

Mr. Dan Hlanyane
 Air Quality Officer
 Gert Sibande District Municipality
 c/o Joubert & Oosthuise Street
 ERMELO
 2350

Date:
 17 March 2021

Enquiries: Monica Mokgawa
 (017) 749 9399

E-mail: Dan.Hlanyane@gsibande.gov.za

E-mail: records@sibande.gov.za

Dear Mr. Hlanyane

TUTUKA POWER STATION SUBMISSION MONTHLY EMISSIONS PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT AS STIPULATED ON CONDITION 7.5 OF TUTUKA POWER STATION ATMOSPHERIC EMISSION LICENCE NO: Lekwa/Eskom H SOC Ltd TPS/0013/2019/F03 DATED 25 APRIL 2019

In terms of Tutuka PS AEL, the station is required to submit the monthly emissions monitoring report on/before the 12th every month. The report shall indicate the emission performance for the previous month. This report contains the emission performance for the month of September 2020.

1. RAW MATERIALS AND PRODUCTS

| Raw Materials and Products | Raw Material Type | Units | Max. Permitted | Actual Consumption Sep-2020 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Coal | Tons | 1 200 000 | 555 475 |
| | Fuel Oil | Tons | 10 000 | 15262.48 |
| Production Rates | Product / By-Product Name | Units | Max. Production Capacity Permitted | Production Rate Sep-2020 |
| | Energy | MW | 30 748 | 43016 |
| | Ash | Tons | 350 000 | 146 645 |
| | RE Ash | kg/MWh | not specified | 1.19 |

Table 1:Quantity of raw materials and products used/produces for the month of September 2020

2. ENERGY SOURCE CHARACTERISTICS

| Coal Characteristics | Units | Stipulated Range | Monthly Average Content |
|----------------------|-------|------------------|-------------------------|
| CV Content | MJ/kg | 16-24 | 21.320 |
| Sulphur Content | % | 0.6 TO >2.6 | 0.770 |
| Ash Content | % | 21 TO >33 | 26.400 |

Table 2:Energy sources material characteristics for the month of September 2020

3.1 ABATEMET TECHNOLOGY (%)

| Associated Unit/Stack | Technology Type | *Minimum Control Efficiency (%) | Actual Utilisation (%) |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Unit 1 | <i>Electro Static Precipitators (ESP)</i> | 95 | 99.3% |
| Unit 2 | <i>Electro Static Precipitators (ESP)</i> | 95 | 99.3% |
| Unit 3 | <i>Electro Static Precipitators (ESP)</i> | 98 | 99.4% |
| Unit 4 | <i>Electro Static Precipitators (ESP)</i> | 95 | 99.0% |
| Unit 5 | <i>Electro Static Precipitators (ESP)</i> | 95 | 98.9% |
| Unit 6 | <i>Electro Static Precipitators (ESP)</i> | 95 | 99.2% |

Table 3.1: Abatement Equipment Control Technology for month of September 2020

Note: The ESP does not have bypass mode operation, hence plant considered 100% Utilised.

3.2 MONITOR RELIABILITY (%)

| Associated Unit/Stack | PM | SO ₂ | NO |
|-----------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| Unit 1 | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.5 |
| Unit 2 | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| Unit 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Unit 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Unit 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Unit 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 |

Table 3.2: Monitor reliability for month of September 2020

4. EMISSION PERFORMANCE

| Associated Unit/Stack | PM (tons) | SO ₂ (tons) | NO _x (tons) |
|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Unit 1 | 193.1 | 2 537 | 907 |
| Unit 2 | 17.0 | 145 | 45 |
| Unit 3 | 155.6 | 2 252 | 949 |
| Unit 4 | 236.8 | 2 142 | 765 |
| Unit 5 | 169.2 | 1 181 | 459 |
| Unit 6 | 298.1 | 2 182 | 995 |
| SUM | 1 069.8 | 10 438.8 | 4 117.9 |

Table 4.1: Monthly tonnages for the month of September-2020

Table 4.2: Legend Description

| Condition | Colour | Description |
|---------------|--------|--|
| Normal | Green | Emissions below Emission Limit Value (ELV) |
| Grace | Blue | Emissions above the ELV during grace period |
| Section 30 | Orange | Emissions above ELV during a NEMA S30 incident |
| Contravention | Red | Emissions above ELV but outside grace or S30 incident conditions |




Figure 1: Unit 1 Daily Average PM emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)

Figure 2: Tutuka Unit 2 PM Emissions - September 2020




Figure 2: Unit 2 Daily Average PM emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)

Figure 3: Tutuka Unit 3 PM Emissions - September 2020




Figure 3: Unit 3 Daily Average PM emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 2: Unit 2 Daily Average PM emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 5: Unit 5 Daily Average PM emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)

Figure 6: Tutuka Unit 6 PM Emissions - September 2020




Figure 6: Unit 6 Daily Average PM emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)

Figure 7: Tutuka Unit 1 SOx Emissions - September 2020




Figure 7: Unit 1 Daily Average SOx emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 8: Unit 2 Daily Average SOx emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 9: Unit 3 Daily Average SOx emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 10: Unit 4 Daily Average SOx emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 11: Unit 5 Daily Average SOx emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 12: Unit 6 Daily Average SOx emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 13: Unit 1 Daily Average NOx emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 14: Unit 2 Daily Average NOx emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 15: Unit 3 Daily Average NOx emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 16: Unit 4 Daily Average NOx emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 17: Unit 5 Daily Average SOx emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)




Figure 18: Unit 6 Daily Average NOx emissions for the month of September 2020 (against the emission limits and load Generated)

5. Number and Types of units start-ups

| Number & Type of Starts | U1 | U2 | U3 | U4 | U5 | U6 |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Number Of Hot Starts (Off-Load < 30 Hrs) | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Number Of Cold Starts (Off-Load > 30 hrs) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

Table 5: Number and type of Unit start-ups for each unit respectively for the month of September 2020

6. Complaints

| Source Code/ Name | Root Cause Analysis | Calculation of Impacts/ emissions associated with the incident | Dispersion modeling of pollutants where applicable | Measures implemented to prevent reoccurrence | Date by which measure will be implemented |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|---|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table 6: Complaints for the month of September 2020

7. General

No NO_x and SO_x (See tables 7.2 -7.3 below) incidents were incurred in the month of September 2020. Unit 5 & 6 had PM exceedances within the grace period due to the faulty hopper motors. (See table 7.1 below).

Table 7.1: Operating days in compliance to PM AEL Limit - September 2020

| Associated Unit/Stack | Normal | Grace | Section 30 | Contravention | Total Exceedance | Average PM (mg/Nm ³) |
|-----------------------|------------|----------|------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Unit 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178.7 |
| Unit 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252.4 |
| Unit 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147.3 |
| Unit 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 249.0 |
| Unit 5 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 284.5 |
| Unit 6 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 220.0 |
| SUM | 101 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |

Table 7.2: Operating days in compliance to SO_x AEL Limit - September 2020

| Associated Unit/Stack | Normal | Grace | Section 30 | Contravention | Total Exceedance | Average SO _x (mg/Nm ³) |
|-----------------------|------------|----------|------------|---------------|------------------|---|
| Unit 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 376.5 |
| Unit 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 190.1 |
| Unit 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 157.0 |
| Unit 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 271.6 |
| Unit 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 969.8 |
| Unit 6 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 635.1 |
| SUM | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Table 7.3: Operating days in compliance to NO_x AEL Limit - September 2020

| Associated Unit/Stack | Normal | Grace | Section 30 | Contravention | Total Exceedance | Average NO _x (mg/Nm ³) |
|-----------------------|------------|----------|------------|---------------|------------------|---|
| Unit 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 840.4 |
| Unit 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 693.5 |
| Unit 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 920.4 |
| Unit 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 804.6 |
| Unit 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 768.1 |
| Unit 6 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 744.1 |
| SUM | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Note 2: Clarification of emission limits

Eskom Centre of Excellence (CoE): Air Quality submitted an application on behalf of Tutuka PS for the postponement for the implementation of the Minimum Emissions Standard (MES) limits to the Department of Environment Fisheries and Forestry (DEFF) and Gert Sibande District Municipality on the 09th of November 2018. In the application, a postponement of 300 mg/Nm³ was requested (24 hour moving average). Tutuka PS's new PM emissions limit of 100 mg/Nm³ (previously- 350 mg/Nm³), came into effect on the 1st January 2020. The Station is unable to meet the limits with the current abatement technology.

All documentation in respect of the stations MES postponement application was submitted and DEFF has confirmed that while the application is being assessed the previous emission limits apply i.e. 300 mg/Nm³ for PM. In addition to that, the station has also submitted an AEL variation request.

For more information or enquiries contact the Tutuka environmental team.

Yours Sincerely

Compiled by:**Monica Mokgawa****ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGER: TUTUKA POWER STATION**
.....**Date:**..... 23 March 2021**Verified By:****Mike Molepo****SENIOR CHEMIST CHEMISTRY: TUTUKA POWER STATION**
.....**Date:**..... 24/03/2021



Approved by:

Sello Mametja

GENERAL MANAGER: TUTUKA POWER STATION

Handwritten signature of Sello Mametja in black ink, positioned above a horizontal dotted line.

Date: 2021/03/24