

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xxxvi
1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Hydra Gamma 1: Background and Need for the Project	1-1
1.2 Overall need for National Transmission network expansion	1-2
1.3 Hydra Gamma 2: Need for the project	1-3
1.4 Hydra Gamma 2: Scope of the proposed project	1-4
1.5 Environmental Study Requirements	1-4
1.6 The Proponent	1-5
1.7 The Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP)	1-5
2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
2.1 Location and extent of the study area	2-1
2.2 Key Information regarding the Proposed 765 kV Transmission line	2-1
2.3 Key Information regarding the proposed extension of the Hydra Substation	2-2
3. TECHNICAL DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	3-1
3.1 Transmission Lines	3-1
3.2 Technical Details of the Tower and Transmission line Design	3-1
3.2.1 <i>Towers</i>	3-1
3.2.2 <i>Servitude Requirements and Clearances</i>	3-1
3.2.3 <i>Foundations</i>	3-4
3.2.4 <i>Stringing of Conductors</i>	3-6
3.2.5 <i>Access</i>	3-7
3.2.6 <i>Construction Camps</i>	3-8
3.2.7 <i>Timing</i>	3-8
3.2.8 <i>Ongoing Maintenance</i>	3-8
3.2.9 <i>Construction Process for Transmission lines</i>	3-9
3.3 Technical Details regarding the Substation	3-9
3.3.1 <i>Proposed extension of the Hydra Substation</i>	3-9
3.3.2 <i>Construction Process</i>	3-10
3.3.3 <i>Ongoing Maintenance</i>	3-10
3.4 Environmental impacts associated with Construction Activities	3-10
3.4.1 <i>Impacts of power lines and Electromagnetic fields</i>	3-11
3.4.2 <i>Impact of anchor cables</i>	3-12

3.4.3	<i>Impacts of tower foundations</i>	3-12
3.4.4	<i>Indirect tower impacts</i>	3-13
3.4.5	<i>Impacts of access roads</i>	3-13
3.4.6	<i>Impacts of construction camps</i>	3-13
3.4.7	<i>Impacts of maintenance activities</i>	3-15
4.	PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	4-1
4.1	Demand and Scheduling Alternatives	4-1
4.2	Process and Technical Alternatives	4-2
4.3	The Do Nothing Option	4-3
4.3.1	<i>Potential neutral impacts of the no-go alternative</i>	4-3
4.3.2	<i>Potential negative impacts of the no-go alternative</i>	4-4
4.4	Hydra Gamma 1 EIA Alternative: Eskom Open Registered Servitude	4-6
4.5	Hydra Gamma 2: Transmission line Alternatives	4-6
4.6	Hydra Substation extension alternatives	4-7
4.6.1	<i>Substation infrastructure alternative</i>	4-7
4.6.2	<i>Substation access road alternative</i>	4-7
5.	SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS	5-1
5.1	Approach to Undertaking the Study	5-1
5.2	Authority Consultation	5-2
5.2.1	<i>Consultation with Decision-making Authorities</i>	5-2
5.2.2	<i>Consultation with other relevant authorities</i>	5-3
5.3	Application for Authorisation	5-3
5.4	Legal Requirements	5-3
5.5	Environmental Scoping Study	5-5
5.5.1	<i>Specialist Studies</i>	5-6
5.6	Overview of the Public Participation undertaken within the Scoping Process	5-7
5.6.1	<i>Advertising</i>	5-7
5.6.2	<i>Identification of Key Stakeholders and Interested and Affected Parties</i>	5-8
5.6.3	<i>Briefing Paper</i>	5-8
5.6.4	<i>Consultation and Public Involvement</i>	5-8
5.6.5	<i>Comments and Response Sheet</i>	5-9
5.7	Review of the Draft Environmental Scoping Report	5-10
5.7.1	<i>Public Review of Draft Scoping Report</i>	5-10
5.7.2	<i>Authority Review of Draft Environmental Scoping Report</i>	5-10
5.7.3	<i>Final Environmental Scoping Study Report</i>	5-10
6.	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA ENVIRONMENT	6-1
6.1	Topography	6-1

