May 2009 Impact Assessment Phase

# ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Bravo Integration Project –Bravo5: Construction of a 400 kV line Duvha bypass overhead power line.

**DEAT REF NO: 12/12/20/1097** 

**Proponent: Eskom Transmission** 

# FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

**Project 10637** 

May 2009 i 10637

# URPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

The growing demand for electricity is placing increasing pressure on Eskom's existing power generation and transmission capacity. Eskom is committed to implementing a Sustainable Energy Strategy that complements the policies and strategies of National Government. Eskom aims to improve the reliability of electricity supply to the country, and in particular to provide for the growth in electricity demand in the Gauteng and Mpumalanga provinces. For this reason, Eskom obtained environmental authorisation to construct the new 400 kV Bravo (Kusile) coal-fired Power Station between Bronkhorstspruit and Witbank in 2007. Construction of this power station has already commenced.

Due to this construction, the new Bravo Power Station needs to be integrated with the existing Eskom electricity infrastructure. This proposed project is to construct a new 400 kV overhead power line which by-passes the current Duvha Power Station to form the new Bravo-Vulcan line near Emahlahleni, Mpumalanga.

Eskom Transmission has appointed Zitholele Consulting (Pty) Ltd, an independent company, to conduct an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) to evaluate the potential environmental and social impacts of the proposed project.

The first phase of the EIA (Scoping Phase) has been completed. The second phase of an EIA is the Impact Assessment Phase. In the Scoping Phase public issues, concerns and suggestions were identified and these were used to shape the terms of references for the specialist studies that were conducted. The findings of the specialists are being reported on in this document – the culmination of the second phase (Impact Assessment Phase) of the FIA

An EIA must show the authorities, the stakeholders and the proponent what the impact of the proposal on a particular alternative will be in environmental, economical and social terms and provide informed findings of the specialist investigations.

In accordance with the EIA Regulations, Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) must be given the opportunity to verify that all the issues mentioned during the stakeholder engagement process, have been addressed in the Impact Assessment. For that reason, a Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) is compiled and presented to the I&AP' during a public meeting. A DEIR was made available for public review from 23 March to 30 April 2009. After public review, the DEIR was updated and is being submitted to the lead authority, the National Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT) as the Final EIR, for a decision about the project.

After public review, the Draft EIR will be updated and submitted to the lead authority, the National Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT) for a decision about the project.

#### **Summary of what the Final Environmental Impact Report Contains**

This report contains the following for comment by stakeholders:

- A complete overview of the proposed project;
- An overview of the EIA process followed;
- A complete summary of the Public Participation (PP) Process followed;
- Project alternatives including the "No-go" (no development) option;
- An overview of the baseline receiving environment;
- The assessment by specialists of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project along with the mitigation measures to reduce the negative impacts and enhance the positive impacts; and
- An Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

# AN EIA CONSISTS OF SEVERAL PHASES

#### **Scoping Phase Impact Assessment Environmental Decision-making** To identify issues, to Phase **Impact Report** Phase focus the EIA **Detailed studies of potential** Consolidate findings of Proponent and authorities impacts, positive and negative use EIA findings to decide if impact assessment studies project goes ahead

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

# OVERVIEW OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT

On 5 June 2007, Eskom received environmental authorisation (12/12/20/807) from the National Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT) to proceed with the construction of the new Kusile (then Bravo) Power Station, between Bronkhorstspruit and Witbank in Mpumalanga. The EIA for the Bravo Power Station was conducted by a consulting company called Ninham Shand (Pty) Ltd. A copy of the RoD can be accessed form the Eskom website (<a href="https://www.eskom.co.za">www.eskom.co.za</a>) or <a href="https://www.eskom.co.za">www.deat.gov.za</a>.

On 29 February 2008 Eskom awarded contracts for its "Bravo Project", a coal-fired power station to be built near Emalahleni in Mpumalanga by 2017. Site clearance for this station has already started. The first unit is planned to be online by 2013. The proposed Bravo Integration Project is necessary to integrate and connect Bravo Power Station (which will aid in the delivery of additional electricity supply) into the existing Eskom electricity network.

# **Bravo Integration Project**

The Bravo Integration project consists of the following five components:

#### <u>Phase 1: Sol – Camden By-Pass Power Line.</u>

The intention of Bravo 1 is to build two 400 kV by-pass lines for Zeus Substation, the two 400 kV lines from Sol Substation and the two 400 kV power lines from Camden Power Station will be disconnected from Zeus Substation and joined to each other to form two Camden- Sol 400 kV power lines. The location of the two by-pass lines is planned to be within approximately 10 km radius of the Zeus Substation. The project is located within the Govan Mbeki District Municipality.

