

Addendum to Tourism Impact Assessment

I Gavin Maasdorp, hereby confirm that I have considered the Town Planning Assessment and its impacts thereof. I further confirm that the findings of the Town Planning Assessment do not significantly change the findings of the Tourism Impact Assessment and my recommendations still hold true.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'G. Maasdorp', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Gavin Grant Maasdorp

University of Natal – M. Com., Ph.D. – Transportation and Development in a Small Peripheral Country: A Case Study in Swaziland

46 Years Experience

I have examined the report on land use as well as reread the tourism impact study. I do not find anything in the Town Planning report that would negate the findings of the impact study.

There would be no impact on existing tourism establishments in the areas surrounding the three sites. The development of new establishments would have to conform to existing and proposed SDFs and other regulations (such as those relating to evacuation which were in any case taken into account in the study), but existing tourism nodes should not be affected except in so far as the SDFs and

proposed plans do not permit either the development of Pearly Beach beyond its present urban edge or the growth of the Oyster Bay village. However, neither of these places contain any sizeable tourism facilities, the major tourism nodes being located further away from the Nuclear 1 sites and not being adversely affected by the proposed N-1 (except for possible small-scale, short-term impacts described in the report on Thyspunt). New establishments could of course be developed within the present boundaries of Pearly Beach and Oyster Bay. The proposed change in land use from “agriculture” to “open space zone 3” adjacent to the Thyspunt site would open up possibilities for game farms which might perhaps be a minor tourism attraction. The two proposed new development areas in the vicinity of Duynefontein would be able to accommodate new tourism establishments, although the major new investments are more likely to occur along the coast to the south and north of Duynefontein as has been the trend. Finally, the new conservation areas to be developed around the three sites will themselves provide opportunities for new recreational and tourism activities, thereby adding to the menu of tourist attractions.

Thus, the Town Planning report does not conflict with the contents of the tourism impact study, and I do not see any need to change the report or its conclusions and recommendations.