to use sea water for
		Richards Bay Clean Air	cooling. The two inland sites were chosen based on their
		Association	availability for power generation following discussions with the
			landowners.
		Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	Eskom's project selection criteria does not consider technology
			only. Transmission studies and the cost of the project are
			considered as well. Eskom undertook a pre-site selection screening
			exercise prior to these four sites being selected. Richards Bay is

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
			identified as the best locality for this project as the Department of
			Energy (DoE) plans to implement a gas-to-power programme in
			Richards Bay which would include the supply of gas to the port.
			Three of the sites were not selected based on cost factors. Eskom
			commissioned an Environmental Screening and Site Selection
			Study which was undertaken by Savannah Environmental prior to
			the commencement of the Scoping Study. The site selection report
			was concluded and approved in Mach 2017.
41.	Was there any consultation with the City of		The City of uMhlathuze Municipality was consulted during the
	uMhlathuze Municipality during the Environmental		Environmental Screening and Site Selection Study. It is Eskom's
	Screening and Site Selection Study.		intention to continue to liaise and engage with the Municipality
			during the EIA process and during the entire life cycle of the
			project.
42.	It is true that site 4a, 5 and 6 are deemed unfeasible	Sharin Govender	These sites were assessed in the Environmental Screening and Site
	for various reasons. These sites should not be	PM: Environmental	Selection Study that was undertaken prior to the EIA process being
	presented as alternative sites in the EIA as they are	Planning	initiated. Site 4a, 5 and 6 are not presented as alternative sites in
	deemed unfeasible.		the Scoping report.
		City of uMhlathuze	
		Municipality	It is important to demonstrate how the site was selected prior to the
			Scoping study being initiated, therefore, the process undertaken
		Meeting;	for the Environmental Screening and Site Selection Study is detailed
		31-08-2017	in the Scoping Report. A motivation as to why these sites were not
			preferred has been included in the Scoping report.
43.	With all due respect you cannot present unfeasible		There are two processes which were undertaken prior to the
	sites as alternative sites. It is disingenuous if you		Scoping study being undertaken. First, Eskom undertook an
	present four sites as alternatives which are deemed		assessment of six potential sites from an engineering and cost
	unfeasible from the commencement of this		perspective. Technical and landowner issues reduced the
	process.		potential sites to four. Second, Savannah Environmental was
			commissioned to undertake an Environmental Screening and Site

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
			Selection Study. Four sites were assessed within this study. The
			result of this study was that Site 7 is considered to be the most
			preferred alternative considered within this Environmental
			Screening and Site Selection Study. No fatal flaws from an
			environmental perspective were identified at this stage in the
			process. A Scoping and EIA study are now being undertaken on
			Site 7. The other sites are not being considered as alternative sites
			within the EIA.
44.	It is important to note within the Scoping and EIA		The Environmental Screening and Site Selection process is detailed
	report that an initial Environmental Screening and		in Chapter 3 of the Scoping report.
	Site Selection Study was undertaken and that the		
	sites assessed are not being assessed within the EIA.		
GRID C	ONNECTION INFRASTRUCTURE		
45.	Where will the proposed power station connect to	Frans van der Walt	Eskom has undertaken desktop level studies in relation to the
	the Eskom grid? The transmission lines will be		transmission lines. Three corridor alternatives have to be selected
	subject to an EIA. Why is this aspect of the project	QS2000 Plus (Quantity	and assessed within an EIA. This project is being developed in a
	not included within this EIA?	Surveyors & Project	phased approach and the permitting of the transmission lines will
		Managers)	be undertaken once Eskom has completed the required options
			analysis and technical studies with respect to the transmission lines.
		Public Meeting:	Since the current site is the only site deemed most feasible, all
		31-08-2017	Transmission corridors being investigated are leading to this site.
46.	Do you have your plans in place already in terms of	Retha van Niekerk	Transmission studies have been undertaken on a desktop level,
	where the application area will be?	Director	and some corridors were identified.
		Urban Plan	
		Pubic Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
LAND C	LAIMS	L	
47.	We acknowledge receipt of your enquiry received on 11 August 2017 and advise that our records indicate that no claims for restitution in terms of the provisions of the Restitution of Land Rights Act, 22 of 1994 (as amended) have been lodged in respect of the properties described as Portion 2 and Portion 4 of Erf 11376, Richards Bay.	Mr N Mdluli Manager: Information and Records Management Commission of Restitution of Land Rights	It is noted that the Commission of Restitution of Land Rights' records indicate that no claims for restitution in terms of the provisions of the Restitution of Land Rights Act, 22 of 1994 (as amended) have been lodged in respect of Portion 2 and 4 of Erf 11376 located within Richards Bay, at this stage.
	Whilst great care is taken to verify the accuracy of the information regarding all claims, the Regional Land Claims Commission will not be held responsible for any damage or loss suffered as a result of information furnished in this regard as there are claims lodged with the Commission which are not yet captured in our database as they are not yet published in the relevant government gazette.	Letter: 22-08-2017	
AIR NA			
48.	Interest in the project: Aviation safety with regards to traffic operating with the Richards Bay airspace.	Oscar Nzima Richards Bay Airport Manager	The proposed CCPP is to be developed on Portion 2 and 4 of Erf 11376 which is located in the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone.
	Questions, views or concerns: 1) Position of the proposed CCPP 2) Height of the tallest structure	Indiza Airport Management	The tallest structures will be between 40 and 60 meters and includes the bypass stack and the exhaust stack for the CCPP.
	3) Footprint of the CCPP	Reply Form: 28-08-2017	The development footprint of the CCPP is approximately 60ha in extent.
49.	My interest in this project is the potential impact of the project on aviation. The IDZ is positioned in line	Oscar Nzima Richards Bay Airport	Eskom has received correspondence from the CAA. Eskom is liaising with Lizell Stroh, Obstacle Specialist – Aviation Obstacle and

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	with the runway of the Richards Bay Airport. It is approximately 4.5 miles from the runway threshold. Any development in line with the runway might	Manager Indiza Airpor	GIS, and she has advised that the application for obstacle evaluation assessment should be submitted once the project is in an advanced stage, once the heights have been determined.
	affect aircraft operation and the decent gradient onto the runway. From an advisory point of view, Eskom needs to take this into consideration and	Management Public Meeting:	
	consult the Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) so that an obstacle evaluation assessment can be	31-08-2017	
IMPAC	undertaken. IS TO AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL		
50.	 GENERAL GENERAL The Provincial Department of Agriculture and Rural Development: Agriculture Resource Management Land Use Regulatory Unit acknowledges receipt of the above mentioned application. The submitted application requests that the Provincial Department of Agriculture and Rural Development to provide inputs on the Environmental Impact Assessment Process (EIA). The EIA is conducted as Eskom proposes to develop a Combined Cycle Power Plant (CCPP) and associated infrastructure. 	P. Mans Deputy Director: Land Use Regulation KwaZulu-Natal Department o Agriculture and Rura Development Letter: 01-09-2017	 have limited impact on the agricultural land of the Province. The agricultural potential of the project site has also been identified by the Soils and Agricultural Potential Scoping Study f (Appendix H) as Class III land, which is considered to pose
	 BACKGROUND 2.1.The proposed CCPP will be located on Portion 2 and Portion 4 of Erf 11376 in the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone 		permanently transformed. The project site will be subjected to further detailed assessments during the EIA phase in order to confirm that agricultural potential of the site will not be impacted upon.

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Re	sponse
	(IDZ) Phase 1D.		3.	The footprint of the project site is approximately 71 ha, which is
	2.2. Portion 2 and 4 are located 6km south west			considered to be sufficient to accommodate the CCPP with a
	of Richards Bay and 4km south west of			development footprint of ~60ha. Layout design and planning
	Alton.			will be undertaken by the developer will consider the
	2.3. Portion 2 and 4 are within uMhlathuze Town			environmental sensitivities and constraints in order to avoid or
	Planning Scheme and as part of			minimise impacts on sensitive environmental features. It must
	uMhlathuze Local Municipality.			however be noted that a biodiversity offset area is located
	2.4. Portion 2 and 4 are 71 hectors in total			directly adjacent to the project site for the conservation of the
	combined.			vegetation and coastal wetland system present within the
	2.5. The proposed project is aimed at reducing			project site and the surrounding area.
	transmission losses from generation facilities		4.	Maintenance and operational requirements to ensure that the
	supplying KwaZulu-Natal.			development will not have a detrimental impact on the
	2.6.The project is also aimed in reducing			environment will be included as part of the Environmental
	Eskom's carbon footprint per unit of			Management Programme within the EIA phase. This will ensure
	electricity produced as power plants using			the proper operation and maintenance of the water treatment
	natural gas emit approximately half the			plant.
	carbon of coal-fired power plants while		5.	As part of the EIA Phase an Environmental Management
	using considerably less water.			Programme will be compiled to include all the appropriate and
	2.7.CCPP will use a gas turbine generator to			required mitigation measures to ensure that the construction,
	generate electricity and the waste heat will			operation and decommissioning of the Richards Bay CCPP is
	be used to make steam to generate			undertaken such that it will not lead to detrimental impacts on
	additional electricity via a steam turbine.			the environment.
	2.8. Associated infrastructure will include the		6.	It is noted that information regarding the pipelines to be
	following:			constructed as part of the project needs and the location
	Gas turbines			thereof needs to be made available. However, the gas
	Heat recovery steam generators			pipeline associated with this development will be undertaken
	Steam turbines for the generation of			as part of a separate application for environmental
	additional electricity			authorisation.
	Condensers for conversion of steam		7.	Impacts on wetlands within the project site will be investigated

