

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	Project background	1-1
1.2	Assessment of radiological impacts	1-3
1.3	Summary of the Environmental Authorisation process for the proposed nuclear power station	1-5
1.3.1	Original application for a nuclear power station	1-6
1.3.2	Scoping Phase	1-7
1.3.3	Impact Assessment Phase	1-9
1.3.4	Review of Revised Draft EIR and Revised Draft EIR Version 2	1-9
1.4	The Final Environmental Impact Report	1-9
1.5	Assumptions and limitations of the EIA	1-11
1.5.1	Assumptions	1-11
1.5.2	Limitations	1-12
1.6	Way forward	1-13
2	DETAILS OF THE APPLICANT, THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PRACTITIONER AND THE DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY	2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Details of the Applicant	2-1
2.3	Details of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner	2-1
2.4	Details of Competent / Relevant Authority	2-2
3	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	3-1
3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.2	Other projects related to Nuclear-1	3-2
3.3	Principles of producing nuclear heat for electricity generation	3-3
3.4	History of Nuclear Power Plants	3-4
3.5	Nuclear technology for Nuclear-1	3-5
3.6	Operation of a typical nuclear power station	3-6
3.6.1	Cooling circuits	3-6
3.6.2	Reactor pressure vessel	3-7
3.6.3	Reactor core and fuel	3-8
3.6.4	Pressuriser	3-10
3.6.5	Steam generator	3-10
3.6.6	Turbine	3-10
3.6.7	Condensers	3-10
3.6.8	Electricity generation	3-10
3.7	Timeframes for construction and power station life cycle	3-11
3.8	Major associated infrastructure and other activities required during construction	3-11
3.8.1	Access roads	3-11
3.8.2	Security fencing around the property	3-12
3.8.3	Delineation of the Owner Controlled Boundary	3-12
3.8.4	Power supply to the site	3-14
3.8.5	Water supply to the site	3-15
3.8.6	Site offices	3-15
3.8.7	Groundwater monitoring	3-16
3.8.8	Development of construction and operational accommodation	3-16

3.9	Construction of the terrace and power station	3-18
3.9.1	Site layout and position of plant	3-18
3.9.2	Excavation and disposal of spoil	3-18
3.9.3	Dewatering	3-18
3.9.4	Buildings	3-19
3.9.5	Permanent terrace road and lay down storage area	3-19
3.9.6	Installation of plant/equipment	3-19
3.10	Additional associated infrastructure	3-19
3.10.1	Helipad	3-21
3.10.2	Meteorological station	3-21
3.10.3	Backup power supply	3-21
3.10.4	Visitors' centre	3-22
3.10.5	Water requirements	3-22
3.10.6	Sewage treatment plant	3-23
3.10.7	Desalination plant	3-23
3.10.8	Chlorination Plant	3-24
3.11	Marine works	3-24
3.11.1	Intake tunnels	3-25
3.11.2	Outfall tunnels/channels	3-25
3.12	High voltage yard	3-25
3.13	Materials required for construction	3-25
3.14	Roads	3-26
3.14.1	Duynefontein	3-27
3.14.2	Bantamsklip	3-27
3.14.3	Thyspunt	3-27
3.15	Operational inputs and outputs	3-28
3.16	Construction waste	3-30
3.16.1	General construction waste	3-30
3.16.2	Non-radioactive hazardous construction waste	3-31
3.17	Operational waste	3-31
3.17.1	General operational waste	3-31
3.17.2	Non-radioactive, hazardous operational waste	3-32
3.17.3	Radioactive, hazardous operational waste	3-32
(a)	Low Level Radioactive Waste (LLW)	3-32
(b)	Intermediate Level Radioactive Waste	3-32
(c)	Vaalputs radioactive waste disposal site	3-35
(d)	High Level Radioactive Waste	3-36
3.18	Transportation of solid radioactive waste	3-37
3.19	Transportation of nuclear fuel	3-38
3.20	Gaseous emissions	3-39
3.21	Liquid effluent	3-39
3.21.1	Non-radioactive effluent	3-39
3.21.2	Radioactive effluent	3-40
3.22	Safety	3-41
3.22.1	General safety considerations	3-42
3.22.2	Nuclear emergency planning zones	3-42
3.22.3	Security zones	3-44
3.22.4	Occupational exposure to radio nuclides	3-45
3.22.5	Public exposure to radio nuclides	3-45
3.23	Human resources	3-45
3.23.1	Construction personnel	3-46
3.23.2	Operational personnel	3-46
3.23.3	Transport and traffic	3-46
3.24	Environmental aspects related to the nuclear power station	3-47
3.25	Decommissioning of the proposed nuclear power station	3-48