6.2	Climatic Conditions	6-1
6.3	Surface Water	6-2
6.4	Geology and Soils	6-2
6.5	Agricultural Potential	6-2
6.5.1	<i>Land Type Characteristics</i>	6-3
6.6	Vegetation	6-5
6.6.1	<i>The Upper Nama Karoo (Veld Type 50, Low and Rebelo, 1996)</i>	6-6
6.6.2	<i>Eastern Mixed Nama Karoo (Veld Type 52, Low and Rebelo, 1996)</i>	6-7
6.6.3	<i>Species recorded within the study area</i>	6-8
6.6.4	<i>Rare and endangered species</i>	6-11
6.7	Fauna	6-11
6.8	Visual/Aesthetic	6-15
6.8.1	<i>Visual/Aesthetic impacts of the proposed extension of the Hydra Substation</i>	6-15
6.8.2	<i>Visual/Aesthetic impacts of the proposed Transmission line</i>	6-15
6.9	Social Environment	6-16
6.9.1	<i>Demographics</i>	6-16
6.9.2	<i>Land Use Profile</i>	6-16
6.9.3	<i>Infrastructure</i>	6-17
6.10	Tourism Potential	6-17
6.11	Heritage and Archaeology	6-18
7.	EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROPOSED HYDRA SUBSTATION EXTENSION	7-1
7.1	Potential Impacts on Topography	7-1
7.1.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	7-1
7.1.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	7-1
7.2	Potential Impacts on Substation Components associated with Climate and Atmospheric Conditions	7-1
7.2.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	7-2
7.2.2	<i>Recommendation</i>	7-2
7.3	Potential Impacts on Surface Water	7-2
7.3.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	7-3
7.3.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	7-3
7.4	Potential Impacts Associated with Geology and Soils	7-3
7.4.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	7-4
7.4.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	7-4
7.5	Potential Impacts on Agricultural Potential	7-5
7.5.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	7-5
7.5.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	7-6
7.6	Potential Impacts on Vegetation and General Ecology	7-6

7.6.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	7-6
7.6.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	7-7
7.7	Potential Impacts on Avifauna	7-8
7.7.1	<i>Habitat Destruction</i>	7-9
7.7.2	<i>Disturbance</i>	7-9
7.7.3	<i>Conclusions</i>	7-9
7.7.4	<i>Recommendations</i>	7-10
7.8	Potential Impacts on Visual/Aesthetic Aspects	7-10
7.8.1	<i>Visual Intrusiveness</i>	7-11
7.8.2	<i>Degree of Obstruction</i>	7-11
7.8.3	<i>Character, Quality or Value of the Viewpoint and Compatibility with Surrounding Land Uses</i>	7-11
7.8.4	<i>Scale of Development</i>	7-11
7.8.5	<i>Critical Views</i>	7-12
7.8.6	<i>Conclusions</i>	7-12
7.8.7	<i>Recommendations</i>	7-12
7.9	Impacts on the Social Environment	7-13
7.9.1	<i>Employment Opportunities</i>	7-13
7.9.2	<i>Influx of Job Seekers and Impact on Local Population</i>	7-13
	<i>Figures</i>	
7.9.3	<i>Population Change</i>	7-14
7.9.4	<i>Residential Proximity</i>	7-14
7.9.5	<i>Disruption in Daily Living and Movement Patterns and Impact on Land-use</i>	7-14
7.9.6	<i>Impacts on Land and Resource Use</i>	7-15
7.9.7	<i>Formation of Attitudes</i>	7-15
7.9.8	<i>Impact on Infrastructure and Services</i>	7-15
7.9.9.	<i>Impact on Local Economy and Regional Benefits</i>	7-16
7.9.10	<i>Health Impacts</i>	7-16
7.9.11	<i>Conclusions</i>	7-17
7.9.12	<i>Recommendations</i>	7-17
7.10	Impacts on Tourism Potential	7-20
7.10.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	7-21
7.10.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	7-21
7.11	Potential Impacts on Sites of Archaeological, Cultural and Historical Interest	7-21
7.11.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	7-23
7.11.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	7-23
8.	EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSED HYDRA-GAMMA 765 kV TRANSMISSION LINE	8-1
8.1	Potential Impacts on Topography	8-1
8.1.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	8-1

