

RESEARCH, TESTING AND DEVELOPMENT**SUSTAINABILITY DEPARTMENT****EZAMOKUHLE-1 AIR QUALITY MONTHLY REPORT****JULY 2017****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This monthly report covers the ambient air quality data as monitored at Ezamokuhle-1 monitoring site in July 2017.

There was one exceedance of SO₂ 10-min average limit of 191ppb, five exceedances of PM_{2.5} daily limit of 40 µg/m³, thirteen exceedances of O₃ 8-hourly limit of 61ppb and no exceedances of other national ambient air quality limits recorded for other parameters monitored at Ezamokuhle-1 during the July 2017 monitoring period. There is already non-compliance with the PM_{2.5} and 8-hourly ozone ambient limit at this site for 2017.

Ambient SO₂, NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations at Ezamokuhle-1 monitoring site are influenced by combination of both low level and tall stack sources and ambient PM_{2.5} concentrations are influenced by low level sources, as depicted in the diurnal variation graphs.

The dominant wind directions during the day time were west-south-west, west and west-north-west. During the night, the most frequent directions were west-south-west, west and west-north-west.

The overall percentage data recovered from the monitoring station was 93.6% and the overall station availability was 92.6%. The data losses for July were due to frequent power interruptions due to incoming power and zero/span checks during routine site servicing.

DISCLAIMER

It is certified that the data presented is, to the best of our knowledge, a true copy of the specified record and for the times and places indicated thereon, as held on file at Research, Testing and Innovation Department (R,T&D). The user assumes the entire risk related to the use of this data. In no event will R,T&D be liable to the user or to any third party for any direct, indirect, incidental, consequential, special or exemplary damages or profit resulting from any use or misuse of this data.

1. INTRODUCTION

The monitoring site was established as part of a greater air quality offset pilot study. The objective of the pilot study is to test the effectiveness of the most promising household emission offset interventions identified during Eskom's pre-feasibility study. This includes identifying the possible improvement in ambient air quality resulting from emission reductions at a household level. Data measured at Ezamokuhle Township (Amersfoort) will represent baseline and post intervention implementation ambient air quality. Ambient monitoring results measured are presented in this report and are compared to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

The Ezamokuhle-1 monitoring site is equipped to continuously monitor ambient concentrations of sulphur dioxide, oxides of nitrogen, ozone and fine particulate matter of particulate size $<10\mu\text{m}$ and $2.5\mu\text{m}$ in diameter (PM_{10} and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$). In addition, meteorological parameters of wind velocity, wind direction, ambient temperature, humidity, rainfall and solar radiation are also recorded.

Standard Specifications, Equipment/Techniques used for the measurement of SO_2 , O_3 and NO_x conform to US-EPA equivalent method No EQSA-0486-060, EQOA-0880-047 and RFNA-1289-074 respectively.

2. SITE LOCATION

The Ezamokuhle-1 monitoring site is located $\pm 13.7\text{km}$ north-north-east of Majuba power station (Figure 1). It is situated centrally in Ezamokuhle Township, at Hlelimfundo High School at coordinates $-26.997571, 29.850086$. The monitoring site's main objective is to determine the background concentrations of pollutants measured at the site for Offsets intervention project.




Figure 1: Ezamokuhle-1 air quality monitoring site (Amersfoort) in relation to Majuba power station

3. DATA RECOVERY

The South African National Accreditation System (SANAS) guideline figure of 90% data availability per parameter monitored is used as a standard for representative data capture. This describes the required completeness of data set for the reporting of averages and is based on standard arithmetic calculations. The completeness calculations for data sets exclude zero and span data and times where service and/or maintenance is being conducted on the instruments in question.

Availability is a management definition related to system reliability. The availability target is not set in terms of data quality criteria and has no associated quality objectives. A target of 100% availability has been set for performance evaluation. Availability is reported as a measure of the percentage of time that electrical power was available to the monitoring station.

Table 1 shows the percentage data recovered, for each parameter monitored, during the reporting period.

Table 1: Percentage data recovered per parameter for July 2017

| NO ₁ | NO ₂ | NO _x | O ₃ | PRS | RAD | RFL | SGT | SO ₂ | TMP | WDR | WSP | WVL | PM _{2.5} | PM ₁₀ | HUM | Data Rec | Station Avail |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------|------|------|------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|-------------------|------------------|------|----------|---------------|
| 91.9 | 91.9 | 91.9 | 92.2 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 92.2 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 92.6 | 92.6 | 94.9 | 93.6 | 92.6 |

The overall percentage data recovered from the monitoring station during the period was 93.6% and the overall monitoring station availability was 92.6%.

