ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE PROPOSED CONTINUOUS ASHING AT THE EXISTING ASH DISPOSAL FACILITIES, AT TUTUKA POWER STATION, MPUMALANGA PROVINCE

COMMENT AND RESPONSES REPORT – DRAFT SCOPING REPORT

SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES/CONCERNS AND SUGGESTIONS RAISED BY INTERESTED AND/OR AFFECTED PARTIES

SEPTEMBER 2012 - NOVEMBER 2012

- This Comments and Responses Report (C&RR) is a record of all the contributed issues raised by Stakeholders ranging across all sectors of society.
- Full record of every issue raised is available from Lidwala Consulting.
- The name, affiliation (as at that time) and date of the commentator are also indicated

INDEX TO ISSUES IN THIS TABLE

1.	Water (Ground and Surface) Related Impact Comments/ Issues	3
2.	Air Pollution Related Comments/ Issues	
3.		
	Noise Impact Related Comments/ Issues	
4.	Agricultural Potential Related Comments/ Issues	
5.	Biodiversity Related Comments / Issues	4
6.	Construction and Maintenance Related Comments/ Issues	4
7.	General Comments/ Issues	5

ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS COMMENT AND RESPONSES REPORT:

DSR: Draft Scoping Report **EAP** Environmental Assessment Practitioner

EA Environmental Authorisation FGM Focus Group Meeting

PM Public Meeting

Issue/Comment	Raised By	Response					
1. Water (Ground and Surface) Related Impact Comments/ Issues							
It was suggested that Water Pollution be investigated during the EIA process.	Vosloo, Thys Thys Vosloo FamilY Trust E-mail: 3 October 2012	Appendix L addresses potential Ground Water impacts. A full ground water and surface water study will be undertaken during the EIA phase					
In terms of Tailings Dams and Ash Disposal Facilities – Dam Safety Requirements in terms of Chapter 12 of the National Water Act, 1998, the following: It must also comply with the requirements in Chapter 4 of the National Water Act with respect to the use of water. Pollution control dams and tailings dam / mine residue deposit at mines must also comply with the Regulations on use of water for mining and related activities aimed at the protection of water Resources (Government Gazette 20119, Notice 704), 4 June 1999.	Van den Berg, Leo Department of Water Affairs: Dam Safety Office E-mail: 28 September 2012	Comment noted and forwarded to the Client for their attention as well as to the Legal Specilaist for inclusion in the full legal review.					
2	2. Air Pollution Related Comments/ Is	ssues					
It was suggested that Air Pollution be investigated during the EIA process.	Vosloo, Thys Thys Vosloo FamilY Trust E-mail: 3 October 2012	Appendix O addresses potential Air Pollution impacts. A full air quality study will be undertaken during the EIA phase.					
3	3. Noise Impact Related Comments/ Issues						
It was suggested that Noise be investigated during the EIA process.	Vosloo, Thys Thys Vosloo FamilY Trust E-mail: 3 October 2012	A Noise study will be undertaken during the EIA phase.					
4. Agricultural Potential Related Comments/ Issues							
Should an EA be granted would it have negative impacts on farming activities?	Vosloo, Thys Thys Vosloo FamilY Trust E-mail: 3 October 2012	No negative impacts are envisaged on farming activities but detailed agricultural impacts as a result of dust settlement on crops will be assessed during the impact phase.					
It was suggested that pollution of grazing, crop and land be investigated during the EIA process.		Chapter 8 has identified potential Agricultural impacts. A full agricultural potential study will be undertaken during the EIA phase.					

Issue/Comment	Raised By	Response					
5. Biodiversity Related Comments / Issues							
It was suggest that the impact on fauna and flaura be investigated during the EIA process.	Vosloo, Thys Thys Vosloo FamilY Trust E-mail: 3 October 2012	Appendix I addresses potential fauna and flora impatcts. A full biodiversity study will be undertaken during the EIA phase.					
6. Construction and Maintenance Related Comments/ Issues							
 In terms of Tailings Dams and Ash Disposal Facilities – Dam Safety Requirements in terms of Chapter 12 of the National Water Act, 1998, the following: 1. If the operational storage of the free water on top of a tailings dam / mine residue deposit or ash dam does not exceed 50 000 m3, the particular tailings dam or ash dam is not registered or classified as a dam with a safety risk. 2. The requirement that the free water on top of the facility must be reduced to below 50 000 m3, or a more stringent requirement, must be clearly specified in the Operation Manual and /or Code of Practice of the tailings dam / mine residue deposit or ash dam and should be actively enforced throughout the life of the dam. If not, the tailings dam / mine residue deposit or ash dam must be registered as a dam with a safety risk in terms of dam safety legislation. It will then be classified 	Van den Berg, Leo Department of Water Affairs: Dam Safety Office E-mail: 28 September 2012	Comment noted and forwarded to the Client for their attention as well as to the Legal Specilaist for inclusion in the full legal review.					
 and it must then comply with all the requirements of the dam safety legislation in Chapter 12 of the Water Act and the requirements of dam safety regulations issued in terms of this chapter. 3. If the tailings dam / mine residue deposit or ash dam is part of a mining operation, it must at all times comply with all the applicable legislation administered by the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR). The DMR requires that every tailings dam / mine residue deposit must have a Code of Practice. A guideline for such a Code of Practice has been developed by DMR. 4. Pollution control dams associated with ash disposal and tailings 							

Issue/Comment	Raised By	Response				
facilities must however comply with the dam safety requirements of Chapter 12 of the National Water Act if they comply with the size requirements of a dam with a safety risk. Only dams with a maximum wall height that exceeds 5,0 m and with a storage capacity of more than 50 000 m3, or any other dam declared as a dam with a safety risk) are subject to the dam safety legislation in Chapter 12 of the National Water Act and the Dam Safety Regulations in Government Notice R. 139 of 24 February 2012. 5. For more information about dam safety you can visit the Dam Safety Office website (part of the Department of Water Affairs website at: http://www.dwa.gov.za/DSO/						
7. General Comments/ Issues						
The project team was infirmed that the Tutuka Power Station does not fall in the Olifants River catchment. The forum will therefore not be a stakeholder on this project.	Nieuwoudt, Marianne Coordinator: Olifants River Forum Coordinator E-mail:10 October 2012	Comment noted.				