3.25.1	Decommissioning strategies	3-49
3.25.2	Factors influencing the choice of decommissioning strategy	3-49
3.25.3	Preparation of a decommissioning plan for Nuclear-1	3-51
4	NEED FOR AND DESIRABILITY OF THE PROJECT	4-1
4.1	Electricity demand and predicted future trends	4-1
4.2	Peak demand vs. installed and nominal capacity	4-2
4.3	Reserve margin	4-4
4.4	Impacts of the economic slowdown on electricity demand	4-4
4.5	Balancing electricity supply and demand	4-5
4.5.1	Impact of demand side management on electricity demand	4-5
4.5.2	Current initiatives to increase electricity generation capacity	4-5
4.5.3	Choice of generation mix for future supply	4-7
4.5.4	Climate change considerations	4-7
4.6	Summary of the need for Nuclear-1	4-9
4.7	Eskom motivation for using Pressurised Water Reactor Technology for Nuclear-1	4-10
4.8	Need and desirability guidelines	4-10
4.9	Conclusion	4-19
5	PROJECT ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Site Alternatives	5-2
5.2.1	Background on the site identification and outcome of the Scoping Phase	5-2
5.2.2	The outcome of the Scoping Phase of the EIA process	5-2
5.2.3	DEA's response to the proposed exclusion of Brazil and Schulpfontein	5-3
5.2.4	Coega as an alternative site	5-3
5.2.5	Description of the identified site alternatives	5-4
5.3	Activity Alternatives: power generation technologies	5-11
5.1.1	Activity Alternatives: Nuclear generation	5-11
5.1.2	Spatial implication: Wind versus Nuclear Generation	5-12
5.1.3	Comparative costs of power generation alternatives	5-13
5.4	Nuclear Technology alternatives: nuclear plant types	5-18
5.5	Layout alternatives on each of the sites	5-25
5.1.1	Duynefontein	5-26
5.6	Construction and Operational alternatives	5-26
5.6.1	Source of water supply	5-26
5.6.2	Management of brine	5-27
5.6.3	Outlet of water and chemical effluent	5-28
5.6.4	Management of spoil material	5-28
5.7	Off-site access routes to the Thyspunt site	5-30
5.8	On-site access routes at the Thyspunt Site	5-30
5.9	No-Go (No development) alternative	5-39
6	PLANNING AND LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	The constitutional law dimension and supporting framework legislation regulating just administrative action and access to information	6-2
6.2.1	The Constitution (1996)	6-2
6.2.2	Promotion of Access to Information Act, 2000 (Act No. 2 of 2000)	6-8

6.3	Policy and planning context	6-9
6.3.1	The Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty and domestic implementation arrangements	6-9
6.3.2	United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People, 2008	6-10
6.3.3	Nuclear Energy Policy for the Republic of South Africa	6-11
6.3.4	White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa	6-13
6.3.5	Radioactive Waste Management Policy and Strategy	6-13
6.3.6	Nuclear Governance	6-14
6.3.7	Integrated Energy Plan	6-16
6.3.8	National Integrated Resource Plan	6-17
6.3.9	Energy Efficiency Strategy of the Republic of South Africa	6-18
6.3.10	Energy Security Master Plan – Electricity (2007-2025)	6-19
6.3.11	National Response to South Africa's Electricity Shortage	6-20
6.3.12	National Nuclear Disaster Management Plan	6-21
6.3.13	National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment (NSBA)	6-25
6.3.14	National Biodiversity Strategy Action Plan (NBSAP)	6-26
6.3.15	Draft National Strategy for Sustainable Development	6-26
6.3.16	Integrated Development Plans (IDP) relevant to the proposed alternative sites for Nuclear-1	6-26
6.4	Legislative Context: National Legislation	6-28
6.4.1	The National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA)	6-28
6.4.2	The National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004)	6-40
6.4.3	National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998)	6-41
6.4.4	The Water Services Act, 1997 (Act No. 108 of 1997)	6-42
6.4.5	The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004)	6-42
6.4.6	National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003 (Act No. 57 of 2003) (NEM:PAA)	6-43
6.4.7	Sea-Shore Act, 1935 (Act No. 21 of 1935)	6-43
6.4.8	The Maritime Zones Act, 1994 (Act No. 15 of 1994)	6-43
6.4.9	National Environmental Management: Integrated Coastal Management Act, 2008 (Act No. 24 of 2008)	6-44
6.4.10	Marine Living Resources Act, 1998 (Act No. 18 of 1998)	6-46
6.4.11	National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act No. 59 of 2008) (NEM: Waste Act)	6-46
6.4.12	National Radioactive Waste Disposal Institute Act (Act 53 of 2008)	6-47
6.4.13	Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983)	6-48
6.4.14	National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999)	6-48
6.4.15	Hazardous Substances Act, 1973 (Act No. 15 of 1973)	6-49
6.4.16	Transportation of Dangerous Goods and Substances	6-49
6.4.17	Non-Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction Act, 1993 (Act No. 87 of 1993)	6-49
6.4.18	National Key Points Act, 1980 (Act No. 102 of 1980)	6-50
6.4.19	National Energy Act, 2008 (Act No. 34 of 2008)	6-50
6.4.20	National Energy Regulator Act, 2004 (Act 40 of 2004)	6-51
6.4.21	Nuclear Energy Act, 1999 (Act No. 46 of 1999)	6-52
6.4.22	National Nuclear Regulator Act, 1999 (Act No. 47 of 1999)	6-53
6.4.23	Regulations on the development surrounding any nuclear installation to ensure the effective implementation of any Nuclear Emergency Plan	6-57
6.4.24	Electricity Act, 1987 (Act No. 41 of 1987)	6-58
6.4.25	Electricity Regulation Act, 2006 (Act No. 4 of 2006)	6-58
6.4.26	The Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002)	6-59
6.4.27	The Civil Aviation Act, 2009 (Act No. 13 of 2009)	6-61
6.4.28	Municipal Systems Act, 2000 (Act No. 32 of 2000)	6-61

6.4.29	Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 56 of 2003)	6-61
6.4.30	Occupational Health and Safety Act (Act 85 of 1993)	6-62
6.5	Legislative Context: Provincial Legislation	6-63
6.5.1	The Land Use Planning Ordinance, 1985 (Ordinance No. 15 of 1985)	6-63
6.5.2	Western Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework	6-64
6.5.3	Provincial Parks Board Act (Eastern Cape) (Act 12 of 2003)	6-65
6.5.4	Nature and Environmental Conservation Ordinance (Eastern Cape), 19 of 1974	6-65
6.5.5	Eastern Cape Land Development Objectives Regulations	6-66
6.6	Consistency with National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) Principles	6-67
6.7	Conclusions	6-74