4. SUMMARY OF RESULTS FOR REPORTED PERIOD

Table 3 is a summary report presenting highest mean concentrations and the number of exceedances above the respective National Ambient Air Quality Standards limits as presented in Table 2.

Note: PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} are monitored, using Beta gauge (Beta-attenuation using a C-14 source).

Table 2: National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

| Pollutant | Unit | Period | Limit | Number of annual exceedances allowed | Source |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Carbon Monoxide | ppm | 1hr | 26 | 88 | DEA |
| Carbon Monoxide | ppm | 8hr | 8.7 | 11 | DEA |
| (PM ₋₁₀) by Beta gauge | µg/m ³ | 24hr | 75 | 4 | DEA |
| (PM ₋₁₀) by Beta gauge | µg/m ³ | 1year | 40 | 0 | DEA |
| (PM _{-2.5}) by Beta gauge | µg/m ³ | 24hr | 40 | 4 | DEA |
| (PM _{-2.5}) by Beta gauge | µg/m ³ | 1year | 20 | 0 | DEA |
| Nitrogen dioxide | ppb | 1year | 21 | 0 | DEA |
| Nitrogen dioxide | ppb | 1hr | 106 | 88 | DEA |
| Ozone | ppb | 8hr | 61 | 11. | DEA |
| Sulphur dioxide | ppb | 1hr | 134 | 88 | DEA |
| Sulphur dioxide | ppb | 10min | 191 | 526 | DEA |
| Sulphur dioxide | ppb | 24hr | 48 | 4 | DEA |
| Sulphur dioxide | ppb | 1year | 19 | 0 | DEA |

Table 3: Summary report of parameters monitored at Ezamokuhle-1 in July 2017

| Pollutant | Highest Hourly Mean | No of Hourly National Limit Exceedances | Highest Daily Mean | No of Daily National Limit Exceedances | No of 8hr Moving Average Limit | Highest 10min Mean | No of 10min National Limit Exceedances |
|---|---------------------|---|--------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| FPM (PM-2.5) by Beta gauge [$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$] | 286.1 | | 49.3 | 5 | | 379.2 | |
| FPM (PM-10) by Beta gauge [$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$] | 216.6 | | 33.7 | 0 | | 478.4 | |
| Nitric oxide [ppb] | 26. | | 4.2 | | | 42.7 | |
| Nitrogen dioxide [ppb] | 37.7 | 0 | 17.5 | | | 53.7 | |
| Nitrogen oxide [ppb] | 59.9 | | 20.8 | | | 148.2 | |
| Ozone [ppb] | 77.8 | | 46.3 | | 13 | 86.9 | |
| Sigma theta [deg] | 45.8 | | 23.6 | | | 73.2 | |
| Sulphur dioxide [ppb] | 101.1 | 0 | 28.9 | 0 | | 218.5 | 1 |
| Ambient temperature [deg C] | 23.8 | | 14. | | | 24. | |
| Wind speed [m/s] | 12.7 | | 6.7 | | | 13.6 | |
| Wind velocity [m/s] | 12.5 | | 6.6 | | | 13.5 | |

There was one exceedance of SO₂ 10-min average limit of 191ppb, five exceedances of PM_{2.5} daily limit of 40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, thirteen exceedances of O₃ 8-hourly limit of 61ppb and no exceedances of other national ambient air quality limits recorded for other parameters monitored at Ezamokuhle-1 during the July 2017 monitoring period.

Table 4: Exceedances above the national ambient air quality limits

| PM _{2.5} daily exceedances | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------|------|-------|-----|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Pollutant | Limit | Year | Month | Day | Conc. ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | |
| PM _{2.5} | 40 | 2017 | 07 | 01 | 43.1 | |
| PM _{2.5} | 40 | 2017 | 07 | 05 | 42.3 | |
| PM _{2.5} | 40 | 2017 | 07 | 19 | 44.9 | |
| PM _{2.5} | 40 | 2017 | 07 | 23 | 40.1 | |
| PM _{2.5} | 40 | 2017 | 07 | 24 | 49.3 | |
| SO ₂ 10 minute exceedances | | | | | | |
| Pollutant | Limit | Year | Month | Day | Time | Conc. (ppb) |
| SO ₂ | 191 | 2017 | 07 | 27 | 17:50 | 218.50 |

5. METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS

Figure 2 shows the daytime and night-time wind roses for the reporting period. The centre of the wind rose depicts the position of the air quality monitoring station. The positions of the spokes in the polar diagram represent directions from which the wind was blowing. The length of the segment indicates the percentage of the time the wind blew from that direction and the speed in the various categories are denoted by colours and width.

