Ê)Eskom	Pr	ocedure	Nuclear Engineering
Title:	Safety Evaluation	Process	Document Number:	240-143604773
	(Process for performi Screenings, Safety E Justifications)		Alternative Reference Number:	KAA-709
			Area of Applicability:	Engineering
			Functional Area:	Nuclear Engineering
			Revision:	3
			Total Pages:	29
			Next Review Date:	March 2024
			Disclosure Classification:	Controlled Disclosure
Comp	biled by	Functional	Responsibility	Authorised by
	Pretorius	- Jan	mus	ARadele

B Mashele

Senior Manager

Nuclear Engineering

Date: 2021-03-03

File name: KAA-709 Safety Evaluation Process 240-143604773 Rev 3.docx Template ID: 32-4 (Rev 11) Document template (for procedures, manuals, standards, instructions, etc.

M Hermanus

Safety Case Group

Date: 2020-10-22

Manager

C Pretorius

Senior Engineer

Date: 2020-10-21

Safety Case Group

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier: Revision:	240-143604773 3
	Page:	2 of 29

Nuclear Additional Classification Information

Business Level:	3
Working Document:	3
Importance Classification:	CSR S11206
NNR Approval:	Yes – k27233N
Safety Committee Approval:	Νο
ALARA Review:	Νο
Functional Control Area:	Safety Case Group

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Content

		Page
1.	Introduction	4
2.	Supporting Clauses	4
	2.1 Scope	4
	2.2 Normative and Informative References	5
	2.3 Definitions	6
	2.4 Abbreviations	
	2.5 Roles and Responsibilities	
	2.6 Process for Monitoring	
	2.7 Related or Supporting Documents	13
3.	Process	
	3.1 General	
	3.2 Initiation	
	3.3 Safety Screening	
	3.4 Safety Evaluation	
	3.5 Safety Justification	
	3.6 Safety Case	
	3.7 Approval	
	3.8 Implementation of an Activity3.9 Validity	
	-	
4.	Acceptance	
5.	Revisions	16
6.	Development Team	17
7.	Acknowledgements	17
8.	Appendices	18
App	pendix 1 – Work Flow Responsibility Matrix	19
Арр	pendix 2 – Editorial Changes for Safety Screenings	24
App	pendix 3 – Safety Screening (Form KFA-047 240-146184895)	25
App	pendix 4 – Safety Evaluation (Form KFA-048 240-146210185)	26
App	pendix 5 – Initiating Event Review List	27
Арр	pendix 6 – Licence Impact (Form KFA-049 240-146211153)	
App	pendix 7 – Justification	29

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier: Revision:	240-143604773 3
	Page:	4 of 29

1. Introduction

Koeberg Nuclear Power Station's Safety Evaluation Process is part of the plant's licence to operate and is in continual use. This document guides the Safety Evaluation Process.

2. Supporting Clauses

2.1 Scope

The document covers the compilation and administration of the Safety Evaluation Process, whose use includes, but is not limited to, the following areas.

- **2.1.1.1** Changes to the plant modifications and temporary alterations (TAFs). This includes CSR, SR, AR and NSA Designs, Minor Modifications and temporary changes;
- **2.1.1.2** Changes to off-site structures or parameters that may affect Koeberg plant or design basis (as defined in KAA-558 [HV yard and off-site supplies]);
- **2.1.1.3** Changes to the SAR, OTS, SRSM, Chemical Specifications, SAMGs, Emergency Plan, EOPs and RPLR;
- 2.1.1.4 Special tests or experiments;
- **2.1.1.5** Justification for Continued Operation;
- **2.1.1.6** Changes to procedures (as defined in KAA-500);
- **2.1.1.7** Changes to set-points referenced in the SAR, OTS, SRSM, ChemSpec, SAMGs, Emergency Plan, EOPs and RPLR;
- 2.1.1.8 Changes to the In-Service Inspection programme (as defined in KAA-572);
- 2.1.1.9 Degraded or changed plant conditions;
- **2.1.1.10** Maintenance Programme waivers;
- 2.1.1.11 Classification downgrades;
- 2.1.1.12 Changes concerning containers and casks for storage and transport of nuclear fuel;
- 2.1.1.13 Equivalencies.

2.1.2 Purpose

- **2.1.2.1** To describe the process and responsibilities for performing Safety Screenings, Safety Evaluations and Safety Justifications for activities or plant conditions, the consequence of which could have an impact on the Koeberg Design Basis or Koeberg Current Licensing Basis.
- **2.1.2.2** To ensure consistency of Safety Screenings, Safety Evaluations and Safety Justifications.
- **2.1.2.3** To ensure quality and configuration control of the Safety Evaluation Process.

2.1.3 Applicability

This document shall apply throughout the Koeberg Operating Unit (KOU).

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier:	240-143604773
	Revision:	3
	Page:	5 of 29

2.2 Normative and Informative References

The most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs shall apply when using this document.

2.2.1 Normative References

- [1] KSA-011: The Requirements for Controlled Documents
- [2] KSA-066: (240-146271009) Standard for Nuclear Design and Licensing Basis Evaluations
- [3] NEI 96-07: Guidelines for 10 CFR 50.59 Implementation.

2.2.2 Informative References

- [4] 238-54: Radiological Protection Licensing Requirements for Koeberg Nuclear Power Station
- [5] 238-19: Generation Division Radiation Protection Manual
- [6] KAA-500: The Process for Controlled Documents
- [7] KAA-501: Project Management Process for Koeberg Nuclear Power Station Modifications
- [8] KAA-502: Project Management Process for New Facilities and Changes to Existing Facilities at Koeberg Nuclear Power Station
- [9] KAA-503: Modifications to Simulator
- [10] 331-143 KAA-504: The Equivalency Process to Change Plant
- [11] KAA-505: Modifications to Software on the KIT System
- [12] 331-88 KAA-506: Temporary Alterations to Plant, Plant Structures or Operating Parameters that Affect the Design Base
- [13] KAA-558: Modifications to Off Site Plant and Structures that Affect the Safety and Operation of Koeberg Nuclear Power Station (HV yard, off-site alternative supply and Interconnected Power System)
- [14] 331-91 KAA-562: Control of Equipment and Software Classifications
- [15] 331-177 KAA-572: Process and Responsibilities for the Development and Implementation of the In-Service Inspection Programme
- [16] KAA-598: SHE Management System
- [17] KAA-647: Control of Non-Routine Testing and Infrequently Performed Activities
- [18] KAA-665: KORC Constitution
- [19] KAA-688: The Corrective Action Process
- [20] KAA-689: Control of the Operating Technical Specifications
- [21] KAA-690: Operability Determinations
- [22] KAA-697: Control of the Safety Analysis Report
- [23] KAA-737: Process for the Review of Fuel Management Strategy or Fuel Design Changes
- [24] KAA-803: Processing Minor Modifications
- [25] KAA-815: Design Changes To Plant, Plant Structures Or Operating Parameters
- [26] KAA-847: Control of the Safety Related Surveillance Manual

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier:	240-143604773
	Revision:	3
	Page:	6 of 29

- [27] KAA-835 (was KAM-534): Work Activity Monitoring Process
- [28] KAB-018: The Operating Department Procedure Change Process
- [29] KGA-018: Safety Case Preparation
- [30] KGA-025: (240-142639998) Safety Screening and Safety Evaluation Guide
- [31] KGA-029: Safety Justification Preparation
- [32] 331-94: Importance Category Classification Listing
- [33] KTA-001: Training and Qualification Requirements for Nuclear Safety Review Committees
- [34] KTA-005: (240-146088803) Training and Qualification Requirements for Safety Screenings and Evaluations
- [35] KBA0022OTS0000001: Operating Technical Specifications
- [36] KBA0022SRSM000000: Safety Related Surveillance Manual
- [37] KBA0022CHEMSPEC00: Chemical Specifications
- [38] 36-197: KLBM Koeberg Licensing Basis Manual

2.3 Definitions

- **2.3.1** Authorised Safety Screener or Safety Evaluator A person who has been authorised, according to KTA-005 requirements, to perform Safety Screenings and Safety Evaluations.
- **2.3.2** Current Licensing Basis CLB refers to the Koeberg Safety Case applicable at any time during operation of the plant, all license-binding documentation and NNR licence documents. Project management documentation applicable during licensing stages (e.g. during modifications), which are not relevant to the operational phase, must not be included. The CLB therefore includes:
 - Safety Analysis Report (SAR)
 - General Operating Rules (GOR)
 - Other safety related programmes applicable during licensing
 - SAR and GOR supporting documentation
 - Any other licensing documentation (KLBM).
- **2.3.3 Design Basis** Specific functions performed by systems, structures and components (SSCs) and specific values or ranges of values chosen for controlling functions as defined by design reference bounds. Design Basis functions are functions performed by SSCs that are (1) required by, or otherwise necessary to comply with, regulations, license conditions, orders or technical specifications, or (2) credited in licensee safety analyses.

The following documents should be used for design basis information for SSCs:

- SAR;
- DSE;
- Protection Design Files;
- Set Point Manuals.

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier:	240-143604773
-	Revision:	3
	Page:	7 of 29

- **2.3.4 General Operating Rules** The GOR comprises safety documentation in support of the SAR and includes programmes for the control of operating, maintenance, inspection and radiological protection. Koeberg documentation that forms part of the GOR includes the following:
 - Site Emergency Plan
 - Operating Technical Specifications;
 - Safety Related Surveillance Manual;
 - Radiological Protection Standard;
 - Maintenance Bases Programme;
 - Surveillance, Inspection and Testing Programmes;
 - Normal, Incident and Emergency Operating Procedures;
 - The bases for the GOR are derived from the SAR, and therefore the GOR cannot be revised or amended without first confirming that the assumptions or statements made in the SAR will not be invalidated. If these assumptions or statements are no longer valid, then the safety analyses must be re-done, or statements in the SAR amended, to justify the change in the GOR.
- **2.3.5** Important to Safety an important to safety SSC is that which is:
 - Importance Category classified CSR or SR;
 - An SSC function whose failure could prevent satisfactory accomplishment of the safety functions specified for SSCs classified as CSR or SR;
 - An SSC whose failure could result in generating an accident initiating event;
 - Used for post-accident monitoring of systems and plant parameters (e.g. indications and alarms used in the EOPs and FRPs).
- **2.3.6** Modification Any change, deletion or addition to structures, systems or components, or part thereof, or changes to operating parameters.
- **2.3.7 Originator** A person appointed by the technical group or department that is best suited to ensure that the proposed activity or condition is correctly defined, reviewed and authorised in accordance with applicable procedures.
- 2.3.8 Safety Evaluation Process Safety Screening, Safety Evaluation and Safety Justification
- **2.3.8.1** Safety Screening The process used to determine whether an activity or condition could have an impact on the design or licensing basis.
- 2.3.8.1.1 Screen In The Safety Screening screens in to the Safety Evaluation Process if the Safety Screening determines that the activity or condition could have an impact on the design basis or licensing basis.
- **2.3.8.1.2 Screen Out** The Safety Screening screens out to the Safety Evaluation Process if the Safety Screening determines that the activity or condition does not have an impact on the design basis or licensing basis.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier: Revision:	240-143604773 3
	Page:	8 of 29

- **2.3.8.2** Safety Evaluation The process used to compare the safety impact of a proposed change to the originally analysed condition as documented in the SAR.
- **2.3.8.3 Un-reviewed Safety Question (USQ)** A proposed change, test, experiment, or plant condition shall be deemed to involve an un-reviewed safety question if:
 - there may be an increase in the frequency of occurrence or the consequences of an accident or malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the safety analysis documents;
 - the design basis limit for a fission product barrier is exceeded or altered, or there is a departure from a method of evaluation used in the design basis or accident analysis;
 - there is a more than minimal increase in Koeberg baseline risk;
 - a possibility is created for an accident of a different type, or a malfunction of an SSC with a different result, than previously evaluated in the safety analysis documents;
- **2.3.8.4** Safety Justification The technical basis for demonstrating the safety of:
 - a proposed change to the SAR;
 - a proposed or potential change to the plant;
 - a proposed special test or experiment;
 - a proposed change to the OTS, SRSM, Chemical Specifications, SAMGs, Emergency Plan, EOPs or RPLR;
 - continued or limited operation of otherwise inoperable equipment,

when the proposed activity or condition constitutes a USQ.