7 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION 7-1

7.1	Public Participation Process	7-1
7.2	Objectives of public participation in an EIA	7-3
7.3	Public participation during the Scoping Phase	7-4
7.3.1	Identification of Interested and Affected Parties	7-4
7.3.2	Registration of I&APs	7-5
7.3.3	Announcement of opportunity to become involved	7-5
7.3.4	Obtaining comment – Scoping Phase	7-13
7.3.5	Issues and Response Report	7-13
7.3.6	Draft Scoping Report availability and Public Review	7-14
7.3.7	Distribution of the Draft Scoping Report to State Departments	7-20
7.4	Public Participation for the Draft EIR	7-20
7.4.1	Public review of the Draft EIR and EMP	7-20
7.4.2	Announcing opportunity to comment on the findings of the Draft EIR	7-20
7.4.3	Distribution of the Draft EIR for public comment	7-21
7.4.4	Review period of the Draft EIA Report	7-22
7.4.5	Opportunities provided to comment on the findings of the Draft EIR	7-22
7.4.6	Meetings held to review Draft EIR	7-22
7.4.7	Consultation with Authorities	7-24
7.5	Public Participation for the Revised Draft EIR (Version 1)	7-25
7.5.1	Public review of the Revised Draft EIR (Version 1) and EMP	7-25
7.5.2	Distribution of the Revised Draft EIR (Version 1) and EMP to the public	7-25
7.5.3	Announcing opportunity to comment on the Revised Draft EIR (Version 1)	7-26
7.5.4	Opportunity provided to comment on the findings of the Revised Draft EIR (Version 1)	7-27
7.5.5	Meetings held to facilitate review the Revised Draft EIR (Version 1)	7-27
7.5.6	Consultation with authorities for the Revised Draft EIR (Version 1)	7-28
7.6	Public Participation for the Revised Draft EIR (Version 2)	7-28
7.6.1	Distribution of the Revised Draft EIR (Version 2) and EMP to the public	7-29
7.6.2	Announcing opportunity to comment on the Revised Draft EIR (Version 2)	7-29
7.6.3	Opportunity provided to comment on the findings of the Revised Draft EIR (Version 2)	7-30
7.6.4	Meetings held to facilitate review the Revised Draft EIR (Version 2).	7-31
7.6.5	Consultation with authorities for the Revised Draft EIR (Version 2)	7-37
7.7	Summary of issues raised	7-37
7.7.1	Selected thematic issues and responses	7-37
7.7.2	Individual Issues and Response Reports	7-100
7.8	Final EIR and EMP	7-100
7.8.1	Authority review	7-100
7.8.2	Notification of authority decision	7-100

8	SPECIALIST ASSESSMENT	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Description of the Affected Environment	8-3
8.3	Legislation, Policies and Guidelines	8-3
8.4	Assessment of Alternatives	8-3
8.5	Impact Identification and Assessment	8-4
8.6	Mitigation Measures	8-4
8.7	Specialist Peer Reviews	8-5
8.8	Key Conclusion of Specialist Peer Review Reports	8-6
9	DESCRIPTION OF THE EXISTING ENVIRONMENT	9-1
9.1	Physical environment: Duynefontein	9-1
9.1.1	Geology	9-1
9.1.2	Seismological risk	9-1
9.1.3	Geotechnical suitability	9-2
9.1.4	Dune geomorphology	9-2
9.1.5	Hydrology	9-3
9.1.6	Geohydrology	9-3
9.1.7	Freshwater supply	9-4
9.1.8	Oceanography	9-4
9.1.9	Background Radiation	9-5
9.2	Physical environment figures: Duynefontein	9-7
9.3	Physical environment: Thyspunt	9-13
9.3.1	Geology	9-13
9.3.2	Seismological risk	9-13
9.3.3	Geotechnical suitability	9-13
9.3.4	Dune geomorphology	9-14
9.3.5	Hydrology	9-16
9.3.6	Geohydrology	9-16
9.3.7	Freshwater supply	9-17
9.3.8	Oceanography	9-17
9.3.9	Background Radiation	9-17
9.4	Physical environment figures: Thyspunt	9-19
9.5	Biophysical environment: Duynefontein	9-24
9.5.1	Air quality and climate	9-24
9.5.2	Flora	9-26
9.5.3	Wetlands	9-27
9.5.4	Vertebrate fauna	9-27
9.5.5	Invertebrate fauna	9-29
9.5.6	Marine biology	9-30
9.6	Biophysical environment figures: Duynefontein	9-32
9.7	Biophysical environment: Thyspunt	9-43
9.7.1	Air quality and climate	9-43
9.7.2	Flora	9-45
9.7.3	Wetlands	9-45
9.7.4	Vertebrate fauna	9-46
9.7.5	Invertebrate fauna	9-49
9.7.6	Marine biology	9-50
9.8	Biophysical environment figures: Thyspunt	9-52
9.9	Socio-economic environment - Duynefontein	9-58
9.9.1	Economic environment	9-58
9.9.2	Demographic statistics	9-67

9.9.3	Visual character	9-68
9.9.4	Heritage resources and archaeology	9-69
9.9.5	Agricultural practices	9-73
9.9.6	Tourism industry	9-74
9.9.7	Noise	9-75
9.9.8	Control Zones	9-75
9.9.9	Transportation	9-75
9.9.10	Urban Land-use	9-76
9.10	Socio-economic environment figures - Duynefontein	9-77
9.11	Socio-economic environment - Thyspunt	9-86
9.11.1	Economic environment	9-86
9.11.2	Demographic statistics	9-93
9.11.3	Visual character	9-94
9.11.4	Heritage resources and archaeology	9-95
9.11.5	Agricultural practices	9-100
9.11.6	Tourism industry	9-101
9.11.7	Noise	9-103
9.11.8	Transport	9-103
9.11.9	Urban Land-use	9-104
9.12	Socio-economic environment figures – Thyspunt	9-105
9.13	Sensitivity mapping of the alternative sites	9-116
9.13.1	Duynefontein sensitivity maps	9-116
9.13.2	Conclusion on Duynefontein environmental sensitivity	9-124
9.13.3	Thyspunt sensitivity maps	9-125
9.13.4	Conclusion on Thyspunt environmental sensitivity	9-132
9.14	Systems Depiction of the Receiving Environment	9-133