### Phase 2: Apollo and Kendal loop in and loop out lines

Eskom propose to construct four new 400 kV overhead power lines, located within the Emalahleni Local Municipality in Mpumalanga, to loop in and out of Bravo Power Station. The existing Kendal-Apollo line will be looped in and out of Bravo to form the Bravo-Apollo and Bravo-Kendal lines. In addition, the existing Duvha-Minerva 400 kV overhead power line will be looped in and out of Bravo Power Station, to form the Bravo-Duvha and Bravo-Minerva lines. The study area in which the alternatives were selected is within the 10 km radius surrounding the new Bravo Power Station and each of the alternative 400 kV power lines will be not exceed 10 km in length.

#### Phase 3: Construction of a 400 kV power line from Bravo Power Station to Lulamisa Substation

In order for the Bravo Power Station to be integrated within the existing Eskom infrastructure, Eskom propose to construct a new 400 kV power line from the new Bravo Power Station to the existing Lulamisa Substation, near Diepsloot. This line will be approximately 150 km in length. The construction of this proposed 400 kV power line is aimed to ensure sufficient electricity supply to the Diepsloot and

Johannesburg North areas, where currently frequent electricity shortages are experienced. The alternative Bravo power line corridors are located on the eastern Highveld of Southern Africa. The corridors cover an area from Witbank in the east, to Diepsloot in the west.

#### Phase 4: Two new 70 km Kendal –Zeus 400 kV Power Lines

Eskom propose to construct two new 400 kV power lines, one from Bravo to Zeus and the other one from the Kendal Power Station (near Ogies) to the Zeus Substation (near Secunda), Mpumalanga. These lines will run parallel to each other and will be approximately 70 km's in length. The three alternative route corridors will be 5 km's wide. These three alternative corridors merge into two corridors approximately 30 km's from the Zeus Substation.

#### Phase 5: New 10 km Bravo-Vulcan Power Line (This report)

Eskom propose to construct a 400 kV overhead power line, by-passing the existing Duvha Substation, to form a new Bravo-Vulcan line near Emahlahleni, Mpumalanga. This by-pass line is planned to be approximately 10 km in length. The area to be investigated for this by-pass line is a 10 km radius surrounding the existing Duvha Substation.

Certain limitations do exist for the proposed power line; nevertheless, it does not influence the alternative selection, as this section of the route has only one alternative available. It is however, recommended that a detailed route analysis be undertaken by Eskom, as this report is part of an application for the entire corridor, more detailed work will be required.

#### Purpose of this Report

This report constitutes the Final Environmental Impact Report, a key component of the Environmental Authorisation Process for Phase 3 Construction of new Bravo (Kusile) to Lulamisa 400 kV power line.

#### Environmental Impact Assessment Process

An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the proposed Bravo Integration Project –Bravo 4: Construction of a 400 kV line Duvha by-pass overhead power line has been undertaken in accordance with the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations promulgated in terms of Section 24 (5) of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA). This EIA was undertaken in order to identify environmental issues associated with the proposed project, and determine which issues require further investigation.

To ensure effective public participation in this EIA phase, the public participation process was implemented in stages. This process included the identification of, and consultation with all relevant stakeholders, as well as ongoing communication and networking with I&APs throughout the duration of the project. Issues and concerns raised during this process were compiled in an Issues and Response Report, and included within the Scoping, and this Final Environmental Impact Report.

The Draft Environmental Impact Report was made available for public review. During this review period, public feedback meetings were held to discuss the report. Comments received from the public have been captured within this Final Environmental Impact Report, which is to be presented to the National Departments of Environment Affairs and Tourism for comment, consideration and authorisation.

#### **Conclusion**

This section provides a short sensitivity matrix, which compares the three different alternatives and their associated environmental sensitivities.