Notes:

- EPRs, PSA studies, and World or EDF experience are "tools" for performing Safety Justifications.
- For every Safety Justification, a Safety Evaluation must be performed to identify whether or not there is a USQ and to address aspects that might not be addressed in the Safety Justification.
- A Safety Justification may also be performed to justify activities or conditions where no USQ is identified but a detailed justification is required for complete understanding.
- **2.3.8.5** Safety Case A submission to a reviewer or review body to demonstrate that:
 - The activities, including installation activities, have been evaluated for their safety impact including with respect to that previously analysed in the SAR;
 - The Safety Justification has been performed if the activity or condition involves a USQ;
 - The impact on all operating processes has been considered and changes or updates are identified where necessary. This includes updates to the SAR.
- **2.3.9** Temporary Alteration A temporary change made to plant equipment, components, or systems that do not conform to approved configurations or existing design parameters.

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier: Revision:	240-143604773 3
	Page:	9 of 29

2.4 Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Explanation
AR	Availability Related – Importance category
CLB	Current Licensing Basis
CSR	Critically Safety Related – Importance category
DPSA	Deterministic and Probabilistic Safety Assessment
DSE	Elementary System Description (Dossier de Système Élémentaire)
EDF	Electricité de France
EOP	Emergency Operating Procedure
EP	Emergency Plan
GOR	General Operating Rules
KAPS	Koeberg Accident Procedures Sub-committee
KLBM	Koeberg Licensing Basis Manual
KORC	Koeberg Operations Review Committee
KOSC	Koeberg Operability Sub-Committee
KSCG	Koeberg Safety Case Group
NAR	Nuclear Approval Request
NNR	National Nuclear Regulator
NSA	Not Safety or Availability related – Importance category
OTS	Operating Technical Specifications (considered to be part III-6 of the SAR)
PSA	Probabilistic Safety Assessment
RPLR	Radiological Protection Licensing Requirements
SAMG	Severe Accident Management Guideline
SAR	Safety Analysis Report
SDRG	Safety Documentation Review Group
SR	Safety Related – Importance category
SRSM	Safety Related Surveillance Manual (previously part of OTS)
SSC	System, Structure, or Component
TD&RM	Technical Documents and Records Management
USQ	Unreviewed Safety Question

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

2.5 Roles and Responsibilities

2.5.1 Originator

Responsibilities include:

- conducting an activity that incorporates the Safety Evaluation Process, obtaining input from an authorised safety screener or safety evaluator and ensuring that the Safety Evaluation Process document is approved as required;
- liaising with relevant group(s) to implement changes to CLB documents, e.g. OTS, SRSM, SAR and, where applicable, with the Koeberg Licensing Group to obtain NNR approval;
- ensuring that the proposed activity (change, test or experiment) or condition is correctly defined, reviewed, authorised and the solution is implemented in accordance with applicable procedures.

2.5.2 Preparer (Compiler)

Responsibilities include:

- ensuring valid authorisation as a safety screener or safety evaluator;
- confirming that the latest revision of the applicable forms is used;
- being knowledgeable of the proposed activity (change, test or experiment) or condition;
- performing and processing Safety Screenings and Safety Evaluations through preparation, review, approval, uploading to SharePoint and submission to TD&RM for records retention;
- assessing the impact on technical specifications, licence conditions and SAR, and resolving issues with the independent reviewer as appropriate;
- recognising when specialist assistance and advice is necessary (including from KORC), and obtaining it;
- identifying additional reviews that may be required;
- liaising with the Koeberg Licensing Group if a USQ is identified, in order to develop a licensing framework involving all relevant departments as required and to inform the NNR;
- ensuring that the archiving of KAA-709 records, with KIS reference PH5.3.3, is included on the Group's Record Retention Matrix (Quality Records List: KFI-RE-007);
- creating a Record Cover Slip (KFI-RE-001) with KIS reference PH5.3.3 and Record Transfer Acknowledgement (KFI-RE-004), and ensuring that "Safety Case Group" is included in the 'Distribution / Copies' block at the bottom-left of the KFI-RE-001 form;
- sending the Record Cover Slip and the signed original of the relevant Safety Evaluation Process documents to TD&RM within 4 weeks of approval of the document.

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier: Revision:	240-143604773 3
	Page:	11 of 29

2.5.3 Independent Reviewer

An independent reviewer must not have any direct responsibility for or involvement in the work under review.

Responsibilities include:

- ensuring valid authorisation as a safety evaluator;
- performing independent reviews of Safety Evaluation Process documents;
- assessing the impact on technical specifications, licence conditions and SAR, and resolving issues with the preparer, responsible managers or Koeberg Licensing Group, as applicable;
- verifying that the correct process requirements have been followed;
- identifying additional reviews as necessary.

2.5.4 Additional Reviewer (Safety Evaluation)

An additional review group can be specified in a Safety Evaluation for it to be reviewed by a Subject Matter Expert (SME). It is not required that Additional Reviewers be authorised as safety screener or safety evaluator.

Responsibilities include:

- performing an independent subject matter review of a Safety Evaluation;
- assessing the impact of the activity or condition on plant equipment, operation, reliability and safety.

2.5.5 Approver (Safety Screening)

Responsibilities include:

- approving Safety Screening documents;
- ensuring that applicable reviews have been performed;
- must be an authorised safety screener or safety evaluator.

2.5.6 KORC, KOSC and KAPS Chairman

The KORC Chairman responsibilities include approving Safety Evaluations, Safety Justifications and Safety Cases.

KOSC and KAPS are sub-committees of KORC, and approvals may be delegated to these committees and their Chairmen, where required.

2.5.7 DPSA Group Manager

The Deterministic and Probabilistic Safety Assessment Group responsibilities include:

• ensuring independent review of Safety Evaluations and Safety Justifications by an authorised safety evaluator.

2.5.8 Group Heads, Department Heads

Responsibilities include:

- recommending personnel to be qualified in accordance with the requirements of KTA-005 to perform Safety Screenings and Safety Evaluations;
- ensuring Safety Screenings and Safety Evaluations are prepared according to this procedure;
- assigning responsibilities to safety screeners and safety evaluators with applicable authorisation for preparing and reviewing Safety Evaluation Process documents in accordance with this procedure;
- approving Safety Screenings, where applicable, only if authorised as a safety screener or safety evaluator.

2.5.9 Koeberg Safety Case Group Manager

Responsibilities include:

- providing a process for the tracking of Safety Screening, Safety Evaluation and Safety Justification documents;
- monitoring technical adequacy by assessing samples of completed Safety Screenings and Safety Evaluations see paragraph 2.6 below.

2.5.10 Nuclear Engineering Manager

Responsibilities include:

- providing oversight of the Safety Evaluation Process and implementation of the requirements of this procedure;
- ensuring that station-wide personnel qualification and training requirements are in place for preparation and review of all Safety Screenings and Safety Evaluations;
- ensuring, as custodian of the Koeberg design and licence basis, independent review of Safety Evaluations and Safety Justifications – see paragraphs 2.5.7 above and 2.6 below.

2.5.11 TD&RM

Responsibilities include:

- saving all submitted Safety Screening, Safety Evaluation and Safety Justification documents for retrieval via Excalibur;
- sending copies of the saved document with the archive reference number to the originator, including the Koeberg Safety Case Group in the distribution list.

2.5.12 Training Management

Responsibilities include:

• ensuring that the process for authorising safety screeners and safety evaluators is implemented in accordance with KTA-005.

Safety Evaluation Process Unique	e Identifier: 240-143604	773
Revisio	on: 3	
Page:	13 of 29	

2.5.13 Implementer

Responsibilities include:

- implementing the activity following approval of the relevant governing process and the Safety Evaluation Process document(s);
- verifying that the Safety Screening, Safety Evaluation, Safety Justification, Safety Case and NAR are valid, as applicable.

Where plant modifications are involved, responsibilities are:

- compiling and presenting the Safety Case, where applicable;
- liaising with relevant groups to execute changes to documents affected by the design, which may include SAR, OTS, SRSM, etc. Liaise also, where applicable, with the Koeberg Licensing Group to obtain NNR approval.

2.6 Process for Monitoring

It is the responsibility of the KSCG (Koeberg Safety Case Group), ref. 2.5.9 above, to assess samples of completed Safety Screenings and Safety Evaluations for technical adequacy. This is done by randomly selecting between seven and ten Safety Screenings and between two and five Safety Evaluations per year A short report, defined by the KSCG, is produced for each assessment and is saved in a folder of the Safety Case Group on the g:drive.

2.7 Related or Supporting Documents

- **2.7.1** The Safety Evaluation Process Guide 240-142639998 (KGA-025) explains various aspects of this document.
- **2.7.2** This document supersedes 240-143604773 (revision 2).
- **2.7.3** Concerning 2.6 above, a short, informal report, defined by the KSCG, is produced for assessments and is saved in a folder of the Safety Case Group on the g:drive.
- **2.7.4** Safety Screenings, Safety Evaluations, Safety Justifications and Safety Cases are permanent plant records stored for the lifetime of the station.

3. Process

3.1 General

The Process phases are defined below.

3.2 Initiation

The Safety Evaluation Process is initiated when a change process that is to be performed, or an existing or potential plant condition, could affect the licensing basis or the safety-related design of the plant described in the SAR. Activities as listed in 2.1 initiate the process.

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier:	240-143604773
	Revision:	3
	Page:	14 of 29

The SharePoint application 'Nuclear Safety Screenings' is used to initiate the Safety Evaluation Process by using the [New Safety Screening] button and entering a title for the Safety Screening. The SharePoint application then presents the Safety Screening for editing in MS Word.

Safety Screenings and Safety Evaluations may also be done outside of the SharePoint application where necessary, either using numbers assigned by SharePoint or using numbers assigned by the KSCG.

