

RESEARCH, TESTING AND DEVELOPMENT**SUSTAINABILITY DEPARTMENT****EZAMOKUHLE AIR QUALITY MONTHLY REPORT****FEBRUARY 2017****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This monthly report covers the ambient air quality data as monitored at Ezamokuhle monitoring site in February 2017.

There were 41 exceedances of O₃ 8-hour moving average limit of 61ppb and no exceedances recorded for other parameters monitored (Table 3) at Ezamokuhle during the February 2017 monitoring period. There is already non-compliance with the 8-hourly ozone ambient standards at this site for 2017.

Ambient SO₂ concentrations at Ezamokuhle monitoring site are predominantly influenced by tall stack emissions and ambient PM_{2.5} concentrations are influenced by low level sources while ambient NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations are also influenced by both low level and tall stack sources, as depicted in the diurnal variation graphs.

The dominant wind directions during the day time were east-north-east, east and east-south-east. During the night, the most frequent directions were east-north-east, east and east-south-east.

The overall percentage data recovered from the monitoring station was 99.7% and the overall station availability was 99.9%. The data losses for January were due to zero/span checks during routine site servicing and minor power outages.

DISCLAIMER

It is certified that the data presented is, to the best of our knowledge, a true copy of the specified record and for the times and places indicated thereon, as held on file at Research, Testing and Innovation Department (R,T&D). The user assumes the entire risk related to the use of this data. In no event will R,T&D be liable to the user or to any third party for any direct, indirect, incidental, consequential, special or exemplary damages or profit resulting from any use or misuse of this data.

1. INTRODUCTION

The monitoring site was established as part of a greater air quality offset pilot study. The objective of the pilot study is to test the effectiveness of the most promising household emission offset interventions identified during Eskom's pre-feasibility study. This includes identifying the possible improvement in ambient air quality resulting from emission reductions at a household level. Data measured at Ezamokuhle Township (Amersfoort) will represent baseline and post intervention implementation ambient air quality. Ambient monitoring results measured are presented in this report and are compared to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

The Ezamokuhle monitoring station is equipped to continuously monitor ambient concentrations of sulphur dioxide, oxides of nitrogen, ozone and fine particulate matter of particulate size $<10\mu\text{m}$ and $2.5\mu\text{m}$ in diameter (PM_{10} and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$). In addition, meteorological parameters of wind velocity, wind direction, ambient temperature, humidity, rainfall and solar radiation are also recorded.

Standard Specifications, Equipment/Techniques used for the measurement of SO_2 , O_3 and NO_x conform to US-EPA equivalent method No EQSA-0486-060, EQOA-0880-047 and RFNA-1289-074 respectively.

2. SITE LOCATION

The Ezamokuhle monitoring site is located $\pm 13.7\text{km}$ north-north-east of Majuba power station (Figure 1). It is situated centrally in Ezamokuhle Township, at Hlelimfundo High School at coordinates $-26.997571, 29.850086$. The monitoring site's main objective is to determine the background concentrations of pollutants measured at the site for Offsets intervention project.




Figure 1: Ezamokuhle air quality monitoring site (Amersfoort) in relation to Majuba power station

3. DATA RECOVERY

The South African National Accreditation System (SANAS) guideline figure of 90% data availability per parameter monitored is used as a standard for representative data capture. This describes the required completeness of data set for the reporting of averages and is based on standard arithmetic calculations. The completeness calculations for data sets exclude zero and span data and times where service and/or maintenance is being conducted on the instruments in question.

Availability is a management definition related to system reliability. The availability target is not set in terms of data quality criteria and has no associated quality objectives. A target of 100% availability has been set for performance evaluation. Availability is reported as a measure of the percentage of time that electrical power was available to the monitoring station.

Table 1 shows the percentage data recovered, for each parameter monitored, during the reporting period.

