

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. The need and Justification for the Proposed Project	1
1.1.1. Policy Considerations and Strategic Planning	1
1.1.2. Growth in electricity demand and reduction in surplus capacity	2
1.2. Alternatives	2
1.2.1. Primary energy resource alternative	2
1.2.2. Process followed to determine the preferred coal fired option location	3
1.2.3. Technology selection process and criteria	4
1.3. Environmental Study requirements	6
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	7
2.1. The proposed Power Station	7
2.1.1. How is electricity generated?	8
2.2. Project Alternatives	9
2.2.1. The 'Do-nothing' Alternative	10
2.3. Location Alternatives for the Establishment of a New Coal-Fired Power Station within South Africa	10
2.4. Site Alternatives Identified within the Lephhalale Area for the Establishment of a new coal fired power station	12
2.4.1. Road and Conveyor Belt alternatives	13
2.4.2. Water Supply Pipeline Alignment	14
2.5. Feasible technology Alternatives	15
2.5.1. Cooling Technology	15
2.5.2. Combustion Technology	16
2.5.3. Ash Disposal Alternatives	17
2.5.4. Emissions Control Technologies	18
3. SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS	22
3.1. Approach to undertaking the Study	22
3.1.1. Environmental Scoping Study	22
3.2. Authority Consultation	23
3.2.1. Consultation with Supervisory Authorities	23
3.2.2. Consultation with other relevant Authorities	24
3.3. Legislative Considerations	25
3.4. Environmental Impact Assessment	33
3.4.1. Specialist Studies	33
3.4.2. Assumptions and Limitations	35
3.4.3. Overview of the Public Participation Process	35

3.4.4.	<i>Public Review of the Draft Environmental Impact Report</i>	38
3.4.5.	<i>Final Environmental Impact Report</i>	39
4.	SITE SELECTION PROCESS UNDERTAKEN DURING THE ENVIRONMENTAL SCOPING STUDY	40
4.1.	Site evaluation – Field Studies	40
4.2.	Specialist Studies	41
4.3.	Rating Criteria	44
4.4.	Site Rating Matrix	47
4.5.	Conclusions	48
4.5.1.	Evaluation in terms of economic and Technical Criteria	48
4.5.2.	Overall Conclusion and Recommendation	49
5	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA ENVIRONMENT	50
5.1.	Locality	50
5.2.	Climate	50
5.3.	Geology	52
5.4.	Land Types	53
5.5.	Water Resources	56
5.5.1.	<i>Surface Water</i>	56
5.5.2.	<i>Groundwater</i>	57
5.5.3.	<i>Water Users</i>	57
5.6.	Ecology and Biodiversity	58
5.7.	Social Environment	59
5.7.1.	<i>Land Use</i>	60
5.7.2.	<i>Population</i>	60
5.7.3.	<i>Age and gender distribution</i>	60
5.7.4.	<i>Education</i>	61
5.7.5.	<i>Employment</i>	61
5.7.6.	<i>Income</i>	61
5.7.7.	<i>Housing</i>	62
5.7.8.	<i>Services</i>	62
6	WATER RESOURCES	64
6.1.	<i>Scope of Work</i>	64
6.2.	<i>Methodology</i>	64
6.3.	<i>Overview of the Geology of the Area</i>	65
6.3.1.	<i>Structural Geology</i>	68
6.3.2.	<i>Grootegeluk Coal Deposits</i>	72
6.4.	<i>Regional hydrology</i>	72
6.4.1.	<i>Regional groundwater occurrence and aquifers</i>	73
6.4.2.	<i>Hydrogeology of the Preferred Sites</i>	74
6.4.3.	<i>Groundwater use</i>	80