3.3 Safety Screening

The activity or condition is screened to determine whether or not it could have an impact on the design or licensing basis.

Safety Screening preparation is guided by Appendix S of KGA-025.

3.4 Safety Evaluation

The change, test, or condition is evaluated for its safety impact on the Current Licensing Basis.

Safety Evaluation preparation is guided by Appendix E of KGA-025.

3.5 Safety Justification

A Safety Justification gives the technical basis for demonstrating the safety of:

- a proposed change to the SAR, OTS, SRSM, SAMGs, Emergency Plan, EOPs or RPLR,
- a potential or proposed change to the plant,
- a proposed special test or experiment,
- continued or limited operation of otherwise inoperable equipment,

when the proposed activity (change, test or experiment) or condition constitutes a USQ.

See the Safety Justification Preparation guide, KGA-029 (240-156067953).

3.6 Safety Case

A compiled document that demonstrates that the Safety Evaluation Process has been applied to an activity or condition to assess its safety impact, that there is a Safety Justification if a USQ was identified, that the impact has been considered on all operating processes, SAR, OTS, SRSM, SAMGs, Emergency Plan, EOPs and RPLR, and that changes or updates are identified where necessary.

A Safety Case includes more than described above, see KGA-018 (240-153364501).

3.7 Approval

Safety Screenings are approved by an authorised safety screener or evaluator and Safety Evaluations, Safety Justifications and Safety Cases are presented to KORC, or its sub-committees KOSC or KAPS for approval.

Safety Screenings and Safety Evaluations that are approved using the SharePoint work-flow process are signed and dated, and uploaded to SharePoint for TD&RM to process.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier: Revision:	240-143604773 3
	Page:	15 of 29

Safety Screenings, Safety Evaluations and Safety Justifications that are approved <u>not</u> using SharePoint are sent with cover-slip to TD&RM for archiving.

3.8 Implementation of an Activity

Safety Cases are presented to KORC or its sub-committee KOSC for approval of the implementation of the activity in accordance with the relevant Koeberg procedures.

3.9 Validity

- **3.9.1** Safety screenings, Safety Evaluations and Safety Justifications are valid as long as the specified plant conditions and design base documentation remain the same. However, if plant conditions change or relevant documentation changes before implementation, a safety screening must be performed.
- **3.9.2** For plant modifications, a Safety Screening will be performed before any plant change is implemented should 18 months elapse since the approval of the applicable Safety Evaluation Process document(s).
- **3.9.3** A Safety Screening must be performed with every extension of an installed Temporary Alteration.
- **3.9.4** In the case of a non-conformance, a Safety Screening, Safety Evaluation or Safety Justification is valid for one fuel cycle. The conditional release and associated safety documentation must be reviewed before start-up of the unit, following a refuelling outage.
- **3.9.5** Safety Evaluation Process documents compiled for a specific change may not be used in more than one change process, unless it can be shown, through an additional Safety Screening, that the initial compilation satisfies the intent and impact of the additional change process.
- **3.9.6** If a review or revision is required, the latest version of the applicable document of this procedure must be used.

The Work Flow Responsibility Matrix is given in Appendix 1.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier:	240-143604773
	Revision:	3
	Page:	16 of 29

4. Acceptance

This minor update has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	
Shivani Fagan	Senior Physicist - PSA	

5. Revisions

The validity statements of paragraph 3.9 were unintentionally left out of revision 2 of this document and are now included, with this revision set to revision 3.

Note: Start with the latest Revision History in the first row and go backwards.

240-143604773 (KAA-709) Safety Evaluation Process

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
March 2021	3	Cate Pretorius	Inclusion of 3.9 'Validity', and minor clarifications and updates.
May 2019	2	Cate Pretorius	Revision of old format Koeberg document KAA-709 rev. 6 to rev. 2 in the current 240 number format
May 2019	1	Cate Pretorius	Revision 1 is skipped to aid management of the Safety Evaluation Process and forms
November 2018	0	Cate Pretorius	Draft of old format Koeberg document KAA-709 to the current 240 number format

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
March 2021	3	Cate Pretorius	The header is updated and the drop-down menu for 1.1 "This Safety Screening is for:" is updated.
May 2019	2	Cate Pretorius	Revision of old format Koeberg form KFA-047 rev. 1 to rev. 2 in the current 240 number format
May 2019	1	Cate Pretorius	Revision 1 is skipped to aid management of the Safety Evaluation Process and forms
November 2018	0	Cate Pretorius	Draft of old format Koeberg form KFA-047 rev. 1 to the current 240 number format

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
March 2021	3	Cate Pretorius	The header is updated and the drop-down menu for 1.0 "A Safety Evaluation is required for:" is updated.
May 2019	2	Cate Pretorius	Revision of old format Koeberg form KFA-048 rev. 1 to rev. 2 in the current 240 number format
May 2019	1	Cate Pretorius	Revision 1 is skipped to aid management of the Safety Evaluation Process and forms
November 2018	0	Cate Pretorius	Draft of old format Koeberg form KFA-048 rev. 1 to the current 240 number format

240-146211153	(KFA-049)	Licence Impact Form	
240-1402111331	(NI A-043)		

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
March 2021	3	Cate Pretorius	The header is updated and 3.0 is updated.
May 2019	2	Cate Pretorius	Revision of old format Koeberg form KFA-049 rev. 1 to rev. 2 in the current 240 number format
May 2019	1	Cate Pretorius	Revision 1 is skipped to aid management of the Safety Evaluation Process and forms
November 2018	0	Cate Pretorius	Draft of old format Koeberg form KFA-049 rev. 1 to the current 240 number format

6. Development Team

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- S Morgan: Document Custodian Safety Case Group
- C Pretorius: IPD-K Safety Case Group

7. Acknowledgements

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Calledy Evaluation (100033	Unique Identifier: Revision:	240-143604773 3
	Page:	18 of 29

8. Appendices

Appendix 1 – Work Flow Responsibility Matrix

Appendix 2 – Editorial Changes

- Appendix 3 Safety Screening Form (KFA-047 240-146184895)
- Appendix 4 Safety Evaluation Form (KFA-048 240-146210185)
- Appendix 5 List of Initiating Events
- Appendix 6 Licence Impact Form (KFA-049 240-146211153)
- Appendix 7 Justification
- <u>Note</u>: Forms KFA-047, KFA-048 and KFA-049 are available electronically in G:\Nuclear Engineering\Design Eng\SAFEVAL\Forms.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Safety	Evaluation	Process
--------	------------	---------

Unique Identifier:	240-143604773
Revision:	3

Page:

19 of 29

Appendix 1 – Work Flow Responsibility Matrix

[A] Initiation, [B] Safety Screening, [C] Safety Evaluation, [D] Safety Justification, [E] Implementation

WORK FLOW RESP	ONSIE	BILITY	MATE	RIX								NDIX 1	
				OR	GANIS	ATIO	N or F	UNCT	ION				
R – Responsible A – Approve													
 F - File - Outside Matrix Scope Y/N or N/Y - Decision C - Concur I - Informed S - Service [] - Mandatory Requirement () - As Appropriate / Required Flow Path 		ORIGINATOR	PREPARER - AUTHORISED SAFETY SCREENER or SAFETY EVALUATOR	REVIEWER AUTHORISED SAFETY EVALUATOR	APPROVER - AUTHORISED SAFETY SCREENER or SAFETY EVALUATOR	KORC, KOSC or KAPS	NNR	SDRG	KOEBERG LICENSING GROUP	DPSA	TD&RM		NOTES & REFERENCES
Main Flow Secondary Flow ACTIVITIES	1	2	3	4	ح 00 5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
		-	Ŭ		Ű	Ū		ů					Safety Evaluation Process
 An activity, plant condition or test is identified that requires assessment by the Safety Evaluation Process. 		[R]											KAA-500, KAA-501, KAA-503, KAA-504 (331-143), KAA-505, KAA 506 (331-88), KAA-558, KAA-562 (331-91), KAA-572 (331-177), KAA-647, KAA-689, KAA-690, KAA-697, KAA-737, KAA-803, KAA-815, KAA-847, KAB-018.
[B] SAFETY SCREENING													KFA-047
 Use the SharePoint 'Nuclear Safety Screenings' application. 			[R]										https://portal.eskom.co.za/site s/nss/_layouts/15/start.aspx#/ SitePages/Home.aspx
 Prepare the Safety Screening. The Safety Screening form is also available in the SafEval\Forms folder. 			[R]										Complete the Safety Screening KFA-047 presented by SharePoint. KGA-025 provides guidance.
 Review Safety Screening. The reviewer must not be involved with the activity or condition being screened. 				[R]									Reviewer must not approve the Safety Screening.
4. Does the activity or condition warrant being presented to KORC for information?			¥/N ⁻										
 5. Present to KORC. 6. Safety Screening approval preferably by another screener or evaluator. Approved? 			[R]-		[R] - Y/N	— [I]							Go back to relevant step for rework as required.
 Safety Screening approved not using SharePoint. (See paragraph 3.7 in this document. 			[R]										Send original, signed, approved Safety Screening to TD&RM within 4 weeks.
 Is a Safety Evaluation required? 			−Y/N										Go to [E] Implementation
		L											Go to [C] Safety Evaluation
[C] SAFETY EVALUATION													KFA-048

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Page:

240-143604773

3 20 of 29

WORK FLOW RESP	ONSIE	BILITY	MATE	RIX					age:	ļ	PPEN	NDIX 1	of 29
				OR	GANIS	ATIO	N or F	UNCT	ION				
 R – Responsible A – Approve F – File P Outside Matrix Scope Y/N or N/Y – Decision C – Concur I – Informed S – Service [] – Mandatory Requirement () – As Appropriate / Required Flow Path 		ORIGINATOR	PREPARER - AUTHORISED SAFETY SCREENER or SAFETY EVALUATOR	REVIEWER AUTHORISED SAFETY EVALUATOR	APPROVER - AUTHORISED SAFETY SCREENER or SAFETY EVALUATOR	KORC, KOSC or KAPS		SDRG	KOEBERG LICENSING GROUP	DPSA	TD&RM		NOTES & REFERENCES
Main Flow Secondary Flow		-					NNR				-		
ACTIVITIES 1. If a completed Safety Screening requires a Safety Evaluation, then SharePoint supplies a Safety Evaluation form with a Safety Evaluation number.	1	2	3	4 [R]	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	If the Safety Screening identified that a Safety Evaluation is required. The Safety Evaluation form KFA-048 is also available in the SafEval\Forms folder
 Prepare the Safety Evaluation. This includes having additional reviews done, if required. 				[R]									Complete the Safety Evaluation form KFA-048. KGA-025 provides guidance. Obtain other reviews as necessary.
3. Perform an evaluation on PSA, SAMGs and EP.										[R] —			Reviewer must be authorised as a safety evaluator
4. Perform an evaluation on EOPs.												(R)	Reviewer must be authorised as a safety evaluator
5. Review the Safety Evaluation.				[R]									Review to be performed by another authorised safety evaluator who has not been involved in the original preparation.
6. Is a USQ identified?				Y/N									
 Prepare the change package in accordance with the governing process. 		[R] -											KAA-500, KAA-501, KAA-503, KAA-504 (331-143), KAA-505, KAA 506 (331-88), KAA-558, KAA-562 (331-91), KAA-572 (331-177), KAA-647, KAA-689, KAA-690, KAA-697, KAA-737, KAA-803, KAA-815, KAA-847, KAB-018.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Safety Evaluation Process