Sensitivity	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
Geology	None	None	None
Climate	None	None	None
Topography	None	None	None
Land Use	Traverses short section of ash dump, surrounding land used as grazing for cattle	Traverses Witbank Dam and farmland	Traverses Witbank Dam and agricultural land
Surface Water	Traverses only a short section of the unnamed tributaries on site	Traverses a large section of the Witbank Dam	Traverses the largest Section of the Witbank Dam
Soils & Land Capability	Mainly agricultural and non sensitive soils	Along sensitive wetland and clay soils	Along sensitive wetland and clay soils
Flora	None	Sensitive vegetation units and plants present	Sensitive vegetation units and plants present
Fauna	None	None	None
Wetlands	None	Traverses wetland	Traverses wetland
Visual	Low Visibility	Moderate visibility	Highly visibility
Social	Low to None - Site	Low– Site specific	Low- Site specific

	specific		
Heritage	Low	Low	Low
<b>Total Sensitivities</b>	1	4	4

On the basis of the matrix presented above, it is suggested that the Bravo 5 Alternative 1 be utilised as the preferred alternative for the proposed project, as it has the least sensitive features associated with the alignment.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SE	CTION		PAGE
1	INTI	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Background Information	1
	1.2	Purpose and Motivation for the Proposed Project	2
	1.3	The Project Team	4
	1.4	Project Progress	5
2	LEG	AL CONTEXT	8
	2.1	National Environmental Management Act (No 107 of 1998)	8
	2.2	Environmental Conservation Act (Act No 73 of 1989)	10
	2.3	Additional Legal Requirements and Frameworks	11
3	ENV	TRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROCESS	13
	3.1	Study Approach and Progress to Date	13
	3.2	Draft Environmental Impact Assessment Report and Environmental	
		Management Plan	18
	3.3	Announcement of opportunity to comment on findings	19
	3.4	Distribution	19
	3.5	Methods of public review and obtaining comments	20
	3.6	Issues and Response Report and acknowledgements	20
4	ISSU	JES AND CONCERNS RAISED	22
	4.1	Authorities	22
	4.2	Stakeholders	22
5	DES	CRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES	23
	5.1	Activity to be undertaken	23
	5.2	Location23	
	5.3	Description of the Development Activities	23
6	TEC	HNOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED	29
	6.1	Project Alternatives	29
	6.2	Route Alternatives	29
	6.3	Design Alternatives	30
	6.4	The No-Go Alternative	32
7	BAS	ELINE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT	33
	7.1	Bio-Physical Environment	33
	7.2	Cultural Environment	81
	7.3	Socio-Economic Environment	87
8	IMP	ACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	106
	8.1	Significance Assessment	106
	8.2	Spatial Scale	107
	8.3	Duration Scale	108
	8.4	Degree of Probability	108
	8.5	Degree of Certainty	109
	8.6	Quantitative Description of Impacts	109

	8.7	Notation of Impacts	110
9	ALTE	ERNATIVE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	111
10	<b>IMPA</b>	CT ASSESSMENT	112
	10.1	Construction Phase	113
	10.2	Operational Phase	137
	10.3	Decommissioning Phase	139
	10.4	Impact Assessment Summary	140
11	ENVI	RONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN	145
	11.1	Introduction	145
	11.2	Purpose of this EMP	145
	11.3	Objectives of the EMP	146
	11.4	Legal Context	146
	11.5	Eskom and Contractor Commitment	147
	11.6	Reporting Structure	148
	11.7	Responsibilities and Duties	148
	11.8	Training 150	
	11.9	Commissioning of Tenders for the Project	151
	11.10	Environmental Authorisation	151
	11.11	Environmental Management Measures	152
	11.12	General Requirements during Construction	228
	11.13	Scheduling of Management Measures	229
	11.14	Site Documentation / Monitoring / Reporting	229
	11.15	Environmental Contact Persons	232
	11.16	Emergency Numbers	233
	11.17	Oil Spill Contact Numbers	233
12	ENVI	RONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	234
	12.1	Alternatives Assessed	234
	12.2	Environmental Aspects addressed in the EIA	235
	12.3	Summary of Impacts Identified	235
	12.4	Summary of Mitigation Measures Proposed	239
	12.5	EAP Opinion of the Preferred Alternative	239