6.4.4.	<i>Ambient hydrochemistry</i>	80
6.4.5.	<i>Aquifer Classification</i>	81
6.5.	<i>Surface Water hydrology</i>	82
6.5.1.	<i>Current surface water supply</i>	85
6.5.2.	<i>DWAF Studies</i>	88
6.5.3.	<i>Possible water augmentation sources</i>	91
6.5.4.	<i>Water supply to the proposed power station from current water infrastructure</i>	92
6.6.	<i>Existing Matimba Power Station</i>	92
6.6.1.	<i>Power Station Infrastructure</i>	95
6.6.2.	<i>Power station geology and hydrology</i>	95
6.6.3.	<i>Power station monitoring</i>	96
6.6.4.	<i>Impact Assessment Regarding existing Matimba power Station</i>	102
6.7.	Preferred Site Study	102
6.7.1.	Threat Factor	103
6.7.2.	Barrier Factor	104
6.7.3.	Resource Factor	105
6.7.4.	Site Suitability	105
6.8.	Risk Assessment	108
6.8.1.	Description of intention	108
6.8.2.	Hazard identification	108
6.8.3.	An estimation of the probability and magnitude of the consequences of the hazard(s)	108
6.8.4.	A risk estimate	111
6.8.5.	Overall risk assessment	111
6.9.	Emission Control Technologies	115
6.9.1.	Flue Gas Desulphurisation (FGD) Technologies	115
6.9.2.	Waste	116
6.9.3.	Surface Water	117
6.9.4.	Groundwater	118
6.9.5.	Risk Assessment (FGD Impacts)	118
6.10.	Conclusions	125
6.11.	Recommendations	126
7	ECOLOGY	127
7.1.	Introduction	127
7.2.	Scope & Limitations	127
7.3.	Methodology	128
7.3.1.	<i>General Floristic Attributes</i>	128
7.3.2.	<i>Red Data Flora Investigation</i>	129
7.3.3.	<i>General Faunal Assessment</i>	129
7.3.4.	<i>Red Data Fauna Assessment</i>	130
7.3.5.	<i>Ecological Sensitivity Analysis</i>	132

7.3.6.	<i>Ecological Impact Evaluation</i>	133
7.4.	Regional Overview	134
7.4.1.	<i>Regional Overview of the Flora</i>	134
7.4.2.	<i>Regional Overview of the Fauna</i>	137
7.5.	Flora of the Study Area	139
7.5.1.	<i>Floristic Species Diversity</i>	139
7.5.2.	<i>Vegetation Communities</i>	143
7.5.3.	<i>Red Data Flora Species</i>	149
7.5.4.	<i>Protected Tree Species</i>	149
7.6.	Fauna of the Study Area	150
7.6.1.	<i>Faunal Diversity</i>	150
7.6.2.	<i>Faunal Status</i>	157
7.6.3.	<i>Red Data Fauna Species</i>	158
7.7.	Sensitive Habitat Types	163
7.8.	Ecological Impact Assessment	164
7.8.1.	<i>Identification of Potential Impacts</i>	165
7.8.2.	<i>Results</i>	166
7.8.3.	<i>Discussion</i>	170
7.9.	Conclusions and Recommendations	171
8	GEOLOGY, SOILS AND AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL	172
8.1.	Geology	172
8.1.1.	<i>Preferred Site Geology</i>	172
8.1.2.	<i>The Grootegeluk Coal Deposits</i>	172
8.2.	Geotechnical Studies	173
8.3.	Soils and Agricultural Potential	174
8.3.1.	<i>Site Characteristics</i>	174
8.3.2.	<i>Methodology</i>	176
8.3.3.	<i>Soils</i>	176
8.3.4.	<i>Agricultural Potential</i>	182
8.3.5.	<i>Conclusions and Recommendations</i>	182
9	AIR QUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT	184
9.1.	Introduction	184
9.1.1.	<i>Terms of Reference</i>	185
9.1.2.	<i>Project Description</i>	186
9.1.3.	<i>Sensitive Receptors</i>	188
9.1.4.	<i>Limitations and Assumptions</i>	188
9.1.5.	<i>Outline of Report</i>	190
9.2.	Atmospheric Emission Limits and Ambient Air Quality Criteria	190
9.2.1.	<i>DEAT Permit Requirements for Scheduled Processes</i>	190
9.2.2.	<i>Local and International Ambient Air Quality Guidelines and Standards</i>	191