10	THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT	10-1
10.1	Introduction	10-1
10.2	Environmental Costs versus Benefits	10-1
10.3	Defining the Implications of the Impacts for Decision-Making	10-2
10.4	Potential Environmental Costs	10-14
10.5	Potential Environmental Benefits	10-15
10.6	Ascribing Significance for Decision-Making	10-15
10.6.1	Consequence	10-15
10.6.2	Likelihood	10-16
10.6.3	Residual risk	10-17
10.7	Public Health and Safety Risk	10-18
10.7.1	Acute radioactive exposure	10-18
10.7.2	Loss of control of fission	10-18
10.7.3	Failure in the Structural Integrity of the Buildings	10-22
10.8	Non-radiological Risks of Death or Serious Injury	10-24
10.8.1	Vehicle accidents	10-24
10.8.2	Incidents related to criminal activities	10-24
10.9	Public Health Risks Related to the Nuclear Power Station	10-26
10.9.1	Airborne dust	10-26
10.9.2	Radioactive emissions during operations	10-27
10.9.3	HIV / AIDS / STD's	10-28
10.9.4	The benefit of infrastructure upgrades	10-28
10.10	Compromise in Quality of Fresh Water Resources	10-29
10.10.1	Radioactive contamination	10-29
10.10.2	Hydrocarbon and hazardous chemical contamination	10-29
10.11	Compromise in Quality of Groundwater Resources	10-31

10.11.1	Saline water / seawater intrusion	10-31
10.11.2	Radioactive contamination of groundwater systems	10-31
10.11.3	Hydrocarbon and hazardous chemical contamination of groundwater	10-32
10.12	Availability of Groundwater Resources to Other Users	10-33
10.12.1	Abstraction of groundwater	10-33
10.12.2	Cut-off or disruption of groundwater due to structures	10-33
10.13	Loss of Wetlands and Wetland Function	10-35
10.13.1	Duynefontein wetlands	10-35
10.13.2	Thyspunt wetlands	10-35
10.13.3	Physical destruction of wetlands	10-35
10.13.4	Reduced water supply	10-36
10.13.5	Inflow of poor quality water	10-36
10.13.6	Placing of spoil dumps	10-36
10.14	Reduced Marine Environment Quality	10-37
10.15	Important features of the marine environment	10-37
10.15.1	Duynefontein	10-37
10.15.2	Thyspunt	10-37
10.15.3	Heated water and brine disposal	10-38
10.15.4	Contaminated run-off	10-39
10.15.5	Spoil disposal	10-39
10.15.6	Possible impacts on chokka squid	10-39
10.16	Reduced Populations of Threatened Species	10-41
10.17	Threatened species	10-41
10.17.1	Duynefontein	10-41
10.17.2	Thyspunt	10-41
10.17.3	Loss and fragmentation of habitat	10-42
10.17.4	Road mortality	10-42
10.17.5	Mortality associated with overhead transmission lines/ substations	10-42
10.17.6	Disturbance of breeding populations	10-43
10.17.7	Transformation of wetlands	10-43
10.17.8	Cooling water systems and brine disposal	10-44
10.17.9	The conservation benefit of site enclosure	10-44
10.18	Changes in Livelihoods	10-45
10.18.1	Spoil disposal at sea altering surf breaks	10-45
10.18.2	Radiological contamination of agricultural resources	10-45
10.18.3	Change in sense of place	10-45
10.18.4	Reducing chokka squid populations	10-46
10.18.5	Increased agricultural production	10-47
10.18.6	Increased business opportunities	10-48
10.18.7	New job opportunities	10-48
10.19	Heritage Resources	10-49
10.19.1	Duynefontein heritage features	10-49
10.19.2	Thyspunt heritage features	10-49
10.19.3	Destruction of heritage artefacts	10-49
10.20	Nuisance	10-51
10.20.1	Noise	10-51
10.20.2	Visual impact	10-51
10.20.3	The establishment of informal settlements	10-51
10.20.4	Additional vehicular traffic	10-52
10.21	Summary of Residual Risks	10-52
10.22	Comparing the Sites – A Strategic Overview of the Project	10-53
10.23	Evaluation of Other Alternatives	10-56
10.23.1	Forms of power generation	10-56
10.23.2	Freshwater supply	10-57
10.23.3	Utilisation of abstracted groundwater	10-58

10.23.4	Disposal of brine	10-59
10.23.5	Intake of seawater	10-59
10.23.6	Marine outfall	10-60
10.23.7	Management of spoil material	10-60
10.23.8	Nuclear plant types	10-61
10.23.9	Position of the nuclear power station on the sites	10-61
10.23.10	The potential for additional nuclear power stations per site	10-62
10.23.11	Access to the sites	10-62
10.24	No-go alternative	10-63
11	CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND WAY FORWARD	11-1
11.1	Need for the project	11-1
11.2	Alternatives	11-1
11.2.1	Site alternative	11-1
11.2.2	Generation alternatives	11-2
11.2.3	Modes of transport during construction	11-2
11.2.4	Fresh water supply alternative	11-2
11.2.5	Utilisation of abstracted groundwater	11-2
11.2.6	Disposal of brine	11-2
11.2.7	Intake of seawater	11-2
11.2.8	Release of cooling water and effluent	11-2
11.2.9	Management of spoil	11-2
11.2.10	No-go alternative	11-3
11.2.11	Additional power stations per site	11-3
11.2.12	Coega as an alternative site	11-3
11.3	Key mitigation measures and conditions of authorisation	11-3
11.3.1	All sites	11-4
11.3.2	Duynefontein	11-5
11.3.3	Thyspunt	11-5
11.4	Way forward	11-5