Unique Identifier:	2
Revision:	3

240-143604773

									Р	age:			21	of 29
WORK FLOW RESP	ONS	SIB	ILITY	MATE	RIX						1	APPE	NDIX 1	
					OF	GAN	SATIC	DN / FU	JNCTI	ON				
R – Responsible														
A – Approve														
F – File														
Outside Matrix Scope														
Y/N or N/Y – Decision				~	щ									NOTES
C – Concur				INE	АТО					0				&
I – Informed				REE	ALU					OUF				REFERENCES
S – Service				۲ sc	ΥEΛ					9 GR				
[] – Mandatory Requirement				AUTHORISED SAFETY SCREENER	SAFETY EVALUATOR		KORC, KOSC or KAPS			KOEBERG LICENSING GROUP				
() – As Appropriate / Required			R	ED S/	ED S/	~	SC or			LICEN				
Flow Path:			ORIGINATOR	RISI	AUTHORISED	APPROVER	KOS			RG			-	
$\longleftrightarrow \longleftrightarrow$			liGIN	ТНО	THO	PRO	RC,	Ц	SDRG	EBE	DPSA	щ	TD&RM	
Main Flow Secondary Flow			OR	AU	AU	AP	Х О	NNR	SD	Х О	DP	SDE	TD	
ACTIVITIES	1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
8. Prepare a Safety Case.				[R]										KGA-018 describes criteria.
9. Review the Safety Case.					► [R]									
10.Present the Safety Evaluation and Safety Case, if performed, to KORC or its sub-committee KOSC or KAPS for approval.			[R]—				-[A]							KGA-018, KAA-665. The Safety Case confirms that all aspects have been considered for safe implementation. KORC may delegate responsibility to a sub-committee.
														See KAA-697 for SAR changes.
11.Approved?							Y/N -							Return to appropriate step in process for revision, etc.
12.Send the original, signed, approved document(s) to TD&RM within 4 weeks.					↓ [R] -									Go to [E] Implementation.
13.Evaluation identifies a USQ or requires a change to OTS, SRSM, or RPLR). Either:			-[R] ←											From [E] 3.
13.1 Cancel the initiating activity, OR			▶[R]											
13.2 Redesign, OR			►[R] —											Go to [A] Initiation.
13.3 Discuss with the Koeberg Licensing Group and prepare a Safety Justification.			▶ [R]_							_ [C]				Discuss safety framework and strategy. NNR must be notified as soon as possible of the existence of a USQ.
			L											

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Safety Evaluation Process

Unique Identifier:	24
Revision:	3

40-143604773

•			
)			

								Р	age:				of 29
WORK FLOW RESP	ONSIB	ILITY	MATE								APPEI	NDIX 1	
 R – Responsible A – Approve F – File Outside Matrix Scope 				OF	GANI	SATIC	DN / FU	JNCTI					
Y/N or N/Y – Decision C – Concur I – Informed S – Service [] – Mandatory Requirement () – As Appropriate / Required Flow Path: Main Flow Secondary Flow		ORIGINATOR	AUTHORISED SAFETY SCREENER	AUTHORISED SAFETY EVALUATOR	APPROVER	KORC, KOSC or KAPS	NNR	SDRG	KOEBERG LICENSING GROUP	DPSA	SDE	TD&RM	NOTES & REFERENCES
ACTIVITIES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
[D] SAFETY JUSTIFICATION													
1. Obtain a Safety Justification number.				[R]									From the Safety Case Group
2 Prepare and review a Safety Justification.				[R]									KSA-066, KGA-029.
3. Perform a PSA review.										[R]			Determine the impact on PSA. PSA reviewer should be authorised as a Safety Evaluator
 Perform a Design Engineering review. 											[R]		Review Design Base impact.
5. Prepare the change package in accordance with the governing process.		[R]											KAA-500, KAA-501, KAA-503, KAA-504 (331-143), KAA-505, KAA 506 (331-88), KAA-558, KAA-562 (331-91), KAA-572 (331-177), KAA-647, KAA-689, KAA-690, KAA-697, KAA-737, KAA-803, KAA-815, KAA-847, KAB-018.
6. Prepare a Safety Case.			[R]	-[R]									KGA-018.
 Present the Safety Justification and Safety Case to KORC for approval. 		↓ [R]—				– [A]							KGA-018. KORC may delegate to a sub-committee.
8. KORC approval received?					- Y/N -								Return to relevant step, as required.
 Forward the Safety Evaluation document(s) to the Koeberg Licensing Group. 		[R]											 Ensure OTS, SRSM and SAR updates, if required, are part of the Safety Case. Send original, signed, approved document(s) to TD&RM within 4 weeks.
10. Perform QC checks, obtain SDRG approval if it accompanies a document requiring NNR approval. Send the Safety Justification via the Licensing Group to the NNR.		[S] -						- [A] -	↓ [R] -				OTS, SRSM and SAR changes require SDRG approval. Go to [E] Implementation.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

WORK FLOW RESP	ONSI	BILITY	MATI	RIX							APPEN	NDIX 1	
				OF	GANI	SATIC	N/FL	JNCTI	ON				
R – Responsible													
A – Approve													
F – File													
Outside Matrix Scope													
Y/N or N/Y – Decision				~									NOTES
C – Concur			NER	ATOF									&
I – Informed			REEI	4LU/					OUP				REFERENCES
S – Service			, sci	, EV					GR				
[] – Mandatory Requirement			AUTHORISED SAFETY SCREENER	AUTHORISED SAFETY EVALUATOR					KOEBERG LICENSING GROUP				
() – As Appropriate / Required		R	D SA	D SA					CEN				
Flow Path:		IMPLEMENTOR	RISE	RISE	/ER				3G LI				
$\longleftrightarrow \longleftrightarrow$		LEM	19H	Ĕ	APPROVER	SC	r	ő	EBEF	AS	TD&RM	g	
Main Flow Secondary Flow		IMP	AUT	AUT	APF	KORC	NNR	SDRG	KOI	DPSA	TD8	KSCG	
ACTIVITIES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
[E] IMPLEMENTATION													From [B] 8, [C] 12, [D] 10.
1. NNR approval required?	ſ	N/Y											Refer to Appendix 6 (Licence Impact Form, KFA-
													049.)
2. Obtain NNR approval.							[A]-		- [R]				GGP-0805.
3. NNR approval received?							۲.						Go to [C] 13 if USQ is
							Ý/N						involved. Otherwise, return to relevant step, as required or cancel activity.
 Send original forms to TD&RM as records. Save the electronic copies of the completed Safety Evaluation document(s). 		[R]											See section 2.5.7.
5. TD&RM to notify KSCG on receiving the forms. KSCG to confirm completion, cover slip and return the forms.											[R]	_[S]	See section 2.5.13.
 Perform activity in accordance with governing process. 		[R]											KAA-500, KAA-501, KAA-503, KAA-504 (331-143), KAA-505, KAA 506 (331-88), KAA-558, KAA-562 (331-91), KAA-572 (331-177), KAA-647, KAA-689, KAA-690, KAA-697, KAA-737, KAA-803, KAA-815, KAA-847, KAB-018.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier:	240-143604773
	Revision:	3
	Page:	24 of 29

Appendix 2 – Editorial Changes for Safety Screenings

Optional: see KAA-709 Editorial Changes form in G:\Nuclear Engineering\Design Eng\SafEval\Forms

For editorial changes a <u>full</u> Safety Screening is not required, however a Safety Screening must be completed as a record, indicating in section 2.1 that it is for an editorial change, stating the applicable Editorial Change condition(s), not completing sections 3.0 to 5.0 and completing all other sections of the Safety Screening. It is optional to complete a KAA-709 Editorial Changes form.

Editorial changes are:

- 1. Incorporation of information already approved as required by KORC, NNR or SDRG (e.g. changes to match approved Operating Technical Specification changes or changes based on NNR requirements).
- 2. Editorial changes in text, tables or drawings:
 - corrections to grammar, punctuation, spelling, format or typographical errors, or the relocation of information;
 - minor changes that do not affect the intent of information;
 - changes to the table of contents or page numbers;
 - administrative number changes;
 - corrections of mistakes made during incorporation of requested changes (correct information has previously received a Safety Screening);
 - corrections of inconsistencies where there are documents supporting accurate information in another authorised document;
 - minor clarifications which involve rearranging information in the procedure or document to be more easily understood;
 - changes based on approved equivalency evaluations;
 - changes to position titles when no responsibilities or reporting chain for that position have changed;
 - · changes to references to other drawings or documents;
 - additions to or revisions of identification numbers already shown on other approved drawings or documents

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Appendix 3 – Safety Screening (Form KFA-047 240-146184895)

Revision 3 of this form is available at: G:\Nuclear Engineering\Design Eng\SAFEVAL\Forms