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	 back to water Bypass and exhaust stacks Water treatment plant for treatment of potable water and production of demineralized water Water pipeline and tanker Dry cooled systems or once-through cooling system technology Closed fin fan coolers to cool lubrication oil for the gas and steam turbines A gas pipeline and gas pipeline supply conditions process facility Diesel offloading facility and storage tanks Ancillary infrastructure including access roads, warehousing and buildings, storage facilities, generators and 132kV and 400kV switchyards. A power line to connect the Richards Bay CCPP to the national grid for the evacuation of generated electricity. 		 in detail by a qualified specialist during the EIA phase. The outcome of the assessment of impacts on wetlands will be included in a Wetland and Aquatic Ecology Impact Assessment Report as well as in the environmental impact assessment report (EIAr). RECOMMENDATIONS » A detailed EIA Report will be submitted to the KwaZulu-Natal Department of Agriculture and Rural Development in due course. The requirements stated by the Department will be considered during the compilation of the EIA Report and EMPr. CONCLUSION » It is noted that the KwaZulu-Natal Department of Agriculture and Rural Development of the Richards Bay CCPP within the proposed project site. A detailed EIA Report will be submitted to the Department for their consideration and comment.
	 COMMENTS ON PROPOSAL 3.1.The proposed development has limited impact on reducing available agricultural lands within the Province as it is within an area that is already been under local municipality control. 		

3.2. Even though the proposed development is foreseen as the project that will highly have impact on surface and ground water and		
impact on surface and ground water and		
impact on soil and land capability.		
3.3. The proposed project is within the well-		
developed site, which is an area that is		
permanently transformed so there are no		
foreseen agricultural activities that will be		
impacted upon by the proposed		
development.		
3.4. Generally, it is important that the available		
land is enough for all proposed operations		
to avoid possible negligence of important		
parts that might lead to greater		
degradation of natural resources within the		
area.		
3.5. Proper maintenance is essential as to meet		
discharge standards of water treatment		
plant		
3.6. Environmental management plan for such		
projects is important. The office notes that		
this is still the beginning of the whole		
process.		
3.7. There should be a correct allocation of		
pipes in terms of distances from the rivers.		
3.8. Wetlands also need to be observed and		
delineated as to avoid possible pollution.		
	 developed site, which is an area that is permanently transformed so there are no foreseen agricultural activities that will be impacted upon by the proposed development. 3.4. Generally, it is important that the available land is enough for all proposed operations to avoid possible negligence of important parts that might lead to greater degradation of natural resources within the area. 3.5. Proper maintenance is essential as to meet discharge standards of water treatment plant 3.6. Environmental management plan for such projects is important. The office notes that this is still the beginning of the whole process. 3.7. There should be a correct allocation of pipes in terms of distances from the rivers. 3.8. Wetlands also need to be observed and 	 developed site, which is an area that is permanently transformed so there are no foreseen agricultural activities that will be impacted upon by the proposed development. 3.4. Generally, it is important that the available land is enough for all proposed operations to avoid possible negligence of important parts that might lead to greater degradation of natural resources within the area. 3.5. Proper maintenance is essential as to meet discharge standards of water treatment plant 3.6. Environmental management plan for such projects is important. The office notes that this is still the beginning of the whole process. 3.7. There should be a correct allocation of pipes in terms of distances from the rivers. 3.8. Wetlands also need to be observed and delineated as to avoid possible pollution.

No.	Comment/	lssue	Issue Raised By	Response
	4.1.A c	letailed report that is still to be submitted		
	to	this office, it is important that the		
	fol	lowing areas be addressed as to have a		
	SOI	und project view:		
	4.1.1.	Type of dam and method that will be		
		used for construction of a dam for the		
		proposed water treatment plant.		
	4.1.2.	Types and construction methods of		
		underground tanks for fuel tanks.		
	4.1.3.	Clarity where the gas will be sourced		
		and its disposal plan.		
	4.1.4.	Water Use License Application is		
		lodged and addressed as per National		
		Water Act, 1998 (Act No 36 of 1988) for		
		the proposed development.		
	4.1.5.	Proper mitigation measures are		
		implemented and adhered to.		
	4.1.6.	Proposed development and		
		associated infrastructure is not		
		affecting our Natural Resources which		
		is ground water, surface water and		
		soils.		
	4.1.7.	Conservation of Agricultural Resources		
		Act 43 of 1983 should be taken into		
		consideration with application to		
		Paragraph 6 and 18 Subsection 1.		
	4.1.8.	Re-vegetating and rehabilitating plan		
		of the areas that will be affected by		
		the construction phase.		

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	4.1.9. Proper storm water management plan		
	is also adhered to as to prevent		
	possible soil erosion.		
	4.1.10. The office request that detailed		
	information and a report is sent to us		
	with information that will clearly		
	indicate:		
	Depth of ground water on site		
	• Distance from project site to the		
	coast		
	5. CONCLUSION		
	5.1. Please be advised that the Provincial		
	Department of Agriculture and Rural		
	Development: Land Use Regulatory		
	Component's is in support of the project		
	but the approval is on basis of submission of		
	a detailed report with a detailed		
	environmental management programme.		
COMM	ENTS FROM THE NATIONAL DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONME	INTAL AFFAIRS	
51.	The draft Scoping Report (SR) dated August 2017	Olivia Letlalo	Public Participation Process
	and received by this Department on 22 August	Control Environment	» All issues raised and comments received by I&APs have been
	2017 refers.	Officer: Strategic	collated and responded to in the Comments and Responses
		Infrastructure	Report (Appendix C8). Copies of the Scoping Report were
	This Department has the following comments on	Developments	submitted to Wilma Lutch of the DEA's Biodiversity Section and
	the abovementioned application:		Thulie Khumalo of the DEA's Air Quality Management
		Thando Booi:	Directorate on 21 August 2017 (refer to Appendix C4 for
	<u>Public Participation Process (PPP)</u>	Environmental Officer	evidence of this submission). Follow-up emails requesting
	o Please ensure that all issues raised and	Specialised Production:	comments from Olga Chauke and Kent Buchanan were sent

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	comments received during the circulation	Strategic Infrastructure	on 20 September 2017, following receipt of the DEA's letter
	of the SR from registered I&APs and organs	Developments	dated 15 September 2017.
	of state which have jurisdiction (including		» Proof of correspondence with I&APs and proof of attempts
	this Department's Biodiversity Section and	Department of	made to obtain comments are contained in Appendix C4 and
	Air Quality Section: Contact person Ms Olga	Environmental Affairs	C5 of the final Scoping Report.
	Chauke at 0123999161		» The Public Participation Process undertaken for the Richards
	ochauke@environment.gov.za or Kent	Letter: 15-09-2017	Bay CCPP, is included in Chapter 4: Approach to undertaking
	Buchanan at 0123998868 or		the Scoping Phase, is in line with Regulations 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 $\&$
	kbuchanan@environment.gov.za) in		44 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended in April 2017.
	respect of the proposed activity are		
	adequately addressed in the final SR.		Description of the identified Alternatives
	• Proof of correspondence with the various		» All alternatives which were assessed as part of the Scoping
	stakeholders must be included in the final		phase are included in Chapter 3, Section 3.4 of the final
	SR, should you be unable to obtain		Scoping Report. Alternatives considered as part of the project
	comments, and proof should be submitted		included technology alternatives and the 'do-nothing'
	to the Department of attempts that were		alternative. The advantages and disadvantages expected to
	made to obtain comments.		be associated with the development of the Richards Bay CCPP
	o The Public Participation Process must be		is included in Chapter 3, Section 3.2 and chapter 6 of the final
	conducted in terms of Regulations 39, 40,		Scoping Report.
	41, 42, 43 & 44 of the EIA Regulations 2014,		» A motivation for not assessing site alternatives is provided in
	as amended.		Chapter 3, Section 3.4.1.
			» Appendix 2 of GN R326 has been fully considered and
	Description of the identified Alternatives		complied with within the Scoping Report, which was submitted
	o Please provide a description of the		for review and the final Scoping Report submitted to DEA for
	identified alternatives for the proposed		their consideration. At the start of each Chapter, requirements
	activity that are feasible and reasonable,		as per Appendix 2 of the 2014 EIA Regulations are included to
	including the advantages and		illustrate in each Chapter which requirements have been met.
	disadvantages that the proposed activity or		Please refer to the following sections in the report which
	alternatives will have on the environment		indicate the requirements that have been met in each chapter