The dominant wind directions during the day time were west-south-west, west and west-north-west. During the night, the most frequent directions were west-south-west, west and west-north-west.




Figure 2: Day and night time wind roses at Ezamokuhle-1 monitoring site

6. DISCUSSION OF POLLUTANTS

Emissions of primary pollutants such as PM_{10} , SO_2 , and NO_x from typical low level sources such as domestic combustion and motor vehicles are expected to impact at ground level more significantly during the evening and morning hours as a result of temperature inversion. Emissions of such pollutants from tall stacks (power stations and other industries), are expected to have more significant impacts at ground level during the day, due to atmospheric turbulence influences. O_3 and other oxidants are formed in polluted atmospheres as a result of a wide variety of photochemical reactions as a result a gradual increase of O_3 throughout the day is expected, peaking at mid-afternoon and then decaying once more during the night.

6.1. Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{10}).

6.1.1. Source identification by PM_{10} diurnal variations.

Figure 3 shows the diurnal variation of PM_{10} concentrations. Hourly average PM_{10} concentrations show increase in concentration from 07:00 in the morning until peaks are reached at 10:00 and 15:00 during the day. The concentrations begin to decrease and remain low for the rest of the afternoon with a major peak observed at 20:00 in the evening. Concentration peaks observed at 10:00 in the morning and 20:00 in the evening are as a result of emissions from low level sources. Concentrations peak recorded at 15:00 during the day could be as a result of emissions from tall stack emitters.




Figure 3: PM₁₀ Diurnal variations (Mean concentrations = Blue line, Standard Deviation = Green line).

6.1.2. PM₁₀ hourly mean event roses and tables.

Since there is no national hourly limit for PM₁₀; the hourly 98th percentile daytime and night-time event roses are presented in Figure 4 to identify the wind sectors from which the highest concentrations are derived.

The most dominant hourly mean concentrations during daytime period were recorded from east-north-east, east, south-south-east, west and north-west sectors. Majuba Power Station is located about 13.8 km from south-west to west-south-west of the monitoring site. The most dominant hourly mean concentrations during night time period were recorded from north-north-east, south, south-south-west, west-south-west and west sectors. Major roads and other activities at Ezamokuhle Township around the monitoring site might be impacting on the PM₁₀ ambient concentrations.




Figure 4: PM₁₀ hourly mean 98th percentile event roses during day and night times

Table 5: PM₁₀ daytime hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-------|-------|-----|----|-------|---|-----|----|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.33 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 |

Table 6: PM₁₀ night-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-------|----|-----|---|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|----|-------|-------|-----|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 14.29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.29 | 14.29 | 0 | 42.86 | 14.29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.2. Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}).

6.2.1. Source identification by PM_{2.5} diurnal variations

Figure 5 shows the diurnal variation of PM_{2.5} concentrations with elevated concentrations during the early hours of the morning and the evening hours. The concentrations show a morning peak at 10:00 and a dominant evening peak at 20:00. Elevated concentrations in the mornings and evenings indicate typical contribution by low level sources.




Figure 5: PM_{2.5} Diurnal variations (Mean concentrations = Blue line, Standard Deviation = Green line).

6.2.2. PM_{2.5} hourly mean event roses and tables.

Since there is no national hourly limit for PM_{2.5}; the hourly 98th percentile daytime and night-time event roses are presented in Figure 6 to identify the wind sectors from which the highest concentrations are derived.

The most dominant hourly mean concentrations during daytime period were recorded from east-north-east, east and west sectors. The most dominant hourly mean concentrations during night time period were north, north-north-east, south-east and south-south-west, west-south-west and west-north-west sectors.