9.2.3.	<i>Inhalation Health Risk Evaluation Criteria for Metals (and Sulphur Dioxide)</i>	201
9.2.4.	<i>UK Banding Approach and Dose-response Thresholds for Sulphur Dioxide</i>	209
9.2.5.	<i>Potential for Damage to Metals</i>	213
9.2.6.	<i>Vegetation Exposures to Air Pollution</i>	216
9.3.	<i>Climatology and Atmospheric Dispersion Potential</i>	219
9.3.1.	<i>Synoptic Climatology and Regional Atmospheric Dispersion Potential</i>	220
9.3.2.	<i>Meso-scale Atmospheric Dispersion Potential</i>	224
9.4.	<i>Existing Sources of Emission and Baseline Air Quality</i>	228
9.4.1.	<i>Existing Sources of atmospheric Emission</i>	228
9.4.2.	<i>Measured Baseline Air Quality</i>	244
9.4.3.	<i>Modelled Air Pollutant Concentration and Deposition due to Existing Power Station Operations</i>	261
9.4.4.	<i>Conclusions regarding Baseline Air Quality</i>	273
9.5.	<i>Emissions Inventory For Matimba B Operations</i>	275
9.5.1.	<i>Construction Phase</i>	275
9.5.2.	<i>Operational Phase</i>	276
9.6.	<i>Compliance and Air Quality Impact Assessment</i>	279
9.6.1.	<i>Dispersion Model Results</i>	279
9.6.2.	<i>Compliance with Ambient Air Quality Limits</i>	280
9.6.3.	<i>Potential for Health Effects</i>	295
9.6.4.	<i>Potential for Vegetation Injury and Corrosion</i>	307
9.6.5.	<i>Contribution to Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i>	314
9.6.6.	<i>Significance Rating of Proposed Power Station Configurations</i>	315
9.7.	<i>Recommendations and Conclusions</i>	318
9.7.1.	<i>Baseline Air Quality Study Findings</i>	318
9.7.2.	<i>Compliance and Air Quality Impact Assessment for Proposed Power Station</i>	320
9.7.3.	<i>Mitigation Recommendations</i>	326
10	<i>VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT</i>	328
10.1	<i>Introduction</i>	328
10.2.	<i>Scope and Limitations</i>	329
10.3.	<i>Methodology for the Assessment of the Visual Impact</i>	331
10.3.1.	<i>General</i>	331
10.3.2.	<i>Potential Visual Exposure</i>	331
10.3.3.	<i>Visual Distance/Observer proximity to the facility</i>	332
10.3.4.	<i>Viewer Incidence/Viewer Perception</i>	333
10.3.5.	<i>Visual Absorption Capacity of the natural vegetation</i>	334
10.3.6.	<i>Visual Impact Index</i>	335
10.4.	<i>Regional Overview</i>	335

10.4.1.	Description of the affected environmental	335
10.4.2.	Site Description	336
10.5.	Site Specific Results	336
10.5.1.	Visual Impact Index – power station	336
10.5.2.	Visual Impact index – ash dump	338
10.5.3.	Issues related to the visual impact of the power station	338
10.5.4.	Issues related to the visual impact of the ancillary infrastructure	344
10.5.5.	Conveyor Belt Alignment Options	347
10.5.6	Steenbokpan road re-alignment option	349
10.6.	Conclusions and recommendations	350
11	TOURISM	353
11.1.	Introduction	353
11.2.	Methodology	353
11.2.1.	Assumptions and Limitations	353
11.3.	Background	354
11.3.1.	Relevant Acts and Policies	354
11.3.2.	Implications for Development	357
11.3.3.	Tourism in South Africa	358
11.3.4.	Tourism in Limpopo Province	359
11.3.5.	Tourism in and around Lephhalale	360
11.3.6.	Future Tourism in and around Lephhalale	364
11.4.	Findings of Site Selection process	365
11.5.	Evaluation of Impacts Relating to the Tourism Industry	365
11.5.1.	Visual Impact relative to tourism	366
11.5.2.	Noise Impact relative to tourism	367
11.5.3.	Land use relative to Tourism	369
11.5.4.	Corporate Demand	371
11.6.	Conclusions	373
11.7.	Recommendations	374
12	ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HERITAGE RESOURCES	375
12.1.	Definitions and Assumptions	376
12.2.	Legislative requirements	376
12.2.1.	South African Heritage Resources Act (Act No 25 of 1999)	376
12.2.2.	Environmental Conservation Act (Act No 73 of 1989)	378
12.3.	Methodology	378
12.3.1.	Primary Investigation	378
12.3.2.	Field Survey	378
12.3.3.	Documentation	378
12.3.4.	Standardised Set of Conventions used to Assess the Impact of Projects on Cultural resources	379