Chapter 12: References

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 1: EIA Process as prescribed by the NEMA 2006 EIA Regulations (R refers to Regulation numbers)	1-5
Figure 1 2: Alternative site locations for Nuclear-1 considered during the EIA phase	1-14
Figure 1 3: Duynefontein Locality Map	1-15
Figure 1 4: Bantamsklip Locality Map	1-16
Figure 1 5: Thyspunt Locality Map	1-17
Figure 3 1: Key features of a Pressurised Water Reactor	3-6
Figure 3 2: Simplified diagrammatic depiction of a Pressurised Water Reactor (PWR) (Ragheb, 2008)	3-7
Figure 3 3: Simplified diagrammatic depiction of a nuclear power station	3-8
Figure 3-4: A display of nuclear fuel pellets in a cladding tube at Koeberg Nuclear Power Station	3-9
Figure 3-5: A display of a nuclear fuel assembly of 17 x 17 fuel rods at Koeberg Nuclear Power Station	3-9

Figure 3-6: Landowners Boundaries for Bantamsklip	3-13
Figure 3-7: Landowners Boundaries for Thyspunt	3-13
Figure 3-8: Landowners Boundaries for Duynefontein	3-14
Figure 3-9: Schematic depiction of the desalinisation and demineralisation plants	3-24
Figure 3-10: Industrial Bypass C and Southern Bypass around Humansdorp	3-28
Figure 3-11: General waste management activities for ILW and LLW	3-33
Figure 3-12: Compactable (LLW) and non-compactable (ILW) radioactive waste storage containers	3-33
Figure 3-13: Standard steel and concrete containers used for radioactive waste disposal at Vaalputs	3-34
Figure 3-14: Storage facility for ILW and LLW showing concrete drums in the background and steel drums in the foreground	3-34
Figure 3-15: Trench layout for low and intermediate level waste at Vaalputs	3-35
Figure 3-16: Low Level and Intermediate Level radioactive waste drums (respectively above and below) at Vaalputs. The drums are covered with layers of clay.	3-37
Figure 3-17: Placement of Low Level Waste steel drums at Vaalputs	3-37
Figure 3-18: Storage pond for HLW at Koeberg Nuclear Power Station	3-37
Figure 3-19: Duynefontein partial layout during construction	3-53
Figure 3-20: Duynefontein full layout during construction	3-54
Figure 3-21: Bantamsklip partial layout during construction	3-55
Figure 3-22: Bantamsklip full layout during construction	3-56
Figure 3-23: Thyspunt partial layout during construction	3-57
Figure 3-24: Thyspunt full layout during construction	3-58

Figure 4 1: Projected electricity requirements for South Africa to 2034 based on different scenarios (IRP 2010)	4-2
Figure 4 2: Actual peak demand vs. installed and nominal capacity per year (Eskom 2013)	4-3
Figure 4 3: Net reserve margin (Eskom 2013)	4-4
Figure 4 4: Capacity expansion as recommended by the IRP 2010	4-6
Figure 4 5: Comparison of life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions of different electricity generation systems (Dones et al. 2003)	4-9

Figure 5 1: Alternative site locations (Duynefontein, Thyspunt and Bantamsklip) deemed suitable for further consideration in the EIA	5-5
Figure 5 2: View of Duynefontein looking southeast towards the coast, with the existing Koeberg Nuclear Power Station in the left background	5-6
Figure 5 3: View of the Thyspunt site looking east from the vegetated dunes within the proposed power station footprint	5-7
Figure 5 4: View of the eastern portion of Bantamsklip looking east	5-10
Figure 5 5: Regional ranges of LCOE for nuclear, coal, gas and onshore wind power plants (at 5% discount rate)	5-14
Figure 5 6: Regional ranges of LCOE for nuclear, coal, gas and onshore wind power plants (at 10% discount rate)	5-14
Figure 5 7: Alternative on and off-site access routes to Thyspunt (Not to scale)	5-33
Figure 5 8: Alternative northern on-site access routes to Thyspunt (Not to scale)	5-34
Figure 5 9: Alternative eastern on-site access routes to Thyspunt (Not to scale)	5-35
Figure 5 10: Initial alternative on-site western access routes to Thyspunt assessed in 2011 (Not to scale)	5-36
Figure 5 11: New alternative on-site western access routes to Thyspunt assessed in 2013 (Not to scale)	5-37
Figure 5 12: Recommended alignment (Option 4) of the on-site western access routes to Thyspunt (Not to scale)	5-38

Figure 6 1: Final energy demand-target outcome to 2015 (DME, 2005)	6-19
Figure 6 2: Capacity expansion programme (Source: DME, 2008)	6-20
Figure 6 3: NNR nuclear installation licensing time frames (NNR 2010)	6-57