			Document	240-146184895	
G	Eskom	Safety Screening	Identifier	KFA-047	Rev
de calonn		Safety Evaluation Process	Effective Date	October 2020	
		KAA-709 (240-143604773), Appendix 3	Review Date	October 2023	
		No: S	Rev.		
Reas	on for Revision:				
		CONCLUSION			
Is a S	afety Evaluation Requi	red (according to section 4.0 or 5.0)? Choo.		Safety Evaluation r	no: E
Is NN	IR approval required (ac	coording to Section 6.0 or 7.0)? Choo	se an item.		
PREF	PARED BY:				
	r	225000.000	0		
(Prep		Signature: prover to be authorised in accordance with KT/		lick here to enter	auate
8 18	PENDENTLYREVIEW		- Si		
INDE	I LADERILI REVIEW				
Name		Signature:	C	lick here to enter	o doto
	ewerto be authorised a		0	non nere to enter	a uate
In rear		rea concry a ronourony			
-	ROVED BY:				
	ROVED BY:				
APP		Signature:		lick here to enter	a date
APP					a date
APPF Name (App		Signature:			a date
APPF Name (App) 1.0	over must not be the re Description	Signature:			a date
APPF Name (App) 1.0	over must not be the re Description	Signature; sviewer and must be authorised as a Safety Sc g is for;Choose an item.			a date
APPF Name (App) 1.0	rover <i>must not be the re</i> Description This Safety Screening	Signature; sviewer and must be authorised as a Safety Sc g is for;Choose an item.			a date
APPF Name (App) 1.0	rovermust not be the re Description This Safety Screening Activity or Condition I Title:	Signature; sviewer and must be authorised as a Safety Sc g is for;Choose an item.			a date
APPF Name (App) 1.0	rovermust not be the re Description This Safety Screening Activity or Condition I Title:	Signature eviewer and must be authonised as a Safety So gis for:Choose an item. No:			a date
APPF Name (App) 1.0	rovermust not be the re Description This Safety Screening Activity or Condition I Trite:	Signature eviewer and must be authonised as a Safety So gis for:Choose an item. No:			a date
APP((App) 1.0 1.1	rovermust not be the re Description This Safety Screening Activity or Condition I Trite:	Signature: sviewer and must be authorised as a Safety So jis for:Choose an item. to: e Activity or Plant Condition: r text.			a date
APPF Name (App) 1.0 1.1	overmud not bethe r Description This Safety Screening Activity or Condition i Trite: Brief Description of If Click here to ente Editorial Change or I	Bgnature: Signature: Signature: De authorised as a Safety Sc Signature: Si	reener or Safety Ev		
APPF Name (App) 1.0 1.1 2.0 2.1	overmust not be the no Description This Safety Screening Activity or Condition i Trile: Brief Description of th Click here to entle Editorial Change or I arbit an existinai action I arbit an existinai action	Bgnature: Signature: Signature: De authorised as a Safety Sc Signature: Si	neener or Safety Ev	aluator) AA-709, Appendix 2	20
APPf (App) 1.0 1.1 2.0 2.1	overmust not be the no Description This Safety Screening Activity or Condition i Trile: Brief Description of th Click here to entle Editorial Change or I arbit an existinai action I arbit an existinai action	Sgrature sviewer and must be authorised as a Safety Sc jis for:Choose an item. No : # Achrity or Plant Condition: r text. Re Review ge? Choose an item. (see the Editori a gentra 3 4 and 5 ante skipped a gentra 14 and 5 ante skipped	neener or Safety Ev	aluator) AA-709, Appendix 2	20
APPF (Appr 1.0 1.1 2.0 2.1	overmust not be the no Description This Safety Screening Activity or Condition Trite: Brief Description of H Cilick here to entla Editorial Change or Is this an editorial change explanation wh	Sgrature sviewer and must be authorised as a Safety Sc jis for:Choose an item. No : # Achrity or Plant Condition: r text. Re Review ge? Choose an item. (see the Editori a gentra 3 4 and 5 ante skipped a gentra 14 and 5 ante skipped	neener or Safety Ev	aluator) AA-709, Appendix 2	20
APPF Name (App) 1.0 1.1 2.0 2.1 2.2	overmuit not be the re Description This Safety Screening Activity or Condition 1 Trice: Brief Description of th Click here to enter Editorial Change of The explanation with the change is Edition explanation with Click here to enter this are existent to this are evident? If a Screening or Eval and S can be askipped.	Signature: Signature: Sister:Choose an item. In for:Choose an item. In Activity of Plant Condition: r text. ReReview Signature: Si	reener or Safety Ev fai Changes form (K I Change condition) ange, provide a sho	aluator) AA-709, Appendix 2 9) invoked and prov rt explanation why as	g) ide a sho

			Template Identifier	240-43921804	Rev	6
Eskom		Safety Screening		240-146184895 KFA-047	Rev	25
		Safety Evaluation Process	Effective Date	October 2020		-
		KAA-709 (240-14360.4773), Appendix 3	Review Date	October 2023		
		No: S	Rev.			
5.0 General						_
Will or could the	activity or co	naition:				
5.1 affect the a	ability of the	operator to assess or control the nuclear se	lety status of the plan	t? Choos	e an it	em
	nuclearsafe xes, or accide	ty response of the plant to normal evolution ants?	s, anticipated operatio	na/ Choos	e an it	em
5.3 affect the component		or operational characteristics of installed im	portant to safety	Choos	e an it	em
		for the release of radioactive material to the migration model?	environment, or have	an Choos	e an it	em
5.5 in crease #	he potential :	for an initiating event? (guidance: KAA-709	Initiating Event Review	wList) Choos	e an it	em
5.6 introduce a	a new comm	on-cause failure?		Choos	e an it	em
Comments: Click	chere to e	mer text.				
Notes: If ANY a KAA-70	nswerin Se 9 Appendix 5	men text. ction 4.0 or 5.0 is "Yes" then a Safety Evalu 5 or the KAA-709 Initiating Event Review Lis ring/Design Eng/SAFEVAL/Forms)				
Notes: If ANY a KAA-70: (G:\Nucl	nswerin Se 9 Appendix 5 learEnginee	ction 4.0 or 5.0 is "Yes" then a Safety Evalu 5 or the KAA-709 Initiating Event Review Lis				
Notes: If ANY a KAA-70 (G:Wuol 6.0 SAR Impac	nswerin Se 9 Appendix t learEnginee st	ction 4.0 or 5.0 is "Yes" then a Safety Evalu 5 or the KAA-709 Initiating Event Review Lis				
Notes: If ANY a KAA-70 (G:Wuci 6.0 SAR Impac SAR Sections Re	n swerin Se 9 Appendix b learEnginee st viewed: Clitt	ction 4.0 or 5.0 is "Yes" then a Safety Evalu 5 or the KAA-709 Initiating Event Review Lis ring Design Eng(SAFEVAL Forms)	t may be used			
Notes: If ANY a KAA-70 (G:Wuol 6.0 SAR Impac SAR Sections Re SAR Update	n swerin Se 9 Appendix t learEnginee st st viewed: Clit e Requestra	con 4.0 or 5.0 is "Yes" then a Safety Eval. con the KAA-708 Initiating Event Review Lib ring Design Eng (SAFEVAL/Forms) ck here to enter text.	it maybe used	e sent to the NNR for N	nformation	1
Notes: If ANY a KAA-70 (G:Wuol 6.0 SAR Impac SAR Sections Re SAR Update	n swerin Se 9 Appendix b learEn ginee st viewed:Clit e Request ra Is required to	con 4 Oor 50 is "Yes" then a Safety Evals con 4 AA-703 Initiating Event Review Lib ining/Design Eng/SAFEVALIForma) k here to entler text. aveed? Choose an ittem. Number L	it maybe used	e sent to the NNR for N	nformation	
Notes: If ANY a KAA-70 (G:Wuol 6.0 SAR Impac SAR Sections Re SAR Update NUR Approve	nswerin Se 9 Appendix I learEnginee st viewed: Clic e Requestra Is required to vival	con 4 Oor 50 is "Yes" then a Safety Evals con 4 AA-703 Initiating Event Review Lib ining/Design Eng/SAFEVALIForma) k here to entler text. aveed? Choose an ittem. Number L	it maybe used	e sent to the NNR for I	nformatio	
Notes: If ANV a KAA-70 (G:Wad 6.0 SAR Impac SAR Sections Re SAR Update NMR approval 7.0 NNR Approval Is the activity or c	nswerin Se 9 Appendix 5 lear Enginee st viewed: Clic e Request ra is required to val condition :	con 4 Oor 50 is "Yes" then a Safety Evals con 4 AA-703 Initiating Event Review Lib ining/Design Eng/SAFEVALIForma) k here to entler text. aveed? Choose an ittem. Number L	it maybe üsed IR e. Estoriai changes an	e sent to the NINR for A		
Notes: If ANV a KAA-70 (3:Wuci 6.0 SAR Impac SAR Sections Re SAR Update NMR approval 7.0 NNR Approval Is the activity orce 7.1 in conflict	nswerin Se 9 Appendix t 9 Appendix t ear Enginee st viewed: Click viewed: Click e Request rs Is required to val sondition: with an NL-4	con 4.0075.018 "Yes" then a Safety Eval. Sorthe KAA-709 Initiating Event Review La ring/Deagn Eng/SAFEVALVForma) Ex here to enter text. Jeaer2 Choose an ittem. Number L r SAR updates that are not estonial per 21, abo	it maybe üsed IR e. Estoriai changes an		e an it	em
Notes: If ANY a KAA-70 (G:Wuol 6.0 SAR Impac SAR Sections Re SAR Update NMR approval 7.0 NNR Approval 7.0 NNR Approval 7.1 in conflict 7.2 a change t	nswerin Se 9 Appendit & 9 Appendit & learEnginee st viewed: Clik e Request ra Is required to roval condition: with an NL-4 to a docume	clon 4 0 or 5 0 in "Yes" from a Sufery Eval. or the YAA-709 Instanting Evan Review Lin myDRagin EnglSAFEVALIForma Ex here to enter text. Insert? Choose an item. Number L Suff update that are no extension per 21, acc 21 Licence Condition, LD, RD or subsequer	it maybe used	Choos	e an it e an it	em
Notes: If ANY a KAA-70 (G:Wuol 6.0 SAR Impac SAR Sections Re SAR Update NIR aproval 7.0 NNR Approval 7.0 NNR Approval 7.1 in conflict 7.2 a change t 7.3 a modifica Use the Licence Imp	nswerin Se 9 Appandic t 9 Appandic t 9 Appandic t est viewed: Click e Requestra Is required to vial condition: with an NL4 to a docume tion that requ asci form to e	celon 4. Oor 5.0 is "Yes" then a Safety Eval. For the KAA-700 Initiating Evant Review Li InspDeagn Eng SAFEIALIForms is here to enter fext. Isaad? Choose an item. Number Li r SAF update: that are not extense per 21, acc 21 Licence Condition, LD, RD or subacquer Int that needs NNR approve?	it maybe used	Choos	e an it e an it	em

				240-43921804	21804	Rev	6
Eskom		Safety Screening		240-146184 KFA-04		Rev	25
		25	Effective Date	October 202	0		
		Safety Evaluation Process KAA-709 (240-143604773), Appendix 3	Review Date	October 202	3		
		No: S	Rev.				
3.0	KLA-001 (331-94) Cla	ssification (Importance Category)					
3.1	What parameters or SS	SCs are affected by this activity or condition?	Click here to ent	er text.			
3.2	KLA-001 classification		Click here to ent				
4.0	Impact on the DE SIG	N or the LICENSING BASIS					
4.1	is the activity or condit	ion a change to, or does it impact on, one of th	e following:				
4.1.1	Operating Technical	Specifications, SRSM or Chemistry Specificatio	ns	Ch	DOS	an it	em.
4.1.2	Rediation Protection I	Licencing Requirements (235-54 Radiation Protec	tion Licensing Require	ments) Ch	DOS	e an it	em.
4.1.3	Severe Accident Man	agement Guides (SAMGs) or Emergency Plan	(EP)	Ch	DOS	an it	em.
4.1.4	Emergency Operating	r Procedures (EOPs)		Ch	DOSE	e an it	em.
4.2	Does the proposed at as described in the S	ctivity or condition involve a change to an SSC AR and DSE?	that affects the de	sign Ch	DOS	e an it	em.
4.3		ctivity or condition involve a change to a proces of DSE) described SSC design functions are			oose	e an it	em.
4.4		ctivity or condition involve revising or replacin logy that is used in establishing the design ba		e Ch	Choose an ite		em.
4.5	where an SSC is utili	ctivity or condition involve a test or experimen sed or controlled in a manner that is outside th or is inconsistent with a naiyses or descriptions	e reference bounds		R Choose an iten		em.
Note	If ANY answer in Sect	ion 4.0 is "Yes" then a Safety Evaluation is req	uired.				
Conc	lusion and supporting e	irgumenta:					
Click	bere to enter text						

