



## Potential Impacts: Biophysical

- **Land Capability / Agricultural Potential**

- Pollution of soil due to handling, use and storage of hazardous substances during construction and operation.
- The loss of available top soil.
- Key variables that determine the land capability of the study area such as soil fertility reduced and disturbed due to the potential activities related to the ash disposal facility.
- The loss of viable agricultural land.

- **Avifauna**

- Destruction of habitat and disturbance of birds due to Ash Disposal Facility
- Impacts due to associated Infrastructure such as powerlines e.g. Electrocutions, Collisions etc..



## Potential Impacts: Biophysical

- **Surface Water**

- Impacts on surface water quality;
- Impacts on hydrology;
- Impacts related to erosion and sedimentation;
- Impacts on aquatic biota; and
- Impacts on aquatic ecosystem services.

- **Groundwater**

- Contamination of ground water due to hydrocarbon spillage and seepage into groundwater reserves, affecting groundwater quality.
- Further construction of infrastructure and compaction of the area will further contribute to reduced water infiltration rates to replenish groundwater aquifers.





## Potential Impacts: Biophysical

- **Biodiversity**

- Direct impacts on threatened flora and fauna species;
- Direct impacts on protected flora species;
- Direct impacts on common fauna species/ faunal assemblages (including migration patterns, corridors, etc.);
- Human - Animal conflicts;
- Loss or degradation of natural vegetation/ pristine habitat (including ecosystem functioning);
- Loss/ degradation of surrounding habitat;
- Impacts on SA's conservation obligations & targets;
- Increase in local and regional fragmentation/ isolation of habitat; and
- Increase in environmental degradation, pollution (air, soils, surface water).



## Potential Impacts: Social

- **Air Quality**

- Increase in dust generating activities during construction and operation including exceedances of PM10 concentrations and exceedances of dustfall rates.

- **Visual**

- Impact on the current visual landscape.
- Impact on sensitive receptors,

- **Heritage**

- identify the potential heritage sites within the study area
- identify any impacts (if any) that may occur on these sites as a result of the continuous ashing project

- **Socio-Economic**

- Perceptions and fears associated with the proposed power line; and
- Local, site-specific issues.





## Conclusions and Recommendations

- **Majuba**
  - Five Alternative Areas and the No-Go Alternative to be investigated in the EIA Phase
  - Due to the fact that none of the alternative areas are big enough to stand alone the EIA will investigate which combination of 2 sites is most feasible for use
- **Tutuka**
  - Three Alternative Areas and the No-Go Alternative to be investigated in the EIA Phase
- Investigate alternatives for relocation / establishment of linear infrastructure (where required)
- Undertake detailed specialist studies
- Compile Environmental Impact Assessment Report
- Waste License Report to be compiled
- Geotechnical studies to be undertaken along with site survey
- Develop Conceptual Design



## Public Participation

Presented by:  
Bongi Mhlanga