12.4.	Description of the Area	379
12.5.	Survey Results	380
12.5.1.	Site number 1	380
12.5.2.	Site number 2	381
12.5.3.	Site number 3	381
12.6.	Discussion	381
12.6.1.	Stone Age	382
12.6.2.	Iron Age	382
12.6.3.	Historical period	382
12.7.	Identification of Risk Sources	382
12.8.	Conclusion	382
13	TRAFFIC	384
13.1.	Status Quo Conditions	384
13.1.1.	Provincial Roads and Traffic Volumes in the Surrounding Area	384
13.1.2.	Description of Road Infrastructure	385
13.1.3.	Description of Travel patterns	385
13.1.4.	Location of Employee Residences	386
13.1.5.	Other Transport Infrastructure	386
13.2.	Assumptions for Traffic/Transport Impact	386
13.2.1.	Proposed Power Station	386
13.2.2.	Coal Supply	387
13.2.3.	Ash handling	387
13.3.	Traffic / Transport Impacts	387
13.3.1.	Access Road / Provincial Road Re-alignment Alternatives	387
13.3.3.	Construction Traffic	390
13.3.4.	Transport of Employees (operational Traffic)	392
13.3.5.	Coal Supply Transportation	393
13.3.6.	Ash Transportation	394
13.3.7.	Transportation of Sorbent for Flue Gas Desulphurisation Plant	394
13.4.	Conclusions	395
13.5.	Recommandations	396
14	NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT	397
14.1.	Introduction	397
14.1.1.	Study Areas	397
14.1.2.	Details of the Proposed Power Station	397
14.2.	Details of the study Area	398
14.2.1.	Topography	398
14.2.2.	Roads	398
14.2.3.	Railway Lines	399
14.2.4.	Land Use	399

14.2.5.	Aspects of Acoustical Significance	400
14.3.	Methodology	400
14.3.1.	General	400
14.3.2.	Determination of the Existing Conditions	400
14.3.3.	Assessment of Planning/Design Phase and Construction Phase Impacts	401
14.3.4.	Assessment of Operational Phase Impacts	402
14.4.	Findings and Assessment of Impact	402
14.4.1.	General Details	402
14.4.2.	The Existing Ambient Noise Climate	403
14.4.3.	Assessment of the Pre-Construction Phase	406
14.4.4.	Assessment of the Construction Phase	407
14.4.5.	Assessment of the Operational Phase	408
14.5.	Mitigation measures	409
14.5.1.	Pre-construction Phase	409
14.5.2.	Construction Phase	409
14.5.3.	Operational Phase	410
14.6.	Conclusions	410
14.7.	Recommendations	411
15	SOCIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	412
15.2.	Scope and Limitations	413
15.3.	Methodology	414
15.3.1.	<i>Refined Social Profile of the Study Area</i>	414
15.3.2.	<i>Assessment of alternative alignments for the Steenbokpan Road and Conveyor Belt</i>	414
15.3.3.	<i>Assessment of Social Impacts</i>	415
15.3.4.	<i>Rating of Impacts</i>	416
15.3.5.	<i>Formulation of Mitigation Measures</i>	419
15.4.	Social Profile of the Study Area	419
15.4.1.	<i>Demographics</i>	421
15.4.2.	<i>Employment and Income</i>	422
15.4.3.	<i>Housing and Services</i>	422
15.4.4.	<i>Ambient Air Quality of Areas Surrounding the Site</i>	424
15.4.5.	<i>Surrounding Farms and other Land Uses</i>	424
15.4.6.	<i>Alternative Road and Conveyor Belt Alignments</i>	427
15.5.	Assessment of Social Impacts	427
15.5.1.	<i>Employment Creation</i>	428
15.5.2.	<i>Influx of Job Seekers</i>	429
15.5.3.	<i>Social Problems Arising from Population Influx</i>	431
15.5.4.	<i>Change in Local Infrastructure Requirements</i>	432
15.5.5.	<i>Social Investment Initiatives</i>	434
15.5.6.	<i>Effects on Local Farm Owners and Residents</i>	435
15.5.7.	<i>Relocation of Households</i>	437