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	 and on the community that may be affected by the activity as per Appendix 2 of GN R.982 of 2014 as amended. Alternatively, you should submit written proof of an investigation and motivation if no reasonable or feasible alternatives exist in terms of Appendix 2. 		 and where in the chapter the requirement is addressed; Sections 1.1, 2.1, 3.1, 4.1, 5.1, 6.1, 7.1 and 8.1. » The Climate Change Impact Assessment will be undertaken and will form part of the EIA report. The terms of reference has been included in the Plan of Study for EIA (Chapter 8 of the final Scoping Report).
	• This Department requests the EAP to familiarise themselves with the requirements of Appendix 2 of GNR 982 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 as amended and ensure that the final SR submitted to this Department for consideration meets the requirements in terms of identifying, assessing and providing mitigation measures of the impacts on the alternative and preferred sites.		 <u>General Comments</u> » Three CD copies and one hard copy of the final Scoping Report will be submitted to the National Department of Environmental Affairs for their consideration. » The final Scoping Report complies with the requirements of Appendix 2 of the 2014 EIA Regulations, as amended. Refer to sections 1.1, 2.1, 3.1, 4.1, 5.1, 6.1, 7.1 and 8.1 for an indication of the requirements and where in the report the requirements have been met. Regulation 21(1) has also been met through the submission of the application for Environmental Authorisation, the undertaking of the 30-day review period,
	 In addition to the above, please ensure that the climate change impact assessment study is undertaken and be incorporated in the final SR. <u>General Comments</u> Please provide three (3) cd copies and one Copies and one 		which was from 21 August 2017 – 20 September 2017, and the submission of the final scoping report which considers all comments and issues raised during the review period. The Scoping process was undertaken within 44 days of submission of the Application for Environmental Authorisation to the National Department of Environmental Affairs.
	 a) Prease provide three (s) calcopies and one (1) hard copy of the final scoping report. b) You are further reminded that the final SR to be submitted to this Department must comply with all the requirements in terms of the scope of assessment and content of 		 Regulation 45 is noted and the timeframes as per the 2014 EIA Regulations (as amended) will be adhered to. It is noted that no activity may commence prior to an environmental authorisation being granted by the National

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	Scoping reports in accordance with		Department of Environmental Affairs as stipulates in Section 24 F
	Appendix 2 and Regulation 21(1) of the		of the National Environmental Management Act, Act No 107 of
	amended EIA Regulations 2014 (as		1998, as amended.
	amended).		
	 Further note that in terms of Regulation 45 of 		
	the EIA Regulations 2014, this application will		
	lapse if the applicant fails to meet any of		
	the timeframes prescribed in terms of these		
	Regulations, unless an extension has been		
	granted in terms of Regulation 3(7).		
	You are hereby reminded of Section 24F of the		
	National Environmental Management Act, Act No		
	107 of 1998, as amended, that no activity may		
	commence prior to an environmental authorisation		
	being granted by the Department.		
WATER	USES AND WATER USE LICENSE APPLICATION PROCEDUR	E	
52.	Were wetland delineation studies undertaken?	Masala Nemubura	Desktop Wetland and Aquatic Ecology and Geo-hydrology studies
		Environmental Officer	have been undertaken and are appended to the Scoping Report.
			A wetland delineation study will be undertaken during the EIA
		Department of Water	phase.
53.	What are the plans to compensate for the	and Sanitation	A preliminary layout would be looked at in terms of where the
	expected loss of water features on the site?		infrastructure would be placed. It is our intention from an
		Meeting: 30-08-2017	environmental perspective to try and avoid and minimize impact if
			we can on the water features. The layout will be configured to
			avoid water features. In areas where this is not possible we will
			recommend mitigation measures.
			Eskom has met with KZN Ezemvelo Wildlife to understand their

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
			concerns and some of the work regarding the biodiversity offset agreement between them and the Municipality.
54.	This meeting will be considered a pre-application meeting required as part of the Water Use License (WULA) submission process. A Water Use License will be required to be submitted. The conceptual designs can be submitted with the WULA. The detailed designs can be submitted at a later stage once they are finalized.		The WULA is planned to be submitted during the EIA phase. The WULA is planned to be submitted once Eskom has completed the conceptual design in October or November 2017.
55.	The Integrated Water and Waste Management Plan (IWWMP) document provides details of what information is required to be submitted to DWS as part of the WULA. I will send this to you.		The EAP is aware of the requirements that need to be met in order to submit the WULA.
56.	You will be required to submit a letter from the DEA acknowledging that an application for environmental authorisation has been lodged as part of the WULA.		The DEA's acknowledgment letter will be included in the WULA.
57.	The maximum timeframe for the issuing of a WULA is 300 days.		It is noted that the WULA will take a maximum of 300 days to process.

Richards Bay Combined Cycle Power Plant (CCPP) and associated infrastructure near Richards Bay, KwaZulu-Natal Province Final Scoping Report

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
58.	Reference is made to the above-mentioned	Ms Nokwanda Mkhize	Specific Comments
	document received by the Department of Water	Institutional Establishment	» It is noted that the Applicant is required to apply for a Water
	and Sanitation (Department) on 23 August 2017.		Use Authorisation (WUA) in terms of Section 21 of the National
		Department of Water	Water Act, No. 36 of 1998 (NWA) prior to commencement due
	This Department has the following comments with	and Sanitation	to the development of infrastructure planned to take place
	regards to the proposed development which must		within a watercourse.
	be addressed and form part of subsequent	Letter: 18-09-2017	» It is noted that the Department requires proof of a Service Level
	environmental assessment process: The final		Agreement with the Water Service Authority for the
	document must include responses to issues raised		development. The Service Level Agreement or an equivalent
	which must be submitted to this Department for		will be included in the EIAr. It is also noted that taking water
	further review and comments.		from a water resource constitutes a Section 21 water use and
			must be authorised accordingly.
	A) <u>SPECIFIC COMMENTS</u>		» A layout map and environmental sensitivity map of the facility
			will be provided in colour in the EIAr for the Department's
	1. Water Uses and Water use Authorisations		consideration.
			» It is noted that any activity within a 500m radius from the
	1.1. It is indicated on page 3 of the SR that the		boundary of a wetland requires a water use licence in terms of
	proposed facility will include the following		Section 21 of the NWA.
	infrastructure:		» During the EIA phase a Wetland and Aquatic Impact
	a) Water Treatment Plant for the treatment of		Assessment will be undertaken to delineate all wetlands
	potable water and the production of		located within the project site. The Department of Water and
	demineralised water;		Sanitation's guideline and other applicable regulatory tools will
	b) Storage facilities for fuel, gas, diesel and		be applied.
	chemicals;		» The Wetland and Aquatic Ecology Impact Assessment will
	c) Water storage facilities for process water		determine the impacts that will be posed by the proposed
	and firefighting purposes;		development on the wetlands located within the project site.
	d) A gas pipeline and a gas pipeline supply		The study will be undertaken during the EIA phase.
	conditioning process facility;		» An Environmental Management Programme, including
	e) Internal roads.		appropriate mitigation measures for the management of

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
			impacts on wetlands will be compiled during the EIA Phase.
	1.2. Reference is made to Table 4.1 of the Listed		» It is noted that the Applicant must identify all water uses
	Activities on page 42 of the SR:		applicable to the activity in terms of Section 21 of the NWA and
	a) GN 327, Activity 12: The development of		ensure that all applicable water uses are authorised. A
	infrastructure or structures with a physical		meeting was held with the Department of Water and Sanitation
	footprint of where such development		on 30 August 2017 as part of the process to obtain the required
	occurs within a watercourse and;		water use license.
	b) GN 327, Activity 19: The infilling or		
	depositing of material of more than 5 cubic		Other issues to be addressed
	meters into a watercourse.		» It is noted that the Department requires proof of the Services
			Level Agreement (SLA) with the Municipality for the disposal of
	The above statements indicate that the		waste and that proof of such disposal must be recorded and
	proposed development activities constitute		made available when required. The Service Level Agreement
	water uses. The Applicant is therefore		will be included in the ElAr .
	required to apply for a Water Use		» It is noted that temporal or permanent toilet facilities must not
	Authorisation (WUA) in terms of Section 21 of		be situated within 100m of a watercourse or within the 1:100
	the National Water Act, No. 36 of 1998		year floodline (whichever is the greatest). Mitigation measures
	(NWA) prior to commencement.		will be included on the EMPr to ensure that no form of
			secondary pollution arises from the disposal of refuse or sewage
	1.3. According to page 31 of the Scoping report it		from temporal or permanent toilets.
	is indicated that the source of water for the		
	proposed development will be from the		<u>General Comments</u>
	uMhlathuze Municipal Water Works. It is further		» All comments and requirements regarding solid waste are
	indicated that "no agreement or confirmation		noted and will be considered in the EIAr and EMPr.
	for the above services has been obtained as		» All comments and requirements regarding sewage and waste
	yet". This Department would like to request a		water management are noted and will be considered in the
	Service Level Agreement with the Water		EIAr and the EMPr.
	Service Authority as it is of crucial importance.		» All requirements regarding stormwater management for the
	The Applicant must note that taking water		Richards Bay CCPP are noted and will be considered in the EIAr

Richards Bay Combined Cycle Power Plant (CCPP) and associated infrastructure near Richards Bay, KwaZulu-Natal Province Final Scoping Report

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	from a water resource constitutes a Section 21		and EMPr during the EIA phase of the project.
	water use and must be authorised		» The concerns regarding erosion control are noted. Appropriate
	accordingly.		mitigation measures to ensure the management of erosion will
			be considered by the specialists and included in the EMPr.
	1.4. It is indicated on page 43 that the proposed		» It is noted that no unacceptable impacts should occur with the
	development will occur within 500m of a		development of the Richards Bay CCPP due to spillages on site.
	wetland feature. In light of this, the Applicant		The concerns raised by the Department regarding the matter is
	is required to provide this office with a legible		noted and appropriate mitigation measures for the
	colour Layout Map for this development		management and avoidance of spillages will be included in
	(preferable an A2 size or bigger). Such a map		the EMPr to ensure that spillages do not impact on the water
	should, amongst others:		resources within the area.
	i) Show all water courses within and		» The EMPr to be compiled as part of the EIAr will include
	around the site of interest;		mitigation measures to ensure that all water resources within
	ii) Show the 1:100 year floodline of all		the area will be protected from pollution and degradation.
	watercourses (in and around the site)		Appropriate buffer areas for the protection of the resources will
	or 100m distance (whichever is		be recommended by the relevant specialists in the EIA phase
	greatest);		that will need to be adhered to.
	iii) Show all wetlands (in and around the		» Areas identified and considered to be sensitive from an
	site), their delineated boundaries as		ecological perspective, as well as the recommended
	well as buffer zone(s) to be applied for		associated buffers will be considered by the developer during
	this development;		the design of the layout for the facility in order to ensure that
	iv) Superimpose all the activity		the features will not be degraded due to activities associated
	area/project as well as infrastructure		with the development of the Richards Bay CCPP.
	(temporary & permanent) which forms		» Permitted and appropriate contractors will be appointed for
	part of this development.		the disposal of sewage and refuse to ensure that secondary
			pollution is avoided.
	Furthermore:		» It is noted that DWS has the right to inspect the project site
	1.1.1.The Applicant must note that any		without prior notification to ensure that all requirements of the
	activity within a 500m radius from the		Department are met.

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	 boundary of a wetland requires a water use licence in terms of Section 21 of the NWA; 1.1.2.A Wetland Delineation study must be conducted for all wetlands occurring on site. The delineations of the watercourse, riparian habitat and wetlands must be done according to this Department's guideline and other applicable regulatory tools; 1.1.3.The Applicant must conduct an impact assessment to determine the impacts that will be posed by the proposed development on the wetland of importance; 1.1.4.Mitigation measures must also be included, outlining how the impacts will be mitigated and managed so as to not pose detrimental impact on the wetland. 1.5. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to identify all water uses applicable to the activity in terms of Section 21 of the NWA and to ensure that all applicable water uses are authorised as such. Should the Applicant engage in any water use activity without the necessary Water Use Authorisation, it will be regarded as an unlawful water use. The Applicant will thus be guilty of an offence and 		 It is noted that the Department reserves the right to revise/withdraw comments and request further information regarding the project should any other information that contradicts the above come to light. It is noted that all sources or potential sources of pollution from the undertaking of the proposed development must be identified and appropriate measures must be recommended to prevent any pollution of the environment. The need to comply with the National Water Act requirements are also noted.

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	liable for a fine or imprisonment as stipulated in		
	Section 151 of the NWA. It is therefore advised		
	that a Pre-Water use Authorisation Application		
	meeting be scheduled with Ms. Zamashenge		
	Hadebe of the Water Use Authorisation Unit on		
	(031) 336 2700/2767.		
	2. OTHER ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED		
	2.1. It is indicted on page 31 of the SR that "all		
	waste material generated from the		
	development will be collected by a contractor		
	and that the waste will be disposed of at a		
	licensed waste disposal site off site. This service		
	will be arranged with the municipality when		
	required". This Department would like to		
	request a Services Level Agreement (SLA) with		
	the said Water Service Authority and proof of		
	such disposal must be recorded and safe		
	disposal certificates must be kept on record		
	and made available to this Department when		
	required.		
	2.2. It is further indicated on Page 31 of the SR that		
	"during construction, all sewage waste will be		
	collected by a contractor to be disposed of at		
	a licensed waste disposal site. This service will		
	be arranged with the municipality when		
	required. During operation, the facility will be		

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	connected to the municipal sewer system".		
	This Department would like to emphasize that		
	temporal or permanent toilet facilities must not		
	be situated within 100m of a watercourse or		
	within the 1:100 year floodline (whichever is the		
	greatest). Furthermore, no form of secondary		
	pollution should arise from the disposal of		
	refuse or sewage from temporal or permanent		
	toilets. Any pollution problems arising from the		
	above are to be addressed immediately by		
	the Applicant.		
	3. <u>GENERAL COMMENTS</u>		
	3.1. Solid Waste		
	3.1.1. The requirements of this Department		
	with respect to solid waste must be		
	strictly enforced and complied with		
	3.1.2. The applicant should note that		
	contaminated soil or other		
	hazardous material must be		
	disposed of at a permitted		
	hazardous landfill site that is		
	authorized to accept the said		
	material and proof of his must be		
	made available to this Department		
	when required.		
	3.1.3. Should private contractors be used,		
	all solid waste must be disposed of		

No.	Comment/Issu	Je	Issue Raised By	Response
		at a permitted landfill site and proof		
		of this must be made available to		
		this Department when required.		
	3.1.4.	This Department would like to put an		
		emphasis that binds and/or skips		
		should be provided at convenient		
		intervals for disposal of waste within		
		the construction camp.		
		Furthermore, these refuse bins must		
		be stored in a designated storage		
		/or collection area prior to being		
		safely disposed of and must not		
		cause any surface and groundwater		
		pollution, or pose any health		
		hazards.		
	3.1.5.	The recycling of suitable material is		
		encouraged by this Department,		
		provided it is properly managed.		
	3.2. Sewage	and Wastewater Management		
	3.2.1.	Washing, refuelling, maintaining of		
		vehicles or the transfer of hazardous		
		substances must be conducted		
		within a bunded area. All drainage		
		arising from the bunded area must		
		be treated as a water containing		
		waste and disposed of safely.		
	3.2.2.	The following is applicable should		
		wastewater be generated during		

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	 the construction phase: Water containing waste must not be discharged into the natural environment and; Measures to contain the water containing waste and safe disposal thereof must be implemented. 3.3. Stormwater Management 		
	 3.3.1. It is imperative that there is proper management of storm water at the project site. 3.3.2. The Engineer or Contractor must ensure that only clean stormwater runoff enters the environment. 3.3.3. Drainage must be controlled to ensure that runoff from the project area does not culminate in off-site pollution, flooding or result in any damage to properties downstream of any stormwater discharge point(s). 		
	3.4. Erosion Control 3.4.1. This Department therefore recommends that erosion control measures must be put in place to minimise erosion along the proposed construction areas. Extra		

No.	Comment/Issu	Je	Issue Raised By	Response
		precautions must be taken in areas		
		where the soils are deemed highly		
		erodible.		
	3.4.2.	Soil erosion onsite must be		
		prevented at all times, i.e. pre,		
		during and post construction		
		activities. Erosion control measures		
		must be implemented in areas		
		prone to erosion such as near water		
		supply points, edges of slopes, etc.		
		These measures could include the		
		use of sand bags, hessian sheets,		
		bidim, retention or replacement of		
		vegetation.		
	3.4.3.	Where the land has been disturbed		
		during construction it must be re-		
		habilitated and re-vegetated back		
		to an acceptable state after		
		construction.		
	3.4.4.	Stockpiling of soil or any other		
		materials used during the		
		construction phase must not be		
		allowed on or near steep slopes,		
		near a watercourse or water body.		
		This is to prevent pollution or the		
		impediment of surface run-off. The		
		applicant must control and establish		
		suitable mitigation measures to		
		prevent the erosion of residue		

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	stockpiles.		
	3.5. Spillages Management		
	3.5.1. There must be no unacceptable		
	impact on the quality of both		
	surface and groundwater in the		
	area. If pollution of any surface or		
	groundwater occurs, it must be		
	immediately reported to this		
	Department and the appropriate		
	mitigation measures must be		
	employed. In addition, should the		
	proposed development impact on		
	any groundwater and/or surface		
	water users, then water of equal		
	quality and quantity must be		
	provided to the affected users.		
	3.5.2. Storage of material, chemicals, fuels		
	etc. must not pose a risk to the		
	surrounding environment, and this		
	includes surface and groundwater.		
	Temporary bunds must also be		
	constructed around chemical or fuel		
	storage areas to contain possible		
	spillages. Such storage areas must		
	be located outside the 1:100 year		
	flood-line of the water source and		
	must be fenced to prevent		
	unauthorized access into the area.		

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	3.5.3. It is important that any significant		
	spillage of chemicals, fuels, etc.		
	during the construction phase		
	and/or operation phase is reported		
	to this Office and other relevant		
	authorities. In the event of a spill, the		
	following steps can be taken:		
	• Stop the source of the spill;		
	Contain the spill;		
	All significant spills must be reported		
	to this Department and other		
	relevant authorities;		
	Remove the spilled product for		
	treatment and authorised disposal;		
	 Determine if there is any soil, 		
	groundwater or other environmental		
	impact;		
	If necessary, remedial action must		
	be taken in consultation with this		
	Department and;		
	 Incident must be documented. 		
	3.6. This Department notes the content and		
	recommendations made on the following		
	studies:		
	3.6.1. The Wetland and Aquatic Ecology,		
	dated 28 April 2017, prepared by		
	The Biodiversity Company;		
	3.6.2. Hydrology and flood Line Study,		

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	dated 15 February 2017, prepared by Raws Consulting Engineers 3.6.3. Geo-Hydrology Study, dated 02 May 2017, prepared by Geo Hydraulic and Environmental Technology (Pty) Ltd		
	3.7. Adequate measures must be put in place to protect all water resources that flow adjacent to, as well as through the proposed project area, from being polluted and/or degraded. Visible markings showing/demarcating the buffers must be provided on site during the construction phase. If pollution of any surface or groundwater occurs, it must be immediately reported to this Department and the appropriate mitigation measures must be employed.		
	3.8. Ecological sensitive areas and their appropriate buffers must be protected and should not be degraded by the activities arising from the proposed development.		
	3.9. No form of secondary pollution should arise from the disposal of sewage and refuse. The contractor must be clearly briefed on the method of disposal of such waste and compliance must be ensured/or monitored.		

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	Any pollution problems arising from the above project is to be addressed immediately by the Applicant.		
	3.10. This Office reserves the right to inspect the site without prior notice in order to ensure that its requirements, as mentioned above, are adhered to. Should any problems be noted, measures must be undertaken immediately to rectify the situation.		
	3.11. This Department reserves the right to revise/withdraw these comments and request further information from the applicant should any other information that contradicts the above comes to light.		
	3.12. Notwithstanding the above, the responsibility rests with the Applicant to identify all sources or potential sources of pollution from the undertaking of the proposed development and to take appropriate measures to prevent any pollution of the environment. Failure to comply with the requirements of the NWA could lead to legal action being instituted against the Applicant.		
WATER	CONSUMPTION REQUIREMENTS AND WATER AVAILABILIT	ſY	
59.	What are the water consumption volumes requirements for the proposed power plant?	Candice Webb Environmental Manager	The project will require approximately 37 290 m ³ for the construction period of 36 months. Approximately 1 825 000m ³ will

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
			be required annually during the operational phase.
60.	From a cumulative impact the industry in Richards	Mondi	Eskom is certainly aware of the scarce water resource South Africa
	Bay has made noteworthy efforts to reduce the		is facing and is always investigating innovative ways to save water.
	need and demand on the water that is left. New	Meeting:	Currently there is a public participation project with the Richards
	industry must be on board in making efforts to	30-08-2017	Bay Municipality with regards to water supply and Eskom is well
	reduce water demand.		represented in this regard.
61.	This area is a severely water-stressed area. Recent	Dion Wilmans	Water is planned to be sourced from the uMhlathuze Local
	rains have caused the dam levels to rise slightly. In	Director	Municipality. The Municipality has informed Eskom that they are
	August 2016 dam levels were at 17% and many of		investigating the option of using effluent from other industries in the
	the industries in Richards Bay were facing closure	Richards Bay Gas Power	Empangeni area. Such effluent will be treated and then used to
	due to no water being available. How much water	2	supply the power station.
	will this power plant require and where will the water be sourced from?	Public Maating:	
()	We are aware that the Municipality is undertaking a	Public Meeting: 20-08-2017	Eskom is currently preparing the power station's basic design and
62.	technical advisory on the potential recycling of	20-00-2017	that will tie in with the Municipality's plan. Eskom will provide the
	effluent. However, this process has not been		Municipality with the first opportunity to supply water and then look
	concluded. Do the water volumes provided by the		to other water providers if the power station's water requirement
	Municipality meet the water consumption		needs cannot be met.
	requirements of the power station?		
			Eskom sits on a working group which is investigating the possibility
	The report must include a comparison of what the		of recycling water from industries in Richards Bay and Empangeni.
	minimum and maximum water requirements are		Eskom is considering the best practice figures internationally and
	when using ACC technology when compared to		we cannot provide accurate water consumption figures at this
	water-cooled technology. A balance of the water		stage. Accurate figures will be provided during the EIA Phase.
	consumption needs must be provided in terms of		Eskom has identified and acknowledged that water scarcity is a
	what the municipality can provide and where the		major risk to this project.
	shortfall will be sourced from.		
63.	Are there any plans to construct a desalination		The working group is investigating the development of a
	plant? Will water recycling plants be considered to		desalination plant which could provide water in the future. Eskom

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	provide the water for the power plant?		aims to conclude the basic design of the CCPP project by the end of 2017. The water use consumption figures will be detailed in the EIA report. A Water Use License Application will be submitted by Savannah Environmental during the EIA phase.
64.	Was access to sea water cooling one of the criteria for this development?	Darryl Hunt Consultant Cheniere	Access to sea water cooling would have been a criterion if the project site was located along the coast.
		Public Meeting: 31-08-2017	
65.	What are the water consumption requirements for the power plant? There is no water available for this project at this stage.	Sandy Camminga Director Richards Bay Clean Air Association	The project will require approximately 37 290 m ³ for the construction period of 36 months. Approximately 1 825 000m ³ will be required annually during the operation phase. Two cooling technology alternatives are being considered for the project namely dry cooling and once-through cooling.
		Meeting: 31-08-2017	KC: Eskom is aware of the water constraints in the region and Eskom has representation in working group that has been established to investigate various water supply options for the region. Options being considered include the utilisation of treated effluent from other industries in the area, a desalination plant and a water treatment plant on the site.
66.	Will rain water be harvested at the proposed power plant?		Onsite rainwater harvesting will be implemented. Eskom's policy is to have a zero discharge so all rain water is harvested. This water could be used for domestic use and in the cooling process.
TREATM	ENT AND DISPOSAL OF EFFLUENT		
67.	What type of process will be used for effluent treatment?	Candice Webb Environmental Manager	Eskom is considering installing a reverse osmosis treatment plant. Eskom's transmission department will be initiating the EIA for the

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
			transmission lines which will commence once a consultant has
		Mondi	been appointed (envisaged to be in the fourth quarter of 2017)
			and confirmation of this will be finalised as the engineering
		Meeting:	designs progress from concept to basic designs.
68.	Would the effluent be treated so that you could	30-08-2017	It is likely that effluent would be discharged via the sea outlet.
	feed the treated water back into the plant or are		
	you planning on disposing effluent via the marine		
	outlet?		
69.	Is effluent discharge going to go into uMlathuze	Sandy Camminga	Effluent will be discharged to sea via the uMhlathuze Effluent
	Effluent Pipeline and out to sea?	Chairperson – EIA	Pipeline.
		Committee	
		Richards Bay Clean Air	
		Association	
		Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	
AIR QU			
70.	Eskom must note that Mondi has an impact on air	Candice Webb	This will be investigated by the air quality specialist study, which is
	quality from a nuisance point of view. Odour is	Environmental Manager	part of the current EIA process. Following the installation of the
	inherent in our process and although stringent		plant, appropriate monitoring will be undertaken by Eskom, as
	odour abetment processes are adhered to, the	Mondi	Mondi is also expected to continue its monitoring processes.
	power plant site will be impacted by nuisance air		
	quality impacts. Mondi do not wish to find	Meeting:	
	themselves in a situation where complaints are	30-08-2017	
	lodged against them regarding this nuisance		
	impact. Eskom will need to decide whether it is		
	acceptable to deal with this air quality impact.		
71.	Eskom will need to consider the air quality impacts		The impact assessment for air quality will include the following:

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	from any other processes that could have an impact on air quality in the region to avoid impacts to our process and quality of the end product.		 The compilation of a baseline emissions inventory for existing facilities within Richards Bay based on measured emissions in the RBCAA inventory; The establishment of an emissions inventory by referring to NMES and emission factors for combustion processes, fuel storage and fugitive dust (construction); Atmospheric dispersion simulations using the US EPA CALPro suite (CALMET and CALPUFF); and A human health risk and nuisance impact screening assessment based on dispersion simulation results.
72.	Richards Bay is reported to have the second worst air quality in South Africa, second only to Secunda, due to the high concentration of heavy industry. There are numerous industries contributing to air emissions in Richards Bay including Mondi (who have taken steps to reduce their own emissions), a cement factory, a smelter, a fertilizer manufacturing plant, a chrome smelter and two titanium smelters all contributing to the second worst air quality in the Country. Surely a regional air emissions study has to be completed rather than a site specific one due to the excessive impact of these industries in Richards Bay. What is your proposed methodology for assessing air emissions on a cumulative scale.	Dion Wilmans Director Richards Bay Gas Power 2 Public Meeting: 20-08-2017	The appointed air quality specialist, AirShed Planning Professionals, is in contact with the Richards Bay Clean Air Association and their data is being considered in the air quality assessment. The EIA will assess cumulative impacts as well as localised impacts. The air quality impacts of all industries within a 30 – 50km radius of the proposed site will be assessed. The assessment of cumulative impacts is a requirement of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and the EIA Report will include a chapter on cumulative impacts.
	The wind does blow in both directions and if the wind does blow in a certain direction it will blow the emissions over sugar cane and forestry lands as well		

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	as a few rural communities. However, if the wind		
	blows in the opposite direction it will take the		
	emissions over highly concentrated residential		
	areas.		
73.	The Scoping report does not make reference to	Franz Schmidt	The Scoping report identifies sulphur dioxide as a source of air
	sulphur dioxide. Sulphur dioxide emissions are a key	SHREQC Manager	pollution within the region. A detailed Air Quality Impact
	concern in Richards Bay as many industries		Assessment will be provided in the EIA Report.
	contribute to sulphur dioxide emissions.	Richards Bay Alloys	
		Public Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	
74.	In terms of the air quality would it be possible for	Retha van Niekerk	Air quality impacts to residential areas in Richards Bay will be
	you to present the impact on residential areas in	Director	detailed in the Air Quality Impact Assessment which will be
	Richards Bay?		undertaken in the EIA phase.
		Urban Plan	
		Public Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	
75.	Does the Air Quality Impact Assessment investigate	Sandy Camminga	The Air Quality Impact Assessment considers air quality impacts
	air quality impacts on the facility operating on gas	Director	with the facility operating on gas as the primary fuel and diesel as
	or the facility operating on diesel?		a backup.
76.	The term "back-up" needs to be clearly defined in	Richards Bay Clean Air	The term "back-up" will be quantified and clarified in the report.
	the Scoping and EIA reports.	Association	Diesel will not be used to operate the plant for 16 hours a day for 5
			days a week (only natural gas will be used for this purpose). Diesel
		Meeting:	will only be utilised in extreme worst-case scenarios. The quantities
		31-08-2017	of diesel will be small.
77.	The Scoping report does not make reference to	Siyabonga Zigubu	The requirement for emissions for diesel is that they should be within
	abatement technologies that will be used in case	Air Quality Inspection	the air emission limits. No $_x$ and So $_x$ emissions would need to fall
	the plant is required to operate on diesel.		within these limits.

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
		City of uMhlathuze	
		Municipality	
		Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	
CONSU	ILTATION WITH THE RICHARDS BAY CLEAN AIR ASSOCIAT	ION	
78.	COMMENT ON DRAFT SCOPING REPORT (DSR)	Sandy Camminga	COMMENTS
		Chairperson – EIA	
	The comments provided below are based on the	Committee	3.1 In order to ensure that the development of the Richards Bay
	Richards Bay Clean Air Association's (RBCAA)		CCPP is undertaken within a site that is both feasible from a
	review of the Draft Scoping Report (DSR), prepared	Richards Bay Clean Air	technical and environmental perspective, Eskom in consultation
	by Savannah Environmental, dated August 2017,	Association	Savannah Environmental and independent specialists, undertook
	and Appendices.		an Environmental Screening and Site Selection process. As
		Letter:	included in Section 3.4.1, Eskom identified 6 potential sites in the
	PROJECT INFORMATION:	27-09-2017	greater Richards Bay area for the development of the proposed
			CCPP which were considered to be feasible from a technical
	Eskom Holdings SoC Ltd proposes to develop a		perspective. Following consideration of various technical and
	Combined Cycle Power Plant (CCPP) and		landowner issues associated with the sites, four sites were taken
	associated infrastructures, with a generating		forward into the environmental screening study namely Site 4A; Site
	capacity of up to 3000MW. The Project site is		5, Site 6 and Site 7. The four sites selected by Eskom which formed
	located in Richards Bay Industrial Development		part of the Environmental Screening and Site Selection process
	Zone (IDZ) Phase 1D. The purported purpose of the		were considered to be feasible from a technical perspective.
	project is to;		Technical requirements considered were the location of a site in
	a) Reduce transmission losses from generation		relation to the sea level (performance related), the proximity of a
	facilities supplying KwaZulu-Natal, by having a		cooling source (performance related) and the proximity to the fuel
	generation center in Kwazulu-Natal.		supply. This could have been located anywhere along the
	b) Aid in reducing Eskom's carbon footprint per		Richards Bay coast however sites 5 and 6 were identified as the
	unit of electricity produced, as power plants		most feasible sites along the coast from a technical and locality
	using natural gas emit approximately half the		perspective. However, sites 5 and 6 were not favourable from a

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	carbon of coal-fired power plants while using		transmission and power evacuation perspective. Sites 4A and 7
	considerable less water.		were identified on the same criteria however Air Cooled
			Condensers were considered as the cooling technology.
	The DSR lists the main infrastructure associated with		
	the facility to include the following;		It should be noted that the findings of the Screening and Site
	» Gas Turbines for generation of electricity		Selection Process identified that none of the sites were fatally
	through the use of natural gas or diesel.		flawed, however some of the sites presented some social and
	» Heat recovery steam generators (HRSG) to		environmental factors which made the sites less favourable from
	produce steam.		an environmental perspective for the development. On this basis,
	» Steam turbines for the generation of additional		these sites were considered as not being preferred for the
	electricity through the use of steam generated		development due to the envisaged significance of the potential
	by the HRSG.		issues that would occur. The screening process is considered to be
	» Condensers for the conversion of steam back to		a full-rounded process which provided Eskom with the necessary
	water.		information regarding the proposed sites and which has led to the
	» Bypass stacks associated with each gas turbine.		preferred site being identified. It must be noted that all four sites
	» Exhaust stacks.		were considered feasible from a technical perspective, however
	» A water treatment plant for the treatment of		due to environmental constraints identified in the screening
	potable water and the production of		process the least environmentally sensitive site was identified and
	demineralized water.		assessed as part of the EIA process (i.e. Site 7).
	» A water pipeline and water tank.		
	» Dry-cooled system or Once-Through-Cooling		3.2 The Air Quality Assessment indicated that the potential impact
	system technology.		is likely to be high due other industries located near the site
	» Closed Fin-fan coolers to cool lubrication oil for		(industries such as Mondi Richards Bay (next door) and other
	the gas and steam turbines.		industries in Richards Bay such as Lafarge, TATA Steel and Pulp
	» A gas pipeline and a gas pipeline supply		United). The location of the site in relation to other sources of
	conditioning process facility.		pollution – likelihood for cumulative SO_2 and PM_{10} ambient
	» Diesel off-loading facility and storage tanks.		concentrations - were also taken into consideration. As indicated
	» Ancillary infrastructure including access roads,		above, the site was not fatally flawed. The Air Quality impact
	warehousing and buildings, storage facilities,		assessment will undertake a detailed assessment for the worst case

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	generators and 132kV and 400 kV switchyards.		scenario during the EIA Phase.
	» A power line to connect the Richards Bay CCPP		
	to the national grid for the evacuation of the		During the scoping phase it was identified that the construction of
	generated facility. (Note* The DSR states that		the Richards Bay CCPP has the potential to impact on the ambient
	"The development of the power line does not		air quality of the area through elevated daily PM10 concentrations
	form part of this EIA process")		due to background PM10 and the proximity of the project site to
			other particulate emission sources. During the operation phase,
	The DSR goes on to state that;		the Richards Bay CCPP is likely to contribute NOX, CO, and VOCs
	» The Richards Bay CCPP will be a baseload or		to the existing baseline concentrations (including greenhouse
	mid-merit plant.		gasses). Based on the findings of the scoping Phase the impact is
	» The natural gas is to be supplied via a gas		expected to be of a medium-low significance. It should be noted
	pipeline to the CCPP from the supply take-off		that the project is subjected to further detailed specialist
	point at the Richards Bay Harbour, and that;		assessment which will provide the significance of the air quality
	» The LNG Terminal at the Port does not form part		impacts, as well as provide feasible mitigation measures which will
	of this assessment.		aid in the management and/or reduction in the impacts. The Air
			Quality specialist will address the worst case operating model
	3. COMMENT		(baseload and mid-merit plant)
	3.1 Site Alternatives:		3.3 Eskom will need to enter into a gas sales agreement (GSA) with
			potential gas suppliers. The entity supplying the gas will be
	It is the opinion of the RBCAA that, from an		responsible for undertaking the EIA for the gas pipeline. However,
	environmental perspective, 3 of the 4 sites		the pipeline inside the power plant site, from the boundary fence
	considered were unfeasible from the outset. The		(connection point) of the gas power plant will be assessed in this
	site selection process is therefore automatically		EIA. Eskom is in discussions with Transnet and other stakeholders to
	skewed in favour of the preferred site. This issue was		determine possible routing options for the gas pipeline. It should
	raised and discussed at the presentation made to		be noted that a corridor for locating the gas pipeline infrastructure
	the Industrial Development Zone Environmental		within the project site will be assessed within this EIA Process.
	Review Committee (IDZ ERC), of which the RBCAA		
	is a member. The response given to the Forum was		3.4 The Supply Conditioning Process Facility is a facility within the

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	that "The sites had been assessed from a technical		power station to condition the natural gas supplied by a third party
	perspective and not an EIA perspective". This		to meet the gas turbine inlet process conditions required. This is not
	assertion appears to be contradicted on page 32,		a LNG regasification facility. The source of the natural gas is still not
	Section 3.4.1 which states that ", 4 sites were		known however Eskom is in discussions with potential gas suppliers
	taken forward into an environmental screening		and it currently seems likely that LNG at the Richards Bay port is the
	study."		most favourable solution. Eskom would not be able to obtain an
			approved business case if the natural gas supply GSA is not signed.
	3.2 Preferred Site 7:		
			3.5 The volumes and impacts of effluent discharge as part of the
	It is noted with extreme concern that the selected		development will be included and considered in the EIA Phase
	preferred site, located in IDZ 1D, has been deemed		and Report.
	to be not acceptable from an air quality		
	perspective.		3.6 The Traffic Impact Assessment will be undertaken during the EIA
			phase and the terms of reference has been included in the Plan of
	3.3 Gas Pipeline:		Study for EIA (refer to Chapter 8 of the Final Scoping Report).
	The gas pipeline is listed in the report as forming		3.7 Clarification regarding the storage tanks and the holding
	part of the main infrastructure associated with the		capacity and amount of tanks required will be clarified in the EIA
	facility; however the construction of the pipeline does not form part of this application.		report.
			3.8 The Chapter within which Table 4.3 is included refers specifically
	The RBCAA does not support this approach. The		to the approach undertaken during the Scoping Phase. Please
	location and construction of the pipeline will		note that the Climate Change Impact Assessment will only be
	contribute directly to the impacts of the proposed		undertaken during the EIA Phase, the terms of reference has been
	facility, and can therefore not be excluded from		included in the Plan of Study for the EIA Phase (refer to Chapter 8).
	the current process.		The specialist details have been included in chapter 8.
	3.4 Gas Pipeline Supply Conditioning Process Facility		3.9 The terms of reference for the EIA phase for Air Quality has
	(LNG Facility)		been updated in the Plan of Study for the EIA (Chapter 8 of the

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
			Final Scoping report), and reflects this recommendation.
	While references are made to a possible LNG		
	facility within the Port of Richards Bay, there is no		RECOMMENDATIONS
	commitment to the construction of such a facility.		
	Section 2.2.10 (page 15) of the report states that; "It		1. The project is under development, of which the EIA is part, and
	is envisaged that by the time construction of the		the finalisation of the gas supplier is a function of a commercial
	proposed development is complete, more gas		process and a negotiation, since there are various possible
	infrastructure will be available, such as the LNG		suppliers. The contracted gas supplier will be responsible for
	import terminal at the Richards Bay Port." And goes		permitting processes associated with their infrastructure. Eskom
	on to say " the gas-fired power station in		is responsible for the said pipeline within the power station up to
	Richards Bay could acquire local gas cheaply if the		the boundary of the site (this is part of the associated
	infrastructure to obtain it is developed. However,		infrastructure assessed within this EIA process). The corridor to
	as identified, the lack of said infrastructure is		be assessed for gas transportation allows for ease of approach
	currently a constraint."		and connection by any of the potential gas suppliers.
			2. Availability of gas is guaranteed, but a commercial process
	Section 3.3 (page 29) of the DSR states that; "The		and a negotiation process must be finalised. Therefore, there is
	natural gas is to be supplied via a gas pipeline to		no risk of gas unavailability for the project.
	the CCPP from a supply take-off point at Richards		3. The primary fuel stock for this power plant is gas. The plant will
	Bay Harbour. The LNG terminal infrastructure at the		have dual fuel capabilities; however, the intention is to have
	port does not form part of the scope of this		the power station supplied by gas full time. The plant will only
	assessment."		operate on diesel as a backup for emergency situations.
			Therefore the Air quality assessment will assess the impacts
	Clarity is requested regarding the above statement,		associated with the facility mainly operating on gas and
	as the IDZ ERC was informed that the source of the		operation on diesel as a backup.
	natural gas is still unknown.		4. The terms of reference for the EIA phase for Air Quality has
			been updated in the Plan of Study for the EIA (Chapter 8 of the
	The RBCAA does not support the approach of		Final Scoping report), and reflects this recommendation.
	proceeding with the application for a CCPP,		5. The terms of reference and the details of the independent
	without an established and confirmed source of		specialist that will be undertaking the Climate Change impact

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	natural gas.		Assessment has been included in the Plan of Study for the EIA
			(refer to Chapter 8 of the Final Scoping Report).
	3.5 Effluent Discharge:		6. A Traffic Impact Assessment will be undertaken as part of the
			EIA Phase and the terms of reference has been included in the
	The impact of discharging effluent into the marine		Plan of Study for EIA (refer to Chapter 8 of the Final Scoping
	outfall pipeline must be quantified.		Report).
	3.6 Traffic Impact Assessment:		
	The report is silent on traffic impacts. A Traffic		
	Impact Assessment must be included in the scope		
	of Specialist Studies.		
	3.7 Diesel Storage Tanks:		
	The report speaks of "storage tanks" which will hold		
	a capacity for eight (8) hours operation. It is		
	unclear as to how many tanks will be constructed,		
	and whether the 8 hour capacity is per tank or the		
	cumulative holding capacity. Please may we		
	request clarification in this regard.		
	3.8 Climate Change:		
	The RBCAA notes and supports the statement on		
	page 105 that "A Climate Change Impact		
	Assessment will be undertaken as during the EIA		
	phase."		
	However we note with concern that Table 4.3		
	(Page 52) which lists the Specialist Consultants does		

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	not include a Specialist Consultant on Climate		
	Change.		
	3.9 Air Quality Impact Assessment – Scoping Report		
	(Airshed Planning Professionals):		
	Terms of Reference for the EIA Phase should include		
	the assessment of;		
	a) Worst Case Scenario. Dispersion simulations for		
	worst case scenario, which would be the plant		
	operating solely on diesel.		
	b) Fugitive Emissions.		
	c) Odour.		
	4. RECOMMENDATIONS:		
	The RBCAA strongly recommends that;		
	1. The Gas Pipeline form part of this application.		
	2. CCPP application not proceed until a		
	guaranteed source and supply of natural gas is confirmed.		
	3. The Air Quality Impact Assessment (AQIA)		
	include worst case scenario, which is the plant		
	operating solely on diesel.		
	4. The AQIA include the assessment of fugitive		
	emissions and odour during operation.		
	5. A Climate Change Specialist be included in the		
	list of Specialist Consultants.		
	6. A Specialist Traffic Impact Assessment be		
	undertaken.		

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	Thank you for affording the Richards Bay Clean Air		
	Association (RBCAA) the opportunity to comment		
	on the above proposed project.		
	The RBCAA reserves the right to amend and\or		
	provide further comment.		
ECOLO	GICAL IMPACTS		
79.	Extensive studies were undertaken within Phase 1D	Franz Schmidt	The Kwambonambi Hygrophilous Grassland has been identified as
	of the IDZ in 2003/204. Kwambo Grassland	SHREQC Manager	an endangered species in the Scoping report. Further detail on
	(Kwambonambi Hygrophilous Grassland) was		how impacts to this plant species will be mitigated or managed will
	identified as an endangered plant species. Does	Richards Bay Alloys	be provided in the EIA report.
	the Scoping report identify Kwambo Grassland as		
	endangered?	Public Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	
80.	It must be noted that as much as Phase 1D is being	Sharin Govender	The terrestrial, ecological and hydrological impacts will be further
	made available for purposes of gas development	PM: Environmental	assessed in the EIA phase and detailed impact assessments will be
	there are issues that need to be tested through an	Management	provided in the specialist studies and EIA report.
	environmental process. These issues relate to		
	terrestrial, ecological and hydrological impacts	City of uMhlatuze	
	identified in the Environmental Screening and Site	Municipality	
	Selection Study.		
		Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	
81.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Dominic Weiners	The agreements regarding the biodiversity offset between KZN
	between KZN Ezemvelo wildlife and the City of	Principal Planner	Ezemvelo wildlife and the City of uMhlathuze Municipality will
	uMhlathuze Municipality will remain in place.		remain in place.
		Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife	

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
		Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	
IMPAC	TS TO TRANSNET ACTIVITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE		•
82.	Is Eskom aware of the Port Expansion Programme. This programme is being developed in phases and implementation is likely to commence in 2050.	Vuyo Keswa Environmental Manager Transnet Freight Rail	Eskom is aware of the Port Expansion Programme and are engaging with the Transnet Port Authority and the Richards Bay IDZ in this regard. It should be noted that the Richards Bay CCPP lifespan is approximately 20 years and the plant is likely to come online by 2023. Therefore the power plant is likely to be decommissioned before 2050.
		Meeting: 31-08-2017	
83.	Transnet infrastructure and servitudes are not affected by the proposed development. Transnet will require a better understanding of how the gas pipeline and the transmission lines would impact on Transnet infrastructure.	Thulani Fakude Depot Engineer – Infrastructure Transnet Freight Rail Meeting: 31-08-2017	It is noted that the power plant project does not impact on Transnet's servitudes or infrastructure. A separate EIA applications will be undertaken for the transmission lines. The potential gas supplier whom Eskom will enter into consider a Gas Sales Agreement (GSA) will conduct an EIA for its gas pipeline corridor from the power plant to Eskom's connection point at the boundary fence of, and the power plant. The gas pipeline from this connection point to Eskom's power plant is part of service provider will be responsible for authorisation processes from the associated infrastructure included in this EIA.
SOCIO			
84.	How many people will be based on the site during the construction and operation phases?	Vuyo Keswa Environmental Manager	Approximately 800 – 1000 people will be on site during the construction phase and 80 – 100 people during the operation phase.
		Transnet Freight Rail Meeting: 31-08-2017	

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Re	sponse
CONSU	LTATION WITH THE RICHARDS BAY IDZ	I		
85.	I am aware the commenting period for the Scoping Report closed on the 20th of September, nonetheless I would like to submit the following input –	Percy Langa SHEQ Manager Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone		The support from the RBIDZ for the development of the Richards Bay CCPP is noted. Eskom and Savannah Environmental have been in consultation with the local municipality and Transnet and will also continue to do so during the EIA Phase. The local municipality and
	1. The RBIDZ welcomes the proposed gas-to- power plant in the Richards Bay area. We are equally excited about future arrival of LNG and its benefits for industry, businesses and households in the Zululand area, and to the rest		3.	Transnet were invited to provide comment and raise any potential issues regarding the development of the Richards Bay CCPP (refer to Appendix C of the Final Scoping Report), all comments raised will form part of the EIA reporting.
	 of the Province. 2. I would urge Eskom to work closely with the local municipality and Transnet as hosts of the natural gas project for Richards Bay. 3. I would also urge Eskom to work closely with 			Department of Water and Sanitation have been consulted and invited to provide comment and raise any potential issues regarding the development of the Richards Bay CCPP (refer to Appendix C of the Final Scoping Report). Consultation will be continuous throughout the remainder of the EIA process and all
	Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife and the Department of Water and Sanitation on ecological/biodiversity and wetland issues in the R Bay area, respectively.		4.	comments raised will form part of the EIA reporting. It is noted that Percy Langa attended the 2 nd public meeting and the RBIDZ ERC meeting for the Richards Bay CCPP. a. The need and desirability for the use of diesel as a back-up
	 4. Having attended the 2nd public meeting held at the public library and later hosting your team at our ERC meeting on the same day, the Impact Assessment phase will need to address the following matters: a. The need and desirability of diesel as a backup fuel for the CCGT (the ambient the team of team of the team of the team of team			 fuel will be included and considered in the EIA Report during the EIA Phase. i. A Traffic Impact Assessment will assess all traffic impacts related to the construction and operation of the Richards Bay CCPP during the EIA Phase (refer to the Plan of study for EIA, Chapter 8 of the Final Scoping Report)
	air quality in R Bay has challenges due to existing heavy industries)			b. Diesel will be utilised to operate the Richards (in case of emergencies) Bay CCPP in situations where gas is not

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	i. Related matter: Traffic impact of		available for use. This will be investigated further in the EIA
	diesel supply to the CCGT		Report.
	b. Given that that the CCGT will be a mid-		i. This project is being developed in a phased approach
	merit power plant (16 hours-a-day, 5		where the project is considered holistically. The
	days-a-week), is there a justified need		transmission power lines are being considered by
	for a diesel backup component?		Eskom even though separate EIA processes are being
	i. It makes sense that Eskom needs		undertaken for these project components. Eskom is in
	to manage the risk associated		the process of appointing an EIA consultant to
	with the reality that, for whatever		undertake the environmental assessment required for
	reason, LNG or natural gas		the transmission line infrastructure. This process will not
	supply to the CCGT could be		lag far behind the EIA for the power plant.
	unavailable or affected – and		c. A summary of the Site Selection and Screen Process has
	therefore resulting in the CCGT		been included in Chapter 3 of the Scoping Report.
	standing idle until the gas supply		d. This project is being developed in a phased approach
	is restored. Would additional		where the project is considered holistically. The pipeline
	storage tanks for natural gas		and transmission power lines are being considered by
	(either at the CCGT or the LNG		Eskom even though separate EIA processes are being
	Import Terminal at the Port) not		undertaken for these project components. Eskom is in the
	provide mitigation against this?		process of appointing an EIA consultant to undertake the
	c. Incorporate a summary of the Site		environmental assessment required for the transmission line
	Selection study for the CCGT into the		infrastructure. This process will not lag far behind the EIA for
	Impact Assessment Report.		the power plant.
	d. Key missing pieces in the current EIA:		e. Other comments on the scoping report:
	transmission powerlines for power		i. Specific details regarding the bulk infrastructure or
	evacuation and incoming natural gas		services will be made available for consideration in the
	pipeline.		EIA Report. In this regard, an infrastructure/service
	e. Other pieces in the current EIA:		corridor will be assessed as part of this EIA process. This
	i. Incoming bulk		will be undertaken within the project site.
	infrastructure/services (water,		ii. Specific details regarding the outgoing bulk

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	whether it be portable, raw or industrial) ii. Outgoing bulk infrastructure/services (wastewater, effluent?, hazardous waste?, etc.) f. The transfer of CCGT-related and LNG- related knowledge and skills (technical and other) to the local communities, in particular youth and women. g. The current EIA process must also undertake to educate the general public on safety, health and environmental benefits of natural gas. h. Lastly, we would be happy to engage with your environmental specialists to either provide information or discuss any other relevant matter.		 infrastructure or services will be made available for consideration in the EIA Report. In this regard, an infrastructure/service corridor will be assessed as part of this EIA process. This will be undertaken within the project site. f. Details regarding skills transfer processes as part of the development of the Richards Bay CCPP will be included in the EIA Report for consideration. g. Comment noted. This will be addressed during the EIA phase and appropriate stakeholder management and consultation with local communities will be undertaken. h. Comment noted. Should the need arise for consultation between the RBIDZ and the independent specialists engagement between the parties will be arranged.
GENER	AL		
5.	Cheniere supports any gas to power initiative in South Africa irrespective of whether those projects are being developed by Eskom or by the private sector. Gas power is a strategic market since coal and nuclear power generation options have numerous challenges. Gas is viewed as a key part of South Africa's secure power supply. We welcome any initiative that can sustainably move the Country forward in an environmentally friendly way.	Darryl Hunt Consultant Cheniere Public Meeting: 30-08-2017	The support for the project is noted.

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
6.	The Scoping Report states that the gas power		Comment noted. During the EIA phase both mid-merit and
	plant's load factor is assumed to operate for 16		baseload options will be considered in order to ensure that the
	hours per day for 5 days per week (mid merit basis).		environmental Authorisation is applicable to both options.
	The impacts should be assessed for both mid-merit		
	and baseload options so that the EA is not		
	constrained in the event that the plant is required		
	to operate at baseload.		
7.	It is advised that Eskom join the Richards Bay Clean	Franz Schmidt	This recommendation is noted by the applicant.
	Air Association which will provide access to a	SHREQC Manager	
	network of updated and relevant information.		
		Richards Bay Alloys	
		Public Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	
8.	The Vortum Energy Project and the Accelor Mittal	Darryl Hunt	Comment noted.
	Thermal Plant located in Saldanha in the Western	Consultant	
	Cape recently received environmental		
	authorisation from the Department of	Cheniere	
	Environmental Affairs (DEA) which also excluded		
	the grid connection and pipeline infrastructure.	Public Meeting:	
	DEA has approved the impact of the power plant	31-08-2017	
	in isolation, with the condition that the remaining		
	project components must receive environmental		
	authorisation within two years.		
9.	I hope that the EIAs being undertaken by the	Frans van der Walt	Comment noted.
	private sector will continue. NERSA is the deciding		
	factor and will make the decision based on rate.	1 ,	
	Eskom cannot develop a plant like this in	Surveyors & Project	
	competition and price wise then it should go to the	Managers)	

No.	Comment/Issue	Issue Raised By	Response
	private sector. The sad thing is that in this instance		
	the tax payers are funding this EIA. IPPs should be	Public Meeting:	
	assisted by our government to do these studies	31-08-2017	
	because at the end of the day this is all to the		
	benefit of the Country.		
10.	Are there any other combined cycle power plants	Sandy Camminga	There are no combined cycle power plants in South Africa
	in South Africa?	Chairperson – EIA	currently.
		Committee	
		Richards Bay Clean Air	
		Association	
		Meeting:	
		31-08-2017	