Table 1: Percentage data recovered per parameter for February 2017

| NO ₁ | NO ₂ | NO _x | OZN | PRS | RAD | RFL | SGT | SO2 | TMP | WDR | WSP | WVL | PM2.5 | PM10 | HUM | Data Rec | Station Avail |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|----------|---------------|
| 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.4 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.9 | 99.7 | 99.9 |

The overall percentage data recovered from the monitoring station during the period was 99.7% (Table 1) and the overall monitoring station availability was 99.9%.

4. SUMMARY OF RESULTS FOR REPORTED PERIOD

Table 3 is a summary report presenting highest mean concentrations and the number of exceedances above the respective National Ambient Air Quality Standards limits as presented in Table 2.

Note: PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} are monitored, using Beta gauge (Beta-attenuation using a C-14 source).

Table 2: National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

| Pollutant | Unit | Period | Limit | Number of annual exceedances allowed | Source |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Carbon Monoxide | ppm | 1hr | 26 | 88 | DEA |
| Carbon Monoxide | ppm | 8hr | 8.7 | 11 | DEA |
| (PM ₁₀) by Beta gauge | µg/m ³ | 24hr | 75 | 4 | DEA |
| (PM ₁₀) by Beta gauge | µg/m ³ | 1year | 40 | 0 | DEA |
| (PM _{2.5}) by Beta gauge | µg/m ³ | 24hr | 40 | 4 | DEA |
| (PM _{2.5}) by Beta gauge | µg/m ³ | 1year | 20 | 0 | DEA |
| Nitrogen dioxide | ppb | 1year | 21 | 0 | DEA |
| Nitrogen dioxide | ppb | 1hr | 106 | 88 | DEA |
| Ozone | ppb | 8hr | 61 | 11. | DEA |
| Sulphur dioxide | ppb | 1hr | 134 | 88 | DEA |
| Sulphur dioxide | ppb | 10min | 191 | 526 | DEA |
| Sulphur dioxide | ppb | 24hr | 48 | 4 | DEA |
| Sulphur dioxide | ppb | 1year | 19 | 0 | DEA |

Table 3: Summary report of parameters monitored at Ezamokuhle in February 2017

| Pollutant | Highest Hourly Mean | No of Hourly National Limit Exceedances | Highest Daily Mean | No of Daily National Limit Exceedances | No of 8hr Moving Average Limit | Highest 10min Mean | No of 10min National Limit Exceedances |
|---|---------------------|---|--------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| FPM (PM-2.5) by Beta gauge [ug/m ³] | 87.6 | | 24.9 | 0 | | 109.3 | |
| FPM (PM-10) by Beta gauge [ug/m ³] | 33.1 | | 11.1 | 0 | | 34.7 | |
| Nitric oxide [ppb] | 35.6 | | 6.1 | | | 58.1 | |
| Nitrogen dioxide [ppb] | 27.3 | 0 | 8.9 | | | 42.1 | |
| Nitrogen oxide [ppb] | 60.9 | | 9.7 | | | 83.9 | |
| Ozone [ppb] | 104.5 | | 51.4 | | 41 | 115.9 | |
| Sigma theta [deg] | 48.6 | | 21.5 | | | 79.2 | |
| Sulphur dioxide [ppb] | 86. | 0 | 14.2 | 0 | | 118.5 | 0 |
| Ambient temperature [deg C] | 29.6 | | 22.2 | | | 30.6 | |
| Wind speed [m/s] | 10. | | 6. | | | 11.2 | |
| Wind velocity [m/s] | 9.8 | | 5.8 | | | 11.1 | |
| | | | | | | | |

There were forty one (41) exceedances of O₃ 8-hour moving average limit of 61ppb and no exceedances recorded for other parameters monitored (Table 3) at Ezamokuhle during the February 2017 monitoring period

5. METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS

Figure 2 shows the daytime and night-time wind roses for the reporting period. The centre of the wind rose depicts the position of the air quality monitoring station. The positions of the spokes in the polar diagram represent directions from which the wind was blowing. The length of the segment indicates the percentage of the time the wind blew from that direction and the speed in the various categories are denoted by colours and width.