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier:		
-	Revision:	3	
	Damas	00 -{ 00	
	Page:	26 of 29	

Appendix 4 – Safety Evaluation (Form KFA-048 240-146210185)

Revision 3 of this form is available at: G:\Nuclear Engineering\Design Eng\SAFEVAL\Forms

		Template identifier		Rev. 6
Eskom	Safety Evaluation	Document Identifier	240-146210185 KFA-048	Rev. 2a
CSKOITI	Safety Evaluation Process	Effective Date	October2	020
	KAA-709 (240-143604773), Appendix 4	Review Date	October 2	023
	No: E	Rev.		
Reason for Revision:	(
	CONCLUSION			5
Based on information entere	ad into this Safety Evaluation, does or can the activity	or condition:		
 identify a USQ (Yes' to a 	ny question in sections 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 or 9)? Safety Ju	ustification No: J	Choose	an item.
 require a change or addition 	tion to the SAR (section 2.5 in this document)?		Choose	an item.
 involves change to the C 	DTS, KCS or SRSM (section 3.0 in this document)?		Choose	an item.
 involve a change to the S 	SAMGs or EPs (section 7.2 in this document)?		Choose	an item.
 involve a change to the E 	OPs (section 9.0 in this document)?		Choose	an item.
 require NNR approval for 	the proposed activity or condition (section 10.0)?		Choose	an item.
If any of the above are an ai taking any further action.	vered "Yes", the activity or condition needs to be discu	issed with the Koeber	g Licen sing Group b	efore
(SMEs need not be authoris SMEs or groups:	PREPARATION, REVIEWS and APF ed by Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) or groups other ed as Safety Screeners or Safety Evaluators)	rthan the piepaier's g	roup? Choose	an item.
	ed by Subject MatterExperts (SMEs) or groups other		roup? Choose	
(SMEs need not be authoris SMEs or groups: Prepared by:	ed by Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) or groups other ed as Safety Screeners or Safety Evaluators) Signature Additional Review (frequires)	Group C		a date.
(SMEs need not be authoris SMEs or groups: Prepared by: Name PSA Review	ed by Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) or groups other ed as Safety Screeners or Safety Evaluators) Signature Additional Review (frequires)	Group C	lick here to enter	a date.
(SMEs need not be authoris SMEs or groups: Prepared by: Name PSA Review (sections 4, 7 and	ed by Subject Natier Experts (SNEB) or groups other ad as Safety Screeners or Safety Evaluation) Signature 8) Additional Review (frequired)	Group (lick here to enter	a date.
(SME ar groups: SME a or groups: Prepared by: SA Review (sections 4, 7 and Reviewe: Name	ed by Subject Natier Experts (SNEB) or groups other ed as Safety Screeners or Safety Evaluation) Signature 8) Additional Review (frequire) Review: Name Signature	Group C Addition Reviewer:	lick here to enter	a date.
(SNE a nead not be authoris SNE a or groups: Prepared by: Name PSA Review (sections 4, 7 and Reviews: Name Stynaure	ed by Subject Natier Experts (SNES) or groups other ed sa Safety Screeners or Safety Evaluation) Signature 8) Additional Review (frequire) Review: Name Signatire Group:	Group C Addition Reviewer: Signature Group;	lick here to enter	radate. ed)
(SME a need not be authors) Prepared by Prepared by PSA Review (sections 4, 7 and Review: Name Signature Group: PSA Group	ed by Subject Natier Experts (SNES) or groups other ed sa Safety Screeners or Safety Evaluation) Signature 8) Additional Review (frequire) Review: Name Signatire Group:	Group C Addition Reviewer: Signature Group: Date: Click he	Glick here to enter al Review (trequir Name	radate. ed)
(SME a needinot be authors) Prepared by: Name PSA Review (sections 4, 7 and Reviewer: Name Signature Group: PSA Group Date: CIICk here to enter EOP Review (section 9)	ed by Subjer Matter Experts (SUE2) or groups other eddas Safety Screeners or Safety Evaluation) Signature 8) Additional Review (frequired, 8) Reviewer: Name Signate Group: a date. Date: Click here to enter a date.	Group C Addition Reviewer: Signature Group: Date: Click he	Jick here to enter al Review (frequir Name	radate. ed)
(SME a need not be authors) Prepared by Prepared by PSA Review (sections 4, 7 and Reviewe: Name Signature Group: PSA Group Date: Click here to enterter EOP Review	ed by Subjer Matter Experts (SUEE) or groups other edds Safety Screenes or Safety Evaluation) Signature 8) Additional Review (frequine) Reviewer: Name Signate Group: a date: Date: Click here to enter a date. Independent Review	Group C Addition Reviewer: Synstre Group: Date: Click he Approval by	Jick here to enter al Review (frequir Name	radate. ed)

ADIC	cliam	Safety Evaluation	n Document Identifier	t 240-146210185	Rev.
€€skom			Effective Da	and the second second second	020
		Safety Evaluation Process KAA-709 (240-143604773), Appendix 4	Review Dat	te October 2	023
	10	No: E	Rev.		
Date: Cli	ck here to enter a c	date. Date: Click here to enter a d	ate. Date:		
1.0 INTE	ODUCTION	1	10		
Act Title Ass Brie	ociated Safety Scree	ening: S, questions requiring a Safety vity or Plant Condition:	Evaluation:		
2.0 DE S	CRIPTION				
2.1 De:	scribe the activity or o	condition being evaluated, and its expected	e ffects.		
Clic	k here to enter tex	rt.			
	ntify the parameters i ects).	and systems affected or potentially affected	by the activity or condition	n (including common-	ause
effe			by the activity or condition	n (including common-i	ause
effe Clic	iota). Ik here to enter tex			n (including common-	ause
effe Clic 2.3 Ide	iota). Ik here to enter tex	rt. Ire modes associated with the activity or co		n (including common-	euse
effe Clic 2.3 Ide Clic 2.4 Ide	ecta). It here to enter tex ntify the credible fail It here to enter tex entify the accidents in	rt. Ire modes associated with the activity or co	ndition. ot by the activity or conditi	on.	ause
effe Clic 2.3 Ide Clic 2.4 Ide KA	ecta). It here to enter tex ntify the credible fail It here to enter tex entify the accidents in	(C. re modes associated with the activity or cor (C. SAR III-4.3.2 to III-4.7.1 reviewed for impar the Review Listmay be used (G. Wacker Erginee	ndition. ot by the activity or conditi	on.	ause
effe Clic 2.3 Ide Clic 2.4 Ide KA Clic	ecta). It here to enter tex ntify the credible failu- ick here to enter tex ntify the accidents in A-709 Initiating Even Ick here to enter tex	(C. re modes associated with the activity or cor (C. SAR III-4.3.2 to III-4.7.1 reviewed for impar the Review Listmay be used (G. Wacker Erginee	ndition. ot by the activity or conditi	on.	ause
effe Clic 2.3 Ide Clic 2.4 Ide KA Clic 2.5 Pro	ecta). It here to enter tex ntify the credible failu- ick here to enter tex ntify the accidents in A-709 Initiating Even Ick here to enter tex	ct. we modes associated with the activity or cort. ct. SAR III.4.3.2 to III.4.7.4 reviewed for impa Review Listmay be used (& Uucler Spiner ct. wed for this safety evaluation.	ndition. ot by the activity or conditi	on.	ause
effe Clic 2.3 Ide Clic 2.4 Ide KA Clic 2.5 Pro Clic	ecta). It here to enter tex ntify the credible failu- the to enter tex ntify the accidents in A-709 Initiating Even the to enter tex vide references revie	ct. re modes associated with the activity or col ct. SAP III-4 3.2 to III-4 7.4 reviewed for impain it Review Listmay be used (6 Viuchar Styne ct. exector this safety evaluation ct.	ndition. ot by the activity or conditi	on.	ause
effe Clic 2.3 Ide Clic 2.4 Ide KA Clic 2.5 Pro Clic SA	ecta). It here to enter tex ntify the credible failu- the to enter tex ntify the accidents in A-709 Initiating Even (the to enter tex vide references revie there to enter tex	c: we modes associated with the activity or cost ct. SAR III-4.3.2 to III-4.7.1 reviewed for impar Kerwiev Listmay De used (C. Nucleer Seyne ct. swed for this safety evaluation. ct.	ndition. ot by the activity or conditi	on.	ause
effe Clic 2.3 Ide Clic Clic XA Clic 2.5 Pro Clic SA Clic	ecta). It here to enter tex Infify the credible failu- it, here to enter tex- south the accidents in 4-709 Initiating Even It here to enter tex- vide references revie- It here to enter tex- R sections reviewed:	ct. we modes associated with the activity or cort ct. SAR III-4.3.2 to III-4.7.1 reviewed for impac- toring the weed for the set of the set of the set weed for this set fely evaluation. ct. dt.	ndition. ot by the activity or conditi	on.	ause
effe Clic 2.3 Ide 2.4 Ide 2.4 KA Clic 2.5 Pro Clic SA Clic SA Noi	inde). Ik here to enter tex ntify the credible failu, Ik here to enter tex ik here to enter tex vide references revie Ik here to enter tex R excitons reviewed Ik here to enter tex R Update Request ra	ct. we modes associated with the activity or cort ct. SAR III-4.3.2 to III-4.7.1 reviewed for impac- toring the weed for the set of the set of the set weed for this set fely evaluation. ct. dt.	ndition. It by the activity or condition ing (Derign Beg SARE) AL (Fo mber, UR	on.	cause
effe Clic 2.3 Ide Clic 2.4 Ide 2.4 Ide XA Clic SA Clic SA Clic SA Clic SA Clic SA	inde). Ik here to enter tex ntify the credible failu, Ik here to enter tex ik here to enter tex vide references revie Ik here to enter tex R excitons reviewed Ik here to enter tex R Update Request ra	ct. we modes associated with the activity or cort st. SAR III-4.3.2 to III-4.7.1 reviewed for impar- ted with the interviewed (or impart ted with the interviewed (or impart ted with the interviewed of the interviewed or ted with the interviewed of the interviewed of the university of the interviewed of the interviewed ted with the interviewed of the interviewed of the interviewed ted with the interviewed of the interviewed of the interviewed of the university of the interviewed of the interviewed of the interviewed of the university of the interviewed of the interviewed of the interviewed of the university of the interviewed of t	ndition. It by the activity or condition ing (Derign Beg SARS AL (Po mberc UR	on.	cause