Figure 7-7 1: Flowchart of the Scoping and EIA process, indicating the current stage in the process	7-2
Figure 7 2: On site Notice at Duynefontein	7-7
Figure 7 3: On site Notice at Bantamsklip	7-8
Figure 7 4: On site Notice at Brazil, Northern Cape	7-8
Figure 7 5: On site Notice at Schulpfontein, Northern Cape	7-9
Figure 7 6: On Site Notice at Thyspunt site, Eastern Cape	7-9
Figure 7 7: Article in The Mercury, 29 May 2007	7-10
Figure 7 8: Article in The Herald, 6 March 2008	7-11
Figure 7 9: Article in The Cape Times, 7 May 2013	7-12
Figure 7 10: Public Meeting at Duynefontein	7-17
Figure 7 11: Public Meeting at Gansbaai	7-17
Figure 7 12: Public Open Day at Pearly Beach	7-18
Figure 7 13: Discussion session with Hondeklipbaai residents at a Public	7-18
Figure 7 14: Atlantic Beach Club Public Meeting, October 2015	7-32
Figure 7 15: Gansbaai Public Meeting, October 2015	7-32
Figure 7 16: Kenilworth Public Meeting, October 2015	7-33
Figure 7 17: Oyster Bay Public Meeting, October 2015	7-33
Figure 7 18: Oyster Bay Public Meeting, October 2015	7-34
Figure 7 19: Notice of the Links Meeting in Cape St. Francis, October 2015	7-34
Figure 7 20: The Links Public Meeting, October 2015	7-35
Figure 7 21: Jeffrey's Bay Public Meeting, October 2016	7-35
Figure 7 22: Sea Vista Public Meeting, November 2015	7-36
Figure 7 23: Port Elizabeth Public Meeting, December 2015	7-36
Figure 7 24: Aerial view of the KNPS excavation during construction	7-59
Figure 7 25: Signage for "Walker Bay Nature Reserve", which is in fact not a nature reserve, but state land administered by CapeNature	7-69
Figure 7 26: Proposed "industrial bypass C" around Humansdorp	7-82
Figure 7 27: NNR Process Flow Chart	7-88
Figure 7 28: An example of a wet storage facility for spent nuclear fuel.	7-92
Figure 7 29: An example of a dry storage facility for spent nuclear fuel	7-93

Figure 9-1: Geological map of Duynefontein and environs	9-8
Figure 9-2: Legend for the Duynefontein geological map	9-9
Figure 9-3: Atlantis corridor dune field in relation to the Duynefontein site	9-10
Figure 9-4: Dune varieties in the Atlantis corridor dune field at Duynefontein	9-11
Figure 9-5: Profile locations and bathymetry for Duynefontein	9-12
Figure 9-6: Geological map of Thyspunt and environs	9-20
Figure 9-7: Legend for the Thyspunt geological map	9-21
Figure 9-8: Illustration of the original St. Francis headland bypass dune field system (including portions like the Santareme dune field, which have since been destroyed)	9-22
Figure 9-9: Profile locations and bathymetry for Thyspunt	9-23
Figure 9-10: Land use in the vicinity of the Duynefontein site	9-33
Figure 9-11: Population density in the vicinity of the Duynefontein site	9-34
Figure 9-12: Shaded relief profile of the Duynefontein study area	9-35
Figure 9-13: Period, day- and night-time wind roses for Duynefontein	9-36
Figure 9-14: Recorded monthly mean sulphur dioxide levels in Table View. The red line indicates the linear trend	9-37

Figure 9-15: Recorded monthly mean PM10 particulate matter levels in Table View. The red line indicates the linear trend	9-37
Figure 9-16: Recorded monthly nitrogen dioxide levels in Table View. The red line indicates the linear trend	9-38
Figure 9-17: Predicted maximum annual inhalation and immersion radiation dose (μSv) for the Duynefontein site	9-39
Figure 9-18: Broad plant communities of the Duynefontein site and surroundings	9-40
Figure 9-19: A view of the affected environment at the Duynefontein site, looking south towards Koeberg Nuclear Power Station	9-40
Figure 9-20: Duynefontein wetlands map	9-41
Figure 9-21: The Blouberg Dwarf Burrowing Skink <i>Scelotes montispectus</i> , a recently described and potentially threatened species, found at Duynefontein (Photo: M. Burger)	9-42
Figure 9-22: An undescribed <i>Tetramorium</i> ant species found at Duynefontein	9-42
Figure 9-23: Land use in the vicinity of the Thyspunt site	9-52
Figure 9-24: Population density in the vicinity of the Thyspunt site	9-53
Figure 9-25: Shaded relief profile of the Thyspunt site surroundings	9-53
Figure 9-26: Wind roses for Cape St. Francis and Thyspunt	9-54
Figure 9-27: Botanical communities of Thyspunt	9-55
Figure 9-28: Wetlands at Thyspunt	9-56
Figure 9-29: Elandsberg dwarf chameleon found at Langefonteinenvlei wetland	9-57
Figure 9-30: Location of tern roost and otter sightings	9-57
Figure 9-31: Commercial Fishing, Blaauwberg Beach-Bok Point, 1998-2007 (Tons)	9-59
Figure 9-32: Population Distribution within 5km radii of Duynefontein	9-77
Figure 9-33: Duynefontein Nuclear Power Station viewshed analysis	9-78
Figure 9-34: Duynefontein Nuclear Power Station: Location of EIA corridor	9-79
Figure 9-35: Heritage features at the Duynefontein site	9-80
Figure 9-36: Land use map - Duynefontein	9-81
Figure 9-37: Types of farming - Duynefontein	9-82
Figure 9-38: Duynefontein site location and sphere of tourism impact (Not to scale)	9-83
Figure 9-39: Distance to nearest noise-sensitive land uses at Duynefontein	9-84
Figure 9-40: Duynefontein transport network	9-85
Figure 9-41: Commercial fishing, Seal Point-Slang River, 1998-2007 (Kg)	9-87
Figure 9-42: Population Distribution within 5km distance radii of Thyspunt	9-105
Figure 9-43: Typical house placement east of site	9-106
Figure 9-44: Holiday home on beach east of site	9-106
Figure 9-45: Middens and decorated ceramics at Thyspunt	9-107
Figure 9-46: Tidal fish traps and built structures at Thyspunt	9-108
Figure 9-47: Borrow pit area	9-109
Figure 9-48: Woodlands dairy	9-109
Figure 9-49: Land use map - Thyspunt	9-110
Figure 9-50: Extensive silage production on most farms	9-111
Figure 9-51: Silage bales	9-111
Figure 9-52: Types of farming - Thyspunt	9-112
Figure 9-53: Thyspunt site location and sphere of tourism impact (Not to scale)	9-113
Figure 9-54: Distance to nearest noise-sensitive land uses at Thyspunt	9-114
Figure 9-55: Thyspunt transport network	9-115
Figure 9-56: Duynefontein dune geomorphology sensitivity map	9-117
Figure 9-57: Duynefontein botanical rarity sensitivity map	9-118
Figure 9-58: Duynefontein vertebrate fauna sensitivity map	9-119
Figure 9-59: Duynefontein invertebrates sensitivity map	9-120
Figure 9-60: Duynefontein wetland sensitivity map	9-121
Figure 9-61: Duynefontein heritage sensitivity map	9-122
Figure 9-62: Duynefontein composite sensitivity map	9-123
Figure 9-63: Thyspunt dune geomorphology sensitivity map	9-125
Figure 9-64: Thyspunt botanical rarity map	9-126