The dominant wind directions during the day time were east-north-east, east and east-south-east. During the night, the most frequent directions were east-north-east, east and east-south-east.




Figure 2: Day and night time wind roses at Ezamokuhle monitoring site

6. DISCUSSION OF POLLUTANTS

Emissions of primary pollutants such as PM_{10} , SO_2 , and NO_x from typical low level sources such as domestic combustion and motor vehicles are expected to impact at ground level more significantly during the evening and morning hours as a result of temperature inversion. Emissions of such pollutants from tall stacks (power stations and other industries), are expected to have more significant impacts at ground level during the day, due to atmospheric turbulence influences. O_3 and other oxidants are formed in polluted atmospheres as a result of a wide variety of photochemical reactions as a result a gradual increase of O_3 throughout the day is expected, peaking at mid-afternoon and then decaying once more during the night.

6.1. Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{10}).

6.1.1. Source identification by PM_{10} diurnal variations.

Figure 3 shows the diurnal variation of PM_{10} concentrations. Hourly average PM_{10} concentrations show increase in concentration from 07:00 in the morning throughout the afternoon until peaks are reached at 13:00 and 19:00. The concentrations begin to decrease and remain low for the rest of the evening. Concentration peak observed at 19:00 in the evening are as a result from emissions from low level sources. High concentrations throughout the afternoon and a peak recorded at 13:00 during the day are as a result of emissions from tall stack emitters.




Figure 3: PM_{10} Diurnal variations (Mean concentrations = Blue line, Standard Deviation = Green line).

6.1.2. PM_{10} hourly mean event roses and tables.

Since there is no national hourly limit for PM_{10} ; the hourly 98th percentile daytime and night-time event roses are presented in Figure 4 to identify the wind sectors from which the highest concentrations are derived.

The most dominant hourly mean concentrations during daytime period were recorded from east-north-east, east and north-north-west sectors. The most dominant hourly mean concentrations

during night time period were recorded from east, south-east, south-south-west, west-norht-west sectors. Major roads and other activities at Ezamokuhle Township around the monitoring site might be impacting the PM₁₀ ambient concentrations.




Figure 4: PM₁₀ hourly mean 98th percentile event roses during day and night times

Table 5: PM₁₀ daytime hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-------|-------|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-------|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66.67 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 |

Table 6: PM₁₀ night-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|---|-------|----|-----|---|-------|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.33 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.33 | 0 | 0 |

6.2. Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}).

6.2.1. Source identification by PM_{2.5} diurnal variations

Figure 5 shows the diurnal variation of PM_{2.5} concentrations with elevated concentrations during the early hours of the morning and the evening hours. The concentrations show morning peak at 08:00 and evening peak at 19:00. Elevated concentrations in the mornings and evenings indicate typical contribution by low level sources.




Figure 5: PM_{2.5} Diurnal variations (Mean concentrations = Blue line, Standard Deviation = Green line).

6.2.2. PM_{2.5} hourly mean event roses and tables.

Since there is no national hourly limit for PM_{2.5}; the hourly 98th percentile daytime and night-time event roses are presented in Figure 6 to identify the wind sectors from which the highest concentrations are derived.

The most dominant hourly mean concentrations during daytime period were recorded from east-north-east, east, south-south-west and north-north-west sectors. The most dominant hourly mean concentrations during night time period were east, south-east, south-south-west and west-north-west sectors.




Figure 6: PM_{2.5} hourly mean 98th percentile event roses during day and night times

Table 7: PM_{2.5} daytime hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-----|-------|-----|----|-----|---|-------|----|-----|---|-----|----|-------|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 |

Table 8: PM_{2.5} night-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|---|-------|----|-----|---|-----|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 |

6.2. Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂)

6.2.1. Source identification by SO₂ diurnal variations.

The SO₂ hourly mean diurnal variation is presented in Figure 7. The diurnal variation shows an increase in SO₂ concentrations during the daytime hours with maximum peak observed at 12:00. This diurnal variation indicates emissions from tall stack sources that probably have influence on the ambient concentrations observed throughout the day.