8.1.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	8-1
8.2	Potential Impacts on Substation Components associated with Climate and Atmospheric Conditions	8-1
8.2.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	8-2
8.2.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	8-2
8.3	Potential Impacts on Surface Water	8-2
8.3.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	8-3
8.3.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	8-3
8.4	Potential Impacts Associated with Geology and Soils	8-4
8.4.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	8-5
8.4.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	8-5
8.5	Potential Impacts on Agricultural Potential	8-6
8.5.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	8-6
8.5.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	8-6
8.6	Potential Impacts on Vegetation and General Ecology	8-7
8.6.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	8-7
8.6.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	8-8
8.7	Potential Impacts on Avifauna	8-10
8.7.1	<i>Evaluation of current proposed alignment for original line and additional line</i>	8-10
8.7.2	<i>Evaluation of impacts</i>	8-10
8.7.3	<i>Electrocutions</i>	8-11
8.7.4	<i>Collisions</i>	8-11
8.7.5	<i>Habitat Destruction</i>	8-13
8.7.6	<i>Disturbance</i>	8-14
8.7.7	<i>Nesting</i>	8-14
8.7.8	<i>Conclusions</i>	8-14
8.7.9	<i>Recommendations</i>	8-14
8.8	Potential Impacts on Visual/Aesthetic Aspects	8-16
8.8.1	<i>Visual Characteristics of the Study Area</i>	8-17
8.8.2	<i>Visual Intrusiveness</i>	8-17
8.8.3	<i>Degree of Obstruction</i>	8-17
8.8.4	<i>Character, Quality or Value of the Viewpoint and Compatibility with Surrounding Land Uses</i>	8-17
8.8.5	<i>Scale of Development</i>	8-18
8.8.6	<i>Critical Views</i>	8-18
8.8.7	<i>Conclusions</i>	8-18
8.8.8	<i>Recommendations</i>	8-19
8.9	Impacts on the Social Environment	8-19
8.9.1	<i>Employment Opportunities</i>	8-19
8.9.2	<i>Influx of Job Seekers and Impact on Local Population Figures</i>	8-19
8.9.3	<i>Population Changes</i>	8-20
8.9.4	<i>Residential Proximity</i>	8-20

8.9.5	<i>Disruption in Daily Living and Movement Patterns and Impact on Land-use</i>	8-21
8.9.6	<i>Impact on Land and Resource Use</i>	8-21
8.9.7	<i>Formation of Attitudes</i>	8-22
8.9.8	<i>Impact on Infrastructure and Services</i>	8-22
8.9.9	<i>Impact on Local Economy and Regional Benefits</i>	8-23
8.9.10	<i>Safety and Security Impacts</i>	8-24
8.9.11	<i>Health Impacts</i>	8-24
8.9.12	<i>Conclusions</i>	8-25
8.9.13	<i>Recommendations</i>	8-26
8.10	Impacts on Tourism Potential	8-30
8.10.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	8-31
8.10.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	8-31
8.11	Potential Impacts on Sites of Archaeological, Cultural and Historical Interest	8-31
8.11.1	<i>Conclusions</i>	8-34
8.11.2	<i>Recommendations</i>	8-34
9.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	9-10
9.1	Overall Conclusions	9-30
9.2	Overall Recommendations	9-30
10.	REFERENCES	