		Template identifier Document	240-43921804	Rev. 6
Eskom	Safety Evaluation		240-146210185 KFA-048	Rev. 2
do contorri	Safety Evaluation Process	Effective Date	October20	020
	KAA-709 (240-143604773), Appendix 4	Review Date	October 2	023
	No: E	Rev.		
3.0 Impact on DE SIGN E	BASIS or LICENSING BASIS			
Is the activity or cor	ultion a change to, or does it affect, any of the followi	ng:		
	Specifications (OTS), Chemistry Specifications (KCS willance Manual (SRSM)) or the	Choose	an item.
3.2 Radiation Protection	Licencing Requirements (RPLR) (235-54 Radiation Pro	ection Licensing Requirem	ents) Choose	an item.
3.3 Severe Accident Ma	inagement Guides (SAMGs) and Emergency Plan (Ef) are addressed in sect	ion 7.2	
3.4 Emergency Operati	ng Procedures (EOPs) are addressed in section 9.0.			
Discuss any 'Yes' n	esponse(s) from the above:			
Click here to enter	rtext.			
4.0 Effection ACCIDEN	S and MALFUNCTIONS previously evaluated in th	e SAR (PSA Group to	review)	
	activity or condition result in more than a minimal in mence of an accident previously evaluated in the SA			
(KGA-025, Appendio	(2, section 2.0)		Choose	an item.
Explanation: Click	here to enter text.			
4.2 Does the proposed likelihood of occur	activity or condition result in more than a minimal in rence of a malfunction of an important to safety SS	crease in the C previously evaluated in	the SAR?	
(KGA-025, Appendio			Choose	
provide or or of the ofference	(2, section 3.0)			an item.
	(2, section 3.0) here to enter text.			an item
Explanation: Click 4.3 Does the proposed	here to enter text. activity or condition result in more than a minimal inv			an item.
Explanation: Click 4.3 Does the proposed	here to enter text. activity or condition result in more than a minimal in diological) of an accident previously evaluated in the		Choose	
Explanation: Click 4.3 Does the proposed consequences (ra (KGA-025, Appendio	here to enter text. activity or condition result in more than a minimal in diological) of an accident previously evaluated in the		Choose	
Explanation: Click 4.3 Does the proposed consequences (ra (KGA-025, Appendio Explanation: Click 4.4 Does the proposed	here to enter text. activity or condition result in more than a minimal inv fiological) of an accident previously evaluated in the (2, section 4.0)	SAR?		
Explanation: Click 4.3 Does the proposed consequences (ra (KGA-025, Appendio Explanation: Click 4.4 Does the proposed	here to enter text. activity or exult in more than a minimal in in diogogical of an accident previously evaluated in the if accident 40) here to enter text. activity or condition result in more than a minimal in activity or condition result in more than a minimal in indiogogical of an important to safely	SAR?	ted in the SAR?	an item.
Explanation: Click 4.3 Does the proposed consequences (ra- (KGA-025, Appendio Explanation: Click 4.4 Does the proposed consequences (ra- (KGA-025, Appendio	here to enter text. activity or exult in more than a minimal in in diogogical of an accident previously evaluated in the if accident 40) here to enter text. activity or condition result in more than a minimal in activity or condition result in more than a minimal in indiogogical of an important to safely	SAR?	ted in the SAR?	an item.
Explanation: Click 4.3 Does the proposed consequences fra- (KGA-025, Appendio Explanation: Click 4.4 Does the proposed consequences fra- (KGA-026, Appendio Explanation: Click	here to enter text. activity or condition result in more than a minimal in biological of an actident previously evaluated in the 2, a action 40 here to enter text. activity or condition result in more than a minimal in fillogical of a malfunction of an important to safely 12, action 50	SAR?	ted in the SAR?	an item.
Explanation: Click 4.3 Does the proposed consequences (ra- (KGA-C26, Agenetio Explanation: Click 4.4 Does the proposed consequences (ra- (KGA-C26, Agenetio Explanation: Click 5.0 Impacton FISSION) 5.1 Does the proposed	here to enter text. activity or condition result in more than a minimal in indigogical of an accident previously evaluated in the (2, accion 4.0) here to enter text. activity or condition result in more than a minimal im indigogical of an amittimetion of an important to ackly (2, accion 5.0) here to enter text. PRODUCT BARRIERS as desoribed in the SAR activity or condition result in a design basis limit of a	SAR? crease in the SSC previously evalua fission product barrie	ted in the SAR? Choose	an item. an item.
Explanation: Click 4.3 Does the proposed consequences (ra- (KGA-C26, Agenetio Explanation: Click 4.4 Does the proposed consequences (ra- (KGA-C26, Agenetio Explanation: Click 5.0 Impacton FISSION) 5.1 Does the proposed	here to enter text. activity or condition result in more than a minimal line (2) action 4.0) here to enter text. activity or condition result in more than a minimal line diological of a malfunction of an important to active to active to enter text. PRODUCT BARRIERS as described in the SAR	SAR? crease in the SSC previously evalua fission product barrie	ted in the SAR? Choose	an item. an item.
Explanation: Click 4.3 Does the proposed consequences (ra- (KGA-C26, Agenetio Explanation: Click 4.4 Does the proposed consequences (ra- (KGA-C26, Agenetio Explanation: Click 5.0 Impacton FISSION) 5.1 Does the proposed	here to enter text. activity or cooldition re-blick more than a minimal im idiogical of an accident previously evaluated in the idiogical of a match previously evaluated in the activity or cooldition re-blick more than a minimal im idiogical of a minimal time that a minimal im provide the text of the that a minimal im provide the text of the text of the text of the text of the provide text of the text of the text of the text of the provide text of the text of the text of the text of the provide text of the text of the text of the text of the text of the provide text of the text of the text of the text of text of the text of the provide text of the text of the text of the text of	SAR? crease in the SSC previously evalua fission product barrie	ted in the SAR? Choose	an item. an item
Explanation: Olick 4.3 Does the proposed consequences fra (KGA-025, Appendio Explanation: Olick 4.4 Does the proposed consequences (fra (KGA-025, Appendio Explanation: Olick 5.0 Impact on FISSION 5.1 Does the proposed as described in the Comments: Olick ho	here to enter text. activity or cooldition re-blick more than a minimal im idiogical of an accident previously evaluated in the idiogical of a match previously evaluated in the activity or cooldition re-blick more than a minimal im idiogical of a minimal time that a minimal im provide the text of the that a minimal im provide the text of the text of the text of the text of the provide text of the text of the text of the text of the provide text of the text of the text of the text of the provide text of the text of the text of the text of the text of the provide text of the text of the text of the text of text of the text of the provide text of the text of the text of the text of	SAR? crease in the SSC previously evalua fission product barrie	ted in the SAR? Choose	an item. an item.
Explanation: Click 4.3 Does the proposed consequences (ra (KGA-025, Appendi Explanation: Click 4.4 Does the proposed (KGA-025, Appendi Explanation: Click 5.0 Impact on FI \$ SION 5.1 Does the proposed as desched in the Comments: Click the Comments: Click the 6.0 Impact on EVALUAR 6.1 Does the proposed in the \$ ARA used in	here to enter text activity or condition result in more than a minimal imi- dificingial of an accident previously evaluated in the (2, accident of accident previously evaluated in the (2, accident of accident previously evaluated in the scilly or condition result in more than a minimal imi- dificingial of a malfunction of an important to ask (2, accident of a malfunction of an important to ask (2, accident of accident accident accident accident previously according to mask in a design basis limit of a AR being exceeded or altered? (XGA 425, Apend are to enter text TICN METHODS de scribed in the SAR activity or condition result in a departure from ameted activity or condition result in a departure from ameted y analy	SAR? prease in the SSC previously evalua fission product barrie k2, secton 8,0) hod of evaluation dezo	ted in the SAR? Choose r Choose r thed	an item. an item. an item.
Explanator: Click Explanator: Click 4.3 Does the proposed consequences fra (KGA-025, Appendix Explanator: Click 4.4 Does the proposed consequences fra (KGA-026, Appendix Explanator: Click 5.0 Impact on FISSIONI 5.1 Does the proposed as described in the Comments: Click he Click 5.1 Does the proposed	here to enter text activity or condition result in more than a minimal imi- dificingial of an accident previously evaluated in the (2, accident of accident previously evaluated in the (2, accident of accident previously evaluated in the scilly or condition result in more than a minimal imi- dificingial of a malfunction of an important to ask (2, accident of a malfunction of an important to ask (2, accident of accident accident accident accident previously according to mask in a design basis limit of a AR being exceeded or altered? (XGA 425, Apend are to enter text TICN METHODS de scribed in the SAR activity or condition result in a departure from ameted activity or condition result in a departure from ameted y analy	SAR? prease in the SSC previously evalua fission product barrie k2, secton 8,0) hod of evaluation dezo	ted in the SAR? Choose r Choose r thed	an item. an item. an item. an item.

		remplate identitier	240-43921804	Rev. c
(Eskom	Safety Evaluation	Document Identifier	240-146210185 KFA-048	Rev. 2
CSKOITI	Safety Evaluation Process	Effective Date	October2	020
	KAA-709 (240-143504773), Appendix 4	Review Date	October 2	023
	No: E	Rev.		
7.0 Impacton BEYOND D	ESIGN BASIS ACCIDENTS (PSA Group to perform	m)		
7.1 Is there a more than i (KGA-025, Appendix 3 Comments: Click her		Assessment?	Choose	an item.
7.2 Is there, or would the (KGA-025, Appendix	re be, a more than minimal impact on: 2, section 11)			
- Severe Accident I	Management Guidelines (SAMGs)?		Choose	anitem
- Emergency Plan	EP)?		Choose	anitem
Comments: Click her	e to enter text.			
8.0 Potential for creation	of a NEW type of UNANALY SED EVENT (P SA Gro	oup to review)		
	ctivity create a possibility of an accident of a different 5, Appendix 2, section 6.0)	type than previously e		anitem
Comments: Click her	e to enter text.			
	ctivity create a possibility of a maifunction of an impor usly evaluated in the SAR? (KGA-025, Appendix 2, s			anitem
Comments: Click her	e to enter text.			
9.0 Impacton EMERGEN	CY OPERATING PROCEDURES (EOPs) (OPG to p	erform)		
	re be, a more than minimal impact on the Emergency	Operating Procedures		
(KGA-025, Appendix 1			Choose	anitem
Comments: Click her	e to enter text.			
10.0 NNR Approval				
Is this activity or cond	lition			
- in conflict with an	NL-01 Licence Condition, LD, RD or subsequent LC	Rs?	Choose	anitem
 a change to a doc 	ument or procedure which needs NNR approva?		Choose	anitem
- a modification tha	t requires NNR approval according to the requiremen	ts of LD-1012?	Choose	an item
G: Nuclear Engineering Design E	rm to assist in answering the above: ing S4FEVAL Forms NNR_Approval_Impact.docx			
	LD-1012 can be found on G:Wuclear Engineering/Design E	IngiSAFEVAL Wuclear Los	ance	
11.0 Safety Evaluation Co	nclusion			
Based upon the evalua	tion in sections 4.0 to 10.0, update CONCLUSION or	n page 1.		