Figure 6: PM_{2.5} hourly mean 98th percentile event roses during day and night times

Table 7: PM_{2.5} daytime hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-------|-------|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-----|-------|-----|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42.86 | 42.86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 8: PM_{2.5} night-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|-------|-------|----|-----|---|-----|-------|-----|---|-------|----|-------|---|-------|----|-----|
| Eve. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 14.29 | 14.29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.29 | 0 | 0 | 14.29 | 0 | 28.57 | 0 | 14.29 | 0 | 0 |

6.2. Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂)

6.2.1. Source identification by SO₂ diurnal variations.

The SO₂ hourly mean diurnal variation is presented in Figure 7. The diurnal variation shows an increase in SO₂ concentrations during the daytime hours with minor peaks observed in the morning and maximum peak at 20:00. This diurnal variation indicates emissions from tall stack sources that probably have influence on the ambient concentrations observed throughout the day.




Figure 7: SO₂ diurnal variations (.Mean concentrations = Blue line, Standard Deviation = Green line).

6.2.2. SO₂ hourly mean event roses and tables.

The 98th percentile daytime and night-time event roses are presented in Figure 8 to identify the wind sectors from which the highest concentrations are derived.

The most dominant daytime concentrations above 46.08ppb (98th percentile value) were from east, south, west, west-north-west and north-west sectors (Table 9). The most dominant night-time concentrations above 65.76ppb (98th percentile value) were from south, south-south-west and west-south-west sectors (Table 10). The vehicles operating within the school nearby monitoring station might have an impact on the NO₂ ambient concentrations.




Figure 8: SO₂ exceedance roses for daytime and night time.

Table 9: SO₂ day-time hourly mean exceedance table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-----|-------|-----|----|-----|-------|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.33 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 0 |

Table 10: SO₂ night-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|----|-------|---|-----|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42.86 | 28.57 | 0 | 28.57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.3. Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)

6.3.1 Source identification by NO₂ variations

The NO₂ hourly mean diurnal variation show increasing NO₂ concentrations from the morning hours, with slightly elevated concentrations during the day. The concentrations show elevated concentration during the day and evening peak at 20:00. This indicates the influence of both tall stack emitters and low level sources on the ambient concentrations at site.




Figure 9: NO₂ diurnal variations (.Mean concentrations = Blue line, Standard Deviation = Green line)




Figure 10: NO₂ hourly mean sector 98th percentile event roses

6.3.2 NO₂ hourly mean event roses and tables

The 98th percentile daytime and night-time event roses are presented in Figure 10 to identify the wind sectors from which the highest concentrations are derived.

The most dominant daytime concentrations above 21.705ppb (98th percentile value) were from east-north-east, east-south-east, south, west-south-west and north-west sectors (Table 11). The most dominant night-time concentrations above 27.74ppb (98th percentile value) were from north-north-east, east-south-east, south-south-east, south, south-south-west, west-south-west and north-west sectors (Table 12). The vehicles operating within the school nearby monitoring station might have an impact on the NO₂ ambient concentrations.

Table 11: NO₂ day-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-------|---|-------|----|-----|-------|-----|----|-------|---|-----|-------|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 33.33 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 |

Table 12: NO₂ night-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 |

6.4. OZONE (O₃)

Figure 11 shows the O₃ hourly mean diurnal variation with increase in ozone concentrations occurring from 08:00 and maximum peak recorded at 17:00 in the afternoon. The increase in concentrations in the morning can be associated with the formation of NO₂ and the photochemical reaction in the presence of sunlight during the day. Event roses shown in figure 12 indicate sectors from which O₃ hourly mean concentrations above 98th percentile value during day and night were coming from and Figure 13 shows the 8-hour moving average of ozone concentrations recorded during the month.




Figure 11: O₃ diurnal variations (Mean concentrations = Blue line, Standard Deviation = Green line)




Figure 12: O₃ hourly mean sector 98th percentile event roses

Table 13: O₃ day-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-------|---|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-------|-------|-----|----|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.67 | 66.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 14: O₃ night-time hourly mean 98th percentile event table

| Dir. | N | NNE | NE | ENE | E | ESE | SE | SSE | S | SSW | SW | WSW | W | WNW | NW | NNW |
|------|---|-----|----|-----|------|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|------|------|-----|------|-----|
| Eve. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 25 | 12.5 | 0 |




Figure 13: O₃ 8 Hours Moving Average (Blue Thick Line)

7. HISTORICAL MONTHLY CONCENTRATIONS

7.1. RECENT TRENDS

Time series graphs for each pollutant with respect to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards are represented from the beginning of the previous year until the end of the current reporting period or since inception of the monitors.