Figure 7: SO₂ diurnal variations (.Mean concentrations = Blue line, Standard Deviation = Green line).

6.2.2. SO₂ hourly mean event roses and tables.

Figure 8 presents the SO₂ 98th percentile SO₂ event roses during the day and night-time. The most dominant hourly mean concentrations above 42.80ppb (98th percentile value) during the day time period were recorded from north-north-east, south-south-east, south-west, west-south-west and west sectors. The most dominant hourly mean concentrations above 19.01ppb (98th percentile value) during night time period were recorded from north-east, east-north-east, west-south-west, west and west-north-west sectors.




Figure 8: SO₂ exceedance rose for daytime and hourly mean 98th percentile night time event roses.

Table 9: SO₂ day-time hourly mean exceedance table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-------|----|-----|---|-----|----|-------|---|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 33.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 10: SO₂ night-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|-------|-------|---|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-------|-------|-------|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 33.33 | 0 | 0 |

6.3. Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)

6.3.1 Source identification by NO₂ variations

The NO₂ hourly mean diurnal variation show increasing NO₂ concentrations from the morning hours, with slightly elevated concentrations during the day. The concentrations show peaks at 08:00, 12:00 and evening peak at 21:00. This indicates the influence of both tall stack emitters and low level sources on the ambient concentrations at site.




Figure 9: NO₂ diurnal variations (.Mean concentrations = Blue line, Standard Deviation = Green line)




Figure 10: NO₂ hourly mean sector 98th percentile event roses

6.3.2 NO₂ hourly mean event roses and tables

The 98th percentile daytime and night-time event roses are presented in Figure 10 to identify the wind sectors from which the highest concentrations are derived.

The most dominant daytime concentrations above 15.88ppb (98th percentile value) were from south-south-east, west-south-west, west, west-north-west sectors (Table 11). The most dominant night-time concentrations above 14.86ppb (98th percentile value) were from north-east, east-north-east, west-south-west, west and west-north-west sector (Table 12). The vehicles operating within the school nearby monitoring station might have an impact on the NO₂ ambient concentrations.

Table 11: NO₂ day-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-------|---|-----|----|-------|-------|-------|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.57 | 42.86 | 14.29 | 0 | 0 |

Table 12: NO₂ night-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|-------|-------|---|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-------|-------|-------|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 33.33 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 |

6.4. OZONE (O₃)

Figure 11 shows the O₃ hourly mean diurnal variation with increase in ozone concentrations occurring from 08:00 and maximum peak recorded at 16:00 in the afternoon. The increase in concentrations in the morning can be associated with the formation of NO₂ and the photochemical reaction in the presence of sunlight during the day. Event roses shown in figure 12 indicate sectors from which O₃ hourly mean concentrations above 98th percentile value during day and night were coming from and Figure 13 shows the 8-hour moving average of ozone concentrations with 41 exceedances above 61ppb national limit recorded during the month.




Figure 11: O₃ diurnal variations (.Mean concentrations = Blue line, Standard Deviation = Green line)




Figure 12: O₃ hourly mean sector 98th percentile event roses

Table 13: O₃ day-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-------|-------|-----|----|-----|---|-------|----|-----|---|-------|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.33 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.33 | 0 | 0 |

Table 14: O₃ night-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-------|---|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-------|---|-------|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 |




Figure 13: O₃ 8 Hours Moving Average (Blue Thick Line)

7. HISTORICAL MONTHLY CONCENTRATIONS

7.1. RECENT TRENDS

Time series graphs for each pollutant with respect to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards are represented from the beginning of the previous year until the end of the current reporting period or since inception of the monitors.

Ozone concentrations show increase levels during spring period and lower levels during winter. The SO₂ concentrations indicate lower concentrations at Ezamokuhle since inception and PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} show increased levels and exceedances during winter periods (July) and lower concentrations during summer and spring. The NO₂ concentrations did not exceed the national ambient standard since July 2016, but they do show elevated concentrations during the winter period over the past six months.




Figure 14: Historical ozone 8 hours moving average from July 2016 to February 2017




Figure 15: Time series graph for SO₂ hourly data from July 2016 to February 2017




Figure 16: Time series graph for SO₂ daily data from July 2016 to February 2017




Figure 17: Time series graph for PM₁₀ daily data from July 2016 to February 2017




Figure 18: Time series graph for PM_{2.5} daily data from July 2016 to February 2017




Figure 19: Time series graph for NO₂ hourly data from July 2016 to February 2017

7.2. MONTHLY MEANS FOR THE CURRENT CALENDER YEAR 2017

Table 13: Monthly means for all parameters measured for the current calendar year 2017

| Parameter measured | Jan. | Feb. |
|--|------|------|
| PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³) | 9.6 | 9.4 |
| PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³) | 5.5 | 4.8 |
| NO ₂ (ppb) | 3.1 | 3.6 |
| O ₃ (ppb) | 37.1 | 34.9 |
| SO ₂ (ppb) | 4.3 | 4.9 |

7.3 NUMBER OF EXCEEDANCES OF NATIONAL AIR QUALITY LIMITS

Table 14: Number of exceedances of the National Air Quality Limits

| | SO ₂ hourly | SO ₂ daily | NO ₂ hourly | PM ₁₀ daily | PM _{2.5} daily | O ₃ 8- Hourly |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Jan 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| Feb 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| Allowed no of exceedances | 88 | 4 | 88 | 4 | 4 | 11 |

Ozone has already exceeded its annual national standard.

8. CONCLUSIONS

Good representative percentage data was recovered for most of the parameters monitored during the monitoring period under review at the site.

There were 41 exceedances of O₃ 8-hour moving average limit of 61ppb and no exceedances recorded for other parameters monitored (Table 3) at Ezamokuhle during the February 2017 monitoring period. There is already non-compliance with the 8-hourly ozone ambient standards at this site for 2017.

Report compiled by: Kgancho Komane
RT&D

Reviewed by: Bontle Monametsi



Air Quality, Climate Change & Ecosystem Management
RT&D

Approved by: Kristy Langerman

Air Quality Centre of Excellence
Eskom Environmental Management

9. ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| DEA | Department of Environmental Affairs |
| deg | Degree |
| deg C | Degree Celsius |
| E | East |
| ENE | East-north-east |
| ESE | East-south-east |
| FPM | Fine particulate matter |
| HUM | Humidity |
| m/s | Meters per second |
| MWP | Megawatt Park |
| N | North |
| NE | North-east |
| NNE | North-north-east |
| NNW | North-north-west |
| NO1 | Nitric oxide |
| NO2 | Nitrogen dioxide |
| NOX | Oxides of nitrogen |
| NW | North-west |
| O ₃ | Ozone |
| PM ₁₀ | Particulate matter < 10 microns in diameter |
| PM _{2.5} | Particulate matter < 2.5 microns in diameter |
| ppb | Parts per billion |
| ppm | Parts per million |
| S | South |
| SANAS | South African National Accreditation System |
| SE | South-east |
| SGT | Sigma theta |
| SSE | South-south-east |
| SSW | South-south-west |
| SW | South-west |
| TMP | Ambient temperature |
| ug/m ³ | Microgram per cubic meter |
| W | West |
| WDR | Wind direction from true North |
| WNW | West-north-west |
| WSP | Wind speed |
| WSW | West-south-west |
| WVL | Wind velocity |

10. DISTRIBUTION LIST

Air Quality Centre of Excellence
Eskom Environmental Management
Attention: K Langerman
Attention: O Makhalemele
Projects file
K. Komane

MWP

RT&D