Figure 9-65: Thyspunt faunal sensitivity map	9-127
Figure 9-66: Thyspunt invertebrate sensitivity map	9-128
Figure 9-67: Thyspunt wetlands sensitivity map	9-129
Figure 9-68: Thyspunt heritage sensitivity map	9-130
Figure 9-69: Thyspunt composite sensitivity map	9-131
Figure 9-70: Systems Depiction of the Receiving Environment	9-134

Figure 10 1: Example of cut-off wall used at Coega Harbour	10-34
--	-------

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2 1: Details of the Applicant	2-1
Table 2 2: Details of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner	2-2
Table 2 3: Details of the Competent / Relevant Authority	2-2
Table 3 1: Sizes of the development footprints at the alternative sites	3-1
Table 3 2: Main construction activities per year (Eskom Truck Load Table, 17/05/2010)	3-11
Table 3 3: Accommodation requirements per nuclear power station site	3-16
Table 3 4: Minimum land requirements (hectares) required for accommodation	3-17
Table 3 5: Nuclear Power Station and associated infrastructure requirements	3-19
Table 3 6: Estimated water consumption during construction and operation phases	3-22
Table 3 7: Reservoirs required on site	3-22
Table 3 8: Material required for the construction of key elements of the nuclear power station	3-26
Table 3 9: Inputs and outputs related to the operational phase under normal operating conditions	3-28
Table 3 10: Typical waste types during construction of nuclear power station for a similar plant (Pöyry Energy Oy and Lithuanian Energy Institute 2008)	3-30
Table 3 11: Categories of Radioactive Waste (NNR 2001)	3-32
Table 3 12: Maximum inhalation and external effective dose predicted in the 40 km by 40 km study area for all three nuclear power station sites	3-39
Table 3 13: Maximum quantities of chemical effluent discharged from demineralisation and desalinisation plants	3-40
Table 3 14: Radii of proposed emergency planning zones and actions required within these zones	3-43
Table 3 15: Estimated traffic figures for the construction phase	3-46
Table 3 16: Estimated monthly trips of abnormal loads for the construction phase	3-47
Table 4 1: Data for nominal increase in capacity	4-6
Table 4 2: Electricity production from fossil fuels (top 10 countries) (Based on International Energy Agency 2007a)	4-8
Table 4 3: Summary of Need and Desirability Questions (in terms of the DEA & DEA&DP EIA Guidelines on Need and Desirability).	4-11
Table 5-1 Summary of electricity generation technologies that are commercially available but not necessarily financially viable for Eskom	5-11
Table 5-2: Summary of the LCOE of generation alternatives in 2020, based on learning rates (Table 17 from Department of Energy 2010c)	5-15
Table 5-3: Summary of United Kingdom LCOE of generation alternatives for commissioning in 2020 (UK Department of Energy and Climate Change 2013)	5-17

Table 5-4: Summary of United States LCOE of generation alternatives for commissioning in 2020 (US Energy Information Administration 2013)	5-17
Table 5-5: Summary of Eskom's short-listed nuclear plant type technologies	5-19
Table 5-6: Key features of the Nuclear-1 envelope	5-21
Table 5-7: Offshore spoil disposal alternatives at Duynefontein	5-29
Table 5-8: Offshore spoil disposal alternatives at Thyspunt	5-29
Table 6 1: Key responsibilities of various role-players with respect to nuclear emergency management	6-23
Table 6 2: Activities requiring Basic Assessment	6-32
Table 6 3: Activities requiring Scoping and EIA	6-37
Table 6 4: Consistency of the nuclear power station with the NEMA principles	6-67
Table 7 1: Paid newspaper advertisements for project announcement	7-6
Table 7 2: Distribution of BIDs at public libraries during the Scoping Phase	7-6
Table 7 3: Distribution of BIDs at additional public venues during the Scoping Phase	7-7
Table 7 4: Public Meetings held during the Scoping Phase	7-14
Table 7 5: Meetings - Key Stakeholder Workshops	7-15
Table 7 6: Meetings - Focus Group Meetings	7-15
Table 7 7: Public Meetings and Public Open Days	7-16
Table 7 8: Key Stakeholder and Authorities Feedback Meetings	7-16
Table 7 9: Availability of the Draft and Final Scoping Reports	7-18
Table 7 10: Newspaper advertisements announcing availability of the Draft EIR	7-21
Table 7 11: Venues where copies of the Draft EIR were made available	7-21
Table 7 12: Review period for the Draft EIR	7-22
Table 7 13: List of Public Meetings held to facilitate the review and obtain comments on the Draft EIR	7-23
Table 7 14: List of Focus Group Meetings held to facilitate the review and obtain comments on the Draft EIR	7-23
Table 7 15: List of Key Stakeholder Meetings	7-23
Table 7 16: List of Focus Group Meetings with specialists held to facilitate the review and obtain comments on the Draft EIR	7-24
Table 7 17: Authority meetings held for the Draft EIR	7-24
Table 7 18: Venues where the Revised Draft EIR (Version 1) was made available	7-25
Table 7 19: Newspaper advertisements announcing the availability of the Revised Draft EIR (Version 1)	7-26
Table 7 20: List of public meetings and open houses to facilitate the review on the Revised Draft EIR (Version 1)	7-27
Table 7 21: Authority meetings for the Revised Draft EIR (Version 1)	7-28
Table 7 22: Venues where the print version of Revised Draft EIR (Version 2) was made available	7-29
Table 7 23: Newspaper advertisements announcing the availability of the Revised Draft EIR (Version 2)	7-30
Table 7 24: List of Public Meetings held to facilitate the review on the Revised Draft EIR (Version 2)	7-31
Table 7 25: List of Focus Group Meetings held to facilitate the Review of the Revised Draft EIR (Version 2)	7-32
Table 7 26: Authority meetings for the Revised Draft EIR (Version 2)	7-37
Table 7 27: Common thematic issues and responses	7-39
Table 7 28: Venues where the print version of Final EIR will be made available	7-101
Table 8 1: EIA specialist team members and their fields of expertise	8-1
Table 8 2: Peer review team	8-5

Table 9 1: Means and extremes of dry-bulb temperature at the Duynefontein site measured at 10 m above ground level (1980 to 2007)	9-25
Table 9 2: Means and extremes of temperature for Cape St. Francis for the period 2004 to 2007	9-44
Table 9 3: Community Facilities within 20 km radius of Duynefontein (2009)	9-60
Table 9 4: Community Facilities within the 20km to 80km radii of Duynefontein (2009)	9-61
Table 9 5: Schools within 20km distance radii of Duynefontein (2009)	9-61
Table 9 6: Schools between 20km and 80km radii of Duynefontein (2009)	9-62
Table 9 7: District and provincial hospitals within 35km radius of Duynefontein (2009)	9-64
Table 9 8: Tertiary Hospitals within 80km radius of Duynefontein (2009)	9-64
Table 9 9: Secondary Hospitals within 80km distance radii of Duynefontein (2009)	9-65
Table 9 10::Universities and Colleges within 80km radius of Duynefontein (2009)	9-65
Table 9 11: Correctional Facilities in the Western Cape (2009)	9-65
Table 9 12: General community facilities within 20km distance radii of Duynefontein	9-66
Table 9 13: Police Stations within 16km radius of Duynefontein (2009)	9-67
Table 9 14: Farming practices (number of farms)	9-74
Table 9 15: Quantitative representation of tourism industry in the Duynefontein area	9-75
Table 9 16: Community Facilities: Thyspunt (2015)	9-89
Table 9 17: Schools within the Kouga Municipal Area (2015)	9-89
Table 9 18: Schools within 20km radius of Thyspunt (2015)	9-90
Table 9 19: Hospitals in Port Elizabeth just outside 80km radius of Thyspunt (2015)	9-92
Table 9 20: Community Facilities within 16km radius of Thyspunt (2015)	9-93
Table 9 21: Farming practices (number of farms)	9-101
Table 9 22: Approximate visitor expenditure during the BP Billabong Pro	9-102
Table 9 23::Quantitative representation of the tourism industry in the Thyspunt area	9-103
Table 10 1: Potential impacts at both sites (post mitigation or after optimisation) as identified in the specialist studies conducted for the EIA.	10-2
Table 10 2: Ranking of consequence	10-16
Table 10 3: Likelihood categories and definitions	10-16
Table 10 4: Residual risk categories	10-17
Table 10 5: Implications for decision-making of the different residual risk categories shown in Table 10-4.	10-17
Table 10 6: The residual risk of acute radiological exposure as a function of the various potential causes of that risk	10-23
Table 10 7: The residual risk of non-radiological death or serious injury as a function of the various potential causes of that risk	10-25
Table 10 8: The residual risk of increased morbidity as a function of chronic exposure risk	10-27
Table 10 9: The residual risk of increased morbidity as a function of communicable disease risk	10-28
Table 10 10: The residual risk of contaminated stormwater due to the various risk sources associated with the proposed NPS	10-30
Table 10 11: The residual risk of contaminated groundwater due to the various risk sources associated with the proposed NPS	10-32
Table 10 12: The residual risk of reduced groundwater yields due to the various risk sources associated with the proposed NPS.	10-34
Table 10 13: The residual risk of reduced wetland function due to the various risk sources associated with the proposed NPS	10-36
Table 10 14: The residual risk of reduced marine environmental quality due to the various risk sources associated with the proposed NPS.	10-39

Table 10 15: The residual risk of reduced populations of threatened species populations as a function of various risk sources associated with the proposed NPS.	10-44
Table 10 16: The residual risk of reduced livelihoods due to the various risk sources associated with the proposed NPS	10-47
Table 10 17: The residual risk of loss of heritage resources due to the various risk sources associated with the proposed NPS	10-50
Table 10 18: The residual risk of nuisance due to the various risk sources associated with the proposed NPS	10-52