Ozone concentrations show increase levels during spring period and lower levels during winter. The SO₂ concentrations indicate lower concentrations at Ezamokuhle-1 since inception and PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} show increased levels and exceedances during winter periods (July) and lower concentrations during summer and spring. The NO₂ concentrations did not exceed the national ambient standard since July 2016, but they do show elevated concentrations during the winter period over the past six months.




Figure 14: Historical ozone 8 hours moving average from July 2016 to July 2017




Figure 15: Time series graph for SO₂ hourly data from July 2016 to July 2017




Figure 16: Time series graph for SO₂ daily data from July 2016 to July 2017




Figure 17: Time series graph for PM₁₀ daily data from July 2016 to July 2017




Figure 18: Time series graph for PM_{2.5} daily data from July 2016 to July 2017




Figure 19: Time series graph for NO₂ hourly data from July 2016 to July 2017

7.2. MONTHLY MEANS FOR THE CURRENT CALENDER YEAR 2017

Table 13: Monthly means for all parameters measured for the current calendar year 2017

| Parameter measured | Jan. | Feb. | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³) | 9.6 | 9.4 | 11.3 | 12.9 | 20.2 | 31.6 | 26.1 |
| PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³) | 5.5 | 4.8 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 12.3 | 21.3 | 20.7 |
| NO ₂ (ppb) | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 8.5 |
| O ₃ (ppb) | 37.1 | 34.9 | 33.2 | 34 | 23.1 | 26.4 | 32.8 |
| SO ₂ (ppb) | 4.3 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 15.6 | 12.8 |

7.3 NUMBER OF EXCEEDANCES OF NATIONAL AIR QUALITY LIMITS

Table 14: Number of exceedances of the National Air Quality Limits

| | SO ₂ hourly | SO ₂ daily | SO ₂ 10 Min | NO ₂ hourly | PM ₁₀ daily | PM _{2.5} daily | O ₃ 8- Hourly |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Jan 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| Feb 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Mar 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Apr 2017 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| May 2017 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| June 2017 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 |
| July | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 165 |
| Allowed no of exceedances | 88 | 4 | 526 | 88 | 4 | 4 | 11 |

Ozone and PM_{2.5} have already exceeded their allowed number of exceedances per year.

8. CONCLUSIONS

Good representative percentage data was recovered for most of the parameters monitored during the monitoring period under review at the site.

There was one exceedance of SO₂ 10-min average limit of 191ppb, five exceedances of PM_{2.5} daily limit of 40 µg/m³, thirteen exceedances of O₃ 8-hourly limit of 61ppb and no exceedances of other national ambient air quality limits recorded for other parameters monitored at Ezamokuhle-1 during the July 2017 monitoring period. There is already non-compliance with the PM_{2.5} and 8-hourly ozone ambient standard at this site for 2017.

Report compiled by: Kgancho Komane
RT&D

Reviewed by: Bontle Monametsi



Air Quality, Climate Change & Ecosystem Management
RT&D

9. ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| DEA | Department of Environmental Affairs |
| deg | Degree |
| deg C | Degree Celsius |
| E | East |
| ENE | East-north-east |
| ESE | East-south-east |
| FPM | Fine particulate matter |
| HUM | Humidity |
| m/s | Meters per second |
| MWP | Megawatt Park |
| N | North |
| NE | North-east |
| NNE | North-north-east |
| NNW | North-north-west |
| NO1 | Nitric oxide |
| NO2 | Nitrogen dioxide |
| NOX | Oxides of nitrogen |
| NW | North-west |
| O ₃ | Ozone |
| PM ₁₀ | Particulate matter < 10 microns in diameter |
| PM _{2.5} | Particulate matter < 2.5 microns in diameter |
| ppb | Parts per billion |
| ppm | Parts per million |
| S | South |
| SANAS | South African National Accreditation System |
| SE | South-east |
| SGT | Sigma theta |
| SSE | South-south-east |
| SSW | South-south-west |
| SW | South-west |
| TMP | Ambient temperature |
| ug/m ³ | Microgram per cubic meter |
| W | West |
| WDR | Wind direction from true North |
| WNW | West-north-west |
| WSP | Wind speed |
| WSW | West-south-west |
| WVL | Wind velocity |

10. DISTRIBUTION LIST

Air Quality Centre of Excellence
Eskom Environmental Management
Attention: K Langerman
Attention: O Makhalemele
Projects file
K. Komane

MWP

RT&D