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Environmental Assessment Practitioners.	5
Figure 2: Overview of the Bravo Integration Project.	7
Figure 3: Technical and public Participation process and activities that comprise the environimpact assessment for the proposed construction of a 400 kV by-pass line from duvha power and activities that comprise the environimpact assessment for the proposed construction of a 400 kV by-pass line from duvha power and activities that comprise the environimpact assessment for the proposed construction of a 400 kV by-pass line from duvha power and activities that comprise the environimpact assessment for the proposed construction of a 400 kV by-pass line from duvha power and activities that comprise the environimpact assessment for the proposed construction of a 400 kV by-pass line from duvha power and activities are also activities are also activities and activities are also activities are also activities and activities are also activities are also activities and activities are also activities and activities are also activities are also activities and activities are also activities and activities are also activities are also activities are also activities and activities are also activities are also activities and activities are also activities and activities are also	station.
Figure 4: Proposed Alternative Routes for the Duvha by-pass line	28
Figure 5: Overhead versus Underground Power Lines.	31
Figure 6: Regional Geology at Duvha Power Station.	35
Figure 7: The Witbank Dam on site	37
Figure 8: Regional Surface Water and Drainage Features at Duvha Power Station	38
Figure 9: Topography at Duvha Power Station.	40
Figure 10: Regional Soils at Duvha Power Station.	42
Figure 11: Mispah soil form (Memoirs on the Natural Resources of South Africa, no. 15, 1991)	43
Figure 12: Clovelly soil form (Soil Classification, 1991)	43
Figure 13: Hutton Soil Form (Soil Classification, 1991)	44
Figure 14: Katspruit Soil form (Soil Classification, 1991)	45
Figure 15: Regional Land Capability at Duvha Power Station.	47
Figure 16: Land Uses encountered on site.	49
Figure 17: Regional Land Use near Duvha Power Station.	50
Figure 18: Regional Vegetation near Duvha Power Station.	53
Figure 19: Disturbed / Grazed Vegetation.	55
Figure 20: Unditurbed Vegetatin.	56

Figure 21: Wetland and Riparian Communities.	56
Figure 22: Vegetation units found on site.	58
Figure 23: Avifaunal sensitivity.	63
Figure 24: Wetland and riparian zones.	67
Figure 25: Biodiversity rating.	72
Figure 26: Visual Impacts – Viewshed Alternative 1	78
Figure 27: Visual impacts – Viewshed Alternative 2.	79
Figure 28: Visual Impacts – Viewshed Altrernative 3/	80
Figure 29: The project Area to the south-east of Emahlaleni (Witbank) on the Eastern Mpumalanga Province (above). The Eskom Project Area is characterised by outstret and agricultural fields. Its southern part is marked by various kinds of development veased the historical character of the project area.	ched grass veldt which has largely
Figure 30: Graves located in the eastern portion of the study area	86
Figure 31: Comparative educational profile (Grouped) for the Study Area	90
Figure 32: Land use surrounding the Duvha power station	93
Figure 33: Land use east of the R544	94
Figure 34: Overview of Monthly Personal Income (2001 and 2007 compared)	97
Figure 35: Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs	101

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Eskom's Vision
Table 2: Advertisements placed during the announcement phase
Table 3: List of stakeholder meetings that were advertised and held as part of the public review period of the Draft Scoping Report.
Table 4: List of public places where the Draft Scoping Report was available
Table 5: Advertisements and announcements to announce the availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Assessment Report and the opportunity to comment on the findings of the EIA
Table 6: Public meetings to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Report
Table 7: Construction Schedule for the Bravo-Lulamisa 150 km power line
Table 8: Land Capability of the soils on site for agricultural use
Table 9: Avifauna Species List
Table 10: Conservation Status Determination
Table 11: Conservation Status Rating69
Table 12: Functional Status Determination69
Table 13: Functional Status Rating70
Table 14: Biodiversity Value Rating70
Table 15: Biodiversity Rating for the <i>Undisturbed/Natural grassland</i> unit
Table 16: Biodiversity Rating for the Disturbed/Grazed grassland unit
Table 17: Biodiversity Rating for the seepage areas and wetlands
Table 18: Visual Impact Assessment Criteria
Table 19: Summary of Population Characteristics
Table 20: Expected Demographic Change Processes

Table 21: Geographical Change Processes	94
Table 22: Summary of Employment and Economic Sectors	96
Table 23: Economical Change Processes	98
Table 24: Overview of Municipal Service Delivery to the Affected Areas	100
Table 25: Institutional & Empowerment Change Processes	102
Table 26: Socio-Cultural Change Processes	103
Table 27: Quantitative rating and equivalent descriptors for the impact assessment criteria	106
Table 28: Description of the significance rating scale.	107
Table 29: Description of the significance rating scale.	107
Table 30: Description of the temporal rating scale.	108
Table 31: Description of the degree of probability of an impact accruing	108
Table 32: Description of the degree of certainty rating scale	109
Table 33: Example of Rating Scale.	109
Table 34: Impact Risk Classes.	110
Table 35: Alternative Sensitivity Matrix	111
Table 36: Geology Additional Impact Assessment	113
Table 37: Soil and Land Capability Initial Impact Assessment	115
Table 38: Soil Impact	115
Table 39: Soil and Land Capability Additional Impact Assessment – Alternative 1	116
Table 40: Soil and Land Capability Additional Impact Assessment – Alternatives 2 and 3	116
Table 41: Surface Water Initial Impact Rating	117
Table 42: Surface Water Additional Impact Rating	118