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Safety Evaluation Process	Unique Identifier:	240-143604773
-	Revision:	3
	Page:	27 of 29

Appendix 5 – Initiating Event Review List

This form is available at: G:\Nuclear Engineering\Design Eng\SAFEVAL\Forms\ KAA-709 Initiating Event Review List

	KAA-709 Safety Evaluation Process SafEval \ Supporting Documents				KAA-709 Safety Evaluation Process Appendix 5	SafEval \ :	Supporting Documents
	Revision: 0 Page 1 of 3					Revision: 0	Page 2 of 3
(E) Eska	n Initiating Event Review List	Associated 240-143604773 Procedure: KAA-709	€€sk	om	Initiating Event Review List	Associated Procedure:	240-143604773 KAA-709
	Rovion Elst	Procedure. Nativity	23.00		Roviow Elst	Procedure.	Kill-105
1.0 General				Condition IV A	ccidents in SAR III-4.3.4		
This form and App	endix 5 are applicable to only Safety Evaluations, for help with questi	on 2.4.	Reviewed	ANS I	N 18.2-1973		
It is optional to fill i	n this form.		Yes N/A		Handling Accidents		
1.1 Activity	or Condition No:		Yes N/A	A 10 10 10 10 10 10	n Steam Line Break		
	Title:		Yes N/A	A 400 March 10000	ctor Coolant Pump Locked Rotor		
1.2 Associate	d Safety Evaluation number: E		Yes NA	 S. 12122 ST. 1020-10 	ture of CRDM Housing - Rod Ejection Accident		
			Yes N/A	 S. 1998 (1998) (1998) 	im Generator Tube Rupture		
2.0 Accident	Initiating Event Lists		Yes N/A	and the second sec	i Feed-water Pipe Break		
Original Design Ba	isis Accident (DBA) Initiating Events are in SAR sections III-4.3.2, III-	4.3.3, III-4.3.4 and III-4.3.5.			ANS 57.2-1983		
Design Extension	accident initiating events include some External Events and all Beyon	d Design Basis Accident (BDBA) initiating	Yes NA	III-4.3.4.7 Bord	n Dilution of the Spent Fuel Pit		
events, which inclu	ide Complementary Accidents (SAR III-4.3.6), ATWS (SAR III-4.5) ar	id Anti-dilution (SAR III-4.7).		III-4.3.5 LOCA			
	Original Design Basis Accident (DBA) Initia	ting Events	Yes N/A	III-4.3.5 Loss o	of Coolant Accidents - Intermediate and Large Breaks		
Reviewed	Condition II Accidents in SAR III-4.3.2 (ANSI N 18.2-1973):			Design	Extension Accident Initiating Events, in	cluding BDBAs	
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.1 Uncontrolled RCCA Bank Withdrawal at Start-up				A 11 4 1 010 H 40.0	11	
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.2 Uncontrolled RCCA Bank Withdrawal at Power		Reviewed		v Accidents in SAR III-4.3.6		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.3 RCCA Misalignment - Single Rod or Bank Drop ("Rod Dro	p Accident")	Yes N/A		s of all ac Power (Station Blackout) (SBO) moon Cause Failure of LHA and LHB Boards with Off		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.4 Uncontrolled Boron Dilution					site Fower Available	(COP-LHU)
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.5 Partial Loss of Forced Reactor Coolant Flow		Yes NA		s of Secondary Heat Sink		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.6 Start-up of an Inactive Reactor Coolant Loop		Yes N/A		s of Ultimate Heat Sink		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.7 Loss of Turbine Load/Turbine Trip		Yes N/A		on Dilution of the Spent Fuel Pit		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.8 Loss of Main Feed-water		Yes N/A		alaced Fuel Assembly in the Spent Fuel Pit		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.9 Excessive Heat Removal - Feed-water System Malfunction	an 🖌	Yes NA		s of Spent Fuel Pit Cooling		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.10 Loss of Off-site Power		Yes N/A	111-4.3.0.8 Fuel	Uncovery in the Spent Fuel Pit		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.11 Excessive Load Increase at Full Power		Reviewed	Additional Acci	ident Studies (Multiple Failure) in SAR III-4.5		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.12 Spurious Depressurisation of the Reactor Coolant Syste	m	Yes N/A	III-4.5.1 Anticip	pated Transients Without Scram (ATWS)		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.13 Spurious Depressurisation of the Main Steam System		Reviewed	lustification of	Specific Accidents in SAR III-4.7		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.2.14 Spurious Safety Injection		Yes N/A	-	ilution Protection		
	Condition III Accidents in SAR III-4.3.3		1				
Reviewed	ANSI N 18.2-1973:		r				
Yes N/A	III-4.3.3.1 Small Break Loss of Coolant Accident				PSA Initiating Events		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.3.2 Minor Secondary System Pipe Breaks		Additional initiatir	na events conside	ered in the PSA		
Yes N/A	III-4.3.3.3 Reduction in Forced Reactor Coolant Flow			T			1
Yes N/A	III-4.3.3.4 Loading a Fuel Assembly into an Incorrect Position		Reviewed	Primary Transi			
Yes N/A	III-4.3.3.5 Single RCCA Withdrawal At Power		Yes N/A		er draining during drain-down		PTR, RCV, RF
Yes N/A	III-4.3.3.6 Pressuriser Safety Valve Stuck Open ("Spurious Opening	of a Pressuriser Safety Valve")	Yes N/A	Reactor vessel			RCP
Yes N/A	III-4.3.3.7 Rupture of the Chemical and Volume Control Tank (RCV)		Yes N/A		transient (pump loss, seal injection line rupture or the	ermal barrier rupture)	RCP
Yes N/A	III-4.3.3.8 Rupture of the Gaseous Effluents Tank ("Rupture of the V	/aste Gas Storage Tank")	Yes N/A		tuation of pressuriser heaters		RCP
	ANSI/ANS 57.2-1983;		Yes N/A		upture or inadvertent let-down isolation		RCV
Yes N/A	III-4.3.3.9 Loss of Spent Fuel Pit Inventory		Yes N/A	interracing sys	tem loss of coolant		RCV, RIS, RR
Wusieer Engineering/Desig	n Englasfival/FormiKAA-709 Initiating Event Neview List.door	© ESKOM - 2019	O'Nuclear Engineering/Dec	ign EnglästEvsWormelK	AA-709 Initiating Event Review List door		e Eskow -

		Appendix 5 Safety Evaluation Process Safetyal \ S	upporting Documents
€€skom		Initiating Event	Page 3 of 3
		Review List	240-143604773 KAA-709
	- 1	PSA Initiating Events	
Yes NA	Loss of RF	RA coolant (safety valve open or pipe break)	RRA
Yes N/A	Loss of RF	RA cooling	RRA, RRI
Yes N/A	Loss of RF	RA Over Pressure protection (RRA safety valves, LTOP, etc.)	RRA
Reviewed	Secondary	/ Transients	
Yes N/A	Loss of au	xiliary feed-water	ASG
Yes N/A	Inadverten	it closure of an MSIV	VVP
Reviewed	Ventilation	E	31
Yes N/A	Loss of au	xiliary feed-water room's ventilation system	DVG
Reviewed	Spent Fue	Pool Transients	
Yes N/A	SFP cask	drop (from crane)	DMK
Yes NA	SFP fuel a	issembly misplacement	Spent fuel por
Yes N/A	Gate seal	failure between spent fuel pool and cask compartments	
Reviewed	Heat Sink		RRI
Yes N/A	Loss of RF	RI or SEC (internal flooding, equipment failure)	SEC
Reviewed	Compress	ed Air	SAP
Yes N/A	Loss of co	mpressed air	SAR
Reviewed	Electrical F	Power Supplies	
Yes N/A	Loss of LB	ii, LCi or LDA, LHi, LNE or LNi	L*
Reviewed	Waste Tre	atment	
Yes NA		t release from TEG 001, 002, 003 BA (failure during filling operations, uncontro put, over-pressurisation, premature opening of safety valve, etc.)	olled TEG
Yes N/A		tt release from TEP 001, 008 BA (over-filling, uncontrolled out flow, nitrogen in opening of safety valve, etc.)	put, TEP

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Appendix 6 – Licence Impact (Form KFA-049 240-146211153)

Revision 3 of this form is available at: G:\Nuclear Engineering\Design Eng\SAFEVAL\Forms\240-146211153 (KFA-049) Licence Impact

			Template identifier	240-43921804	Rev	6		Ť
Eskom		Licence Impact	Document Identifier	240-146211153 (KFA-049)	Rev	28	Eskom	
			Effective Date	October 2020		-	Ce CSKOITI	
		Safety Evaluation Process KAA-709 (240-143604773), Appendix 6	Review Date	October 2023				
1.0	General This form applies to the '? It is optional to fill in this fo	INR Approval' sections of Safety Screenings	(Q7.0) and Safety Eva	luations (Q10.0).			2.2 Does the sofivity or condi Document numbers:	
	Activity or Condition No: Title: Associated Screening an	d/or Safety Evaluation No: S					238-54 - Radiation Protection Lie 238-49 - Liquid & Gaseous Efflu 238-47 - Radiological Environme 238-51 - Radioactive Waste Mar	ent N ental nage
	Is NNR approval required 'Yes' if any of 2.1, 2.2 or 3			Choos	se an i	tem.	36-197 - Koeberg Licensing Bas 36-928 - Koeberg Accident Anal KAA-690 - Operability Determin	ysis I
2.0	NNR Approval Impact						KAA-671 - Civil Monitoring / Eng	jinee
		tion conflict with a Nudear Licence NIL-01 Co	andition?	Ĩ		_	KAA-709 - 240-143504773 - Sa	fety E
(520)	NIL-01 Condition No:			Choos	se an i	tern.	KAB-018 - Processing of Operat KAF-019 - Specific Cycle Core I	- T
The N	IL-01 Variation 19 Licence	Condition titles are listed below and the full s	et of licence condition	s may be seen in:			KGT-003 - Guidelines for Gener	- 775
G:\Nu	idear Engineering) Design E	ng\SAFEVAL\NuclearLicence\NIL-01 - Nuclea						
		ng (shi chi chi chi chi chi chi chi na chi					KGT-023 - Licensed Operator Re	
1000	e Conditions list		page				KSA-023 - Standard for the Mini	mum
	GENERAL NUCLEAR INSTALLATIO	NECORIDION	3				KSA-010 - 240-89294359 - Nuclear	Safet
	DEMARCATION OF SITE		4				KSA-021 - Standard for In-service	e in:
		AT MAY BE UNDERTAKEN	5				KSA-100 - Physical Security at P	Koeb
5.0	RADIOLOGICAL PROTE	CTION	6				KSA-113 - Standard for Plant Ch	
6.0	ENVIRONMENTAL PROT	ECTION AND EFFLUENT MANAGEMENT	7					20.5
	RADIOACTIVE WASTE M		7				KSB-009 - The Requirements for	
	EMERGENCY PLANNING		8				KSH-010 - Functional Responsit	oilitie
	TRANSPORT	E AND HEALTH REGISTER	8				KSM-LIC-001 - Requirements for	rthe
	SAFETY ASSESSMENT		10				KST-003 - Requirements for the	Initia
	MODIFICATION TO DES	GN OF PLANT	11				KWB-Lxxx	
		TURING OF COMPONENTS	11				KWB-Ex	
14.0	LIMITS AND CONDITION	S ON OPERATIONS	12				and the second second	
	MAINTENANCE AND IN-		12				KWB-ESx.x	
		AND LONG TERM OPERATION	13				KWB-ECAx.x	
	DECOMMISSIONING PHYSICAL SECURITY		13				KWB-FR-xx	
	DEALING WITH SITE		14				KