

ISSUES AND RESPONSE REPORT (COMMENTS ON DRAFT SCOPING REPORT)

20 February – 20 March 09

Name	Comment	Response	Date of Comments	Means of Comments
Mr Christo Gagiano (Enviro-solution systems)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enquired whether the landfill site can accommodate waste from resources other than Eskom. 	Eskom has indicated that the landfill site will be for use only by Eskom for disposal of waste from their construction and operational activities. No waste from other sources will be accepted to be disposed at the site. The landfill will be designed to accommodate Eskom waste for the operational life of the power stations.	07/03/2009	Email
Mr T.I Malatji (Department of Land Affairs)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requested property details to determine ownership of land. 	Eskom currently owns the land where the proposed landfill is to be located. The property is known as Farm Grootestryd.	27/01/2009	Email
Mr Justice Maluleke (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Referred the project to Mr Donald Mabada at the Limpopo Office. 	Enviro-lution Consulting has been in contact with Ms Mercy Mamali (the designated officer for projects in Lephalale area) of DWAF Limpopo. Ms Mamali attended the focus group meeting on 10 March 09 and was also sent a hard copy of the Scoping Report and Draft EIR for DWAF Limpopo comments.	23/02/2009	Email
Mr M.S Makuwa (LEDIT)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> What criteria was used to rate the sites as well as to eliminate other candidate sites? 	The initial criteria used was Eskom owned land which was in close proximity to Matimba and Medupi Power Stations as well as the two new proposed coal fired power stations. The other primary criteria were groundwater resources and geotechnical studies. The elimination of sites was based on groundwater vulnerability, surface water, and ecological sensitivity. Detailed findings of the specialist investigations is included in this Draft EIR. Chapter 3 of the Draft Scoping Report details the methodology that was used during the Screening process. Chapter 6 of	02/03/2009	Email

ISSUES AND RESPONSE REPORT (COMMENTS ON DRAFT SCOPING REPORT)

20 February – 20 March 09

		this Draft EIR discusses all the alternatives that were considered during the EIR phase.		
Mr Zakhele Chagi (Department of Health)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The following should be taken cognisance of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Air quality impact assessment; ➤ Ground water contamination; ➤ Rehabilitation plan; ➤ Sampling schedule; ➤ Emergency preparedness plan; ➤ Disaster preparedness plan; and ➤ Town planning scheme in terms of future developments. 	The mentioned specialist studies have been considered during the Screening and Scoping phases. Further detailed assessment was undertaken by these specialists during the EIA phase that also included the compilation of the Draft Environmental Management Plan (Appendix E) aimed at addressing the anticipated impacts of the proposed construction of the landfill.	20/03/2009	Email