15.5.8.	<i>Safety and Daily Movement Patterns</i>	438
15.5.9.	<i>Nomination of Preferred Road and Conveyor Belt Alignments</i>	438
15.6.	Conclusions and Recommendations	439
15.6.1.	<i>Summary of Impact Ratings and Mitigation Measures</i>	439
15.6.2.	<i>Recommendations</i>	441
16	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	442
16.1.	Evaluation of the Proposed Project	443
16.2.	Recommendations for Appropriate Mitigation Measures	444
16.2.1.	<i>Groundwater Quality</i>	445
16.2.2.	<i>Fauna and Flora</i>	446
16.2.3.	<i>Ambient Air Quality Impacts</i>	447
16.2.4.	<i>Emission Control Technologies</i>	448
16.2.5.	<i>Visual / Aesthetic Impacts</i>	449
16.2.6.	<i>Tourism</i>	450
16.2.7.	<i>Heritage Sites</i>	451
16.2.8.	<i>Traffic Impacts</i>	451
16.2.9.	<i>Noise Impacts</i>	451
16.2.10	<i>Soils and Agricultural Potential</i>	453
16.2.11	<i>Social Impacts</i>	453
16.3.	Assessment of Additional Alternatives	455
16.3.1.	<i>Road and Conveyor Belt Alternatives</i>	455
16.3.2.	<i>Ash Disposal Alternatives</i>	456
16.3.3.	Water Supply Pipeline	457
16.4.	Overall Conclusion	457
16.5.	Overall Recommendations	457
17	REFERENCES	458

APPENDICES:

Appendix A:	Site Layout Plan
Appendix B:	Acceptance Of The Environmental Scoping Study
Appendix C:	Acceptance Of The Plan Of Study For EIA
Appendix D:	Comments From SAHRA
Appendix E:	I&AP Database
Appendix F:	Minutes Of I&AP Consultation Undertaken To Date During The EIA Phase
Appendix G:	Landowner Map
Appendix H:	Advertisements
Appendix Ia:	Issues Trail
Appendix Ib:	I&AP Comments Received To Date
Appendix Ic:	I&AP Comments Received During Comment Period and

	Relevant Responses
Appendix J:	EIA Newsletter
Appendix K:	Site Ranking Matrix From The Environmental Scoping Report
Appendix L:	Water Consumption Graphic
Appendix Ma:	DWAF Studies Briefing Paper
Appendix Mb:	Executive Summary Of The Internal Strategic Perspective: Crocodile West Marico Water Management Area / Crocodile West Catchment
Appendix Mc:	Executive Summary of the Crocodile (West) River Return Flow Analysis Study
Appendix Na:	Baseline PRECIS Data
Appendix Nb:	Impact Evaluation
Appendix O:	Ecology Photos
Appendix P:	Extract From DEAT 'Guidelines For Scheduled Processes' (1994)
Appendix Q:	Atmospheric Dispersion Simulation Methodology
Appendix R:	Atmospheric Dispersion Simulation Results – Air Pollutant Concentrations And Dust Deposition Rates Due To Matimba Power Station Emissions
Appendix S:	Proposed Matimba B Power Station - Atmospheric Dispersion Simulation Results – Maximum Hourly, Daily And Annual Concentrations Due To Various Power Station Configuration Scenarios
Appendix T:	Proposed Matimba B Power Station – Potential For Compliance With Air Quality Limits, Health Risks, Vegetation Injury And Corrosion
Appendix U:	Isopleth Plots Depicting Total Fine Particulate Concentrations And Dustfall Rates Due To Current And Proposed Power Station Emissions (Stack And Fugitive Emissions; Primary And Secondary Particulates)
Appendix V:	Visual Impact Assessment Maps
Appendix W:	Traffic Impact
Appendix X:	Traffic Impact
Appendix Y:	Traffic Impact
Appendix Z:	Matimba B EIA Rating Scale – Traffic Impact
Appendix AA:	Glossary Of Terms For The Noise Impact Assessment
Appendix AB:	Details Of The Noise Measurement Survey And Existing Noise Climate Condition Assessment
Appendix AC:	Assessment Of Noise Impact
Appendix AD:	Minutes of the Public Meeting Held 29 March 2006
Appendix AE:	Minutes of the Key Stakeholders Workshop Held 30 March 2006
Appendix AF:	Quantification of Mercury Emissions