Table 43: Flora Initial Impact Assessment	119
Table 44: Flora Impact	119
Table 45: Flora Additional Impact Assessment – Alternative 1	119
Table 46: Flora Additional Impact Assessment – Alternative 2	120
Table 47: Flora Residual Impact Assessment	120
Table 48: Fauna Initial Impact Assessment	121
Table 49: Fauna Additional Impact Assessment – Alternative 1	122
Table 50: Fauna Additional Impact Assessment – Alternative 1	122
Table 51: Visual Impact Assessment – Initial Impact	123
Table 52: Visual Impact Assessment – Additional Impact	123
Table 53: Significance and co-ordinates of the graveyards in the project area	125
Table 54: Summary of Category 1 Impacts per Project Phase	130
Table 55: Summary of Category 2 Impacts per Project Phase	131
Table 56: Summary of Category 1 Impacts per Change Process	132
Table 57: Summary of Category 2 Impacts per Change Process	133
Table 58: Summary of Assessments (category 2 impacts)	135
Table 59: Fauna Additional Impact Rating – Operations	138
Table 60: Fauna Residual Impact Rating	138
Table 61: Summary of the Construction Phase Impacts	141
Table 62: Summary of the Operational Phase Impacts	143
Table 63: Legal Requirements for this emp.	146
Table 64: Environmental Management Measures during construction initiation	153

Table 65: Environmental Management Measures during site establishment and demarcation	156
Table 66: Environmental Management Measures for water management	164
Table 67: Environmental Management Measures for hazardous substance spills	168
Table 68: Environmental Management Measures for the delivery of materials	170
Table 69: Environmental Management Measures for building, civil's and structural steel work	171
Table 70: Environmental Management Measures for circuit breakers and current transformers	174
Table 71: Environmental Management Measures for access roads	176
Table 72: Environmental Management Measures for waste management	180
Table 73: Environmental Management Measures for fire prevention.	186
Table 74: Environmental Management Measures for designated storage areas	188
Table 75: Environmental Management Measures for tower positioning.	194
Table 76: Environmental Management Measures for claims from damages.	197
Table 77: Environmental Management Measures for erosion, donga and river crossings	199
Table 78: Environmental Management Measures for flora management	201
Table 79: Environmental Management Measures for fauna management	216
Table 80: Environmental Management Measures for interaction with adjacent landowners	219
Table 81: Environmental Management Measures for noise management.	221
Table 82: Environmental Management Measures for Infrastructure.	222
Table 83: Environmental Management Measures for archaeology.	224
Table 84: Environmental Management Measures for management of residential property	226
Table 85: Checklist for monitoring environmental performance on site.	229

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: List of Abbreviations	I
Appendix B: EIA Application Form	II
Appendix C: List of Potentially Affected Landowners	III
Appendix D: Project Locality Map	IV
Appendix E: DEAT Authorisation Requirements From FSR	V
Appendix F: Interested and Affected Parties Database	VI
Appendix G: Background Information Document and Stakeholder Comments	VII
Appendix H: Site Notices	VIII
Appendix I: Newspaper Advertisements	IX
Appendix J: Personalised letters to all individuals and organisations on the mailing list	X
Appendix K: Issues and Response Report	XI
Appendix L: Minutes of Public Meeting	XII
Appendix M: EMP Audit Inspection Protocol	XIII
Appendix N: EMP Schedule	XIV
Appendix O: Transmission Environmental Policy (TPL41-435)	XV
Appendix P: Transmission line tower and line construction	XVI
Appendix Q: Stringing of conductors and connection of droppers	XVII
Appendix R: Specialist Studies	XVIII
Appendix S: Electric and magnetic field report	XIX
Appendix T: TRMSCAAC1 rev 3	XX
Appendix U: TRMSCAA1	XXI
Appendix V: possible health effects of EMF {Eskom Holdings Limited (Pretorius 2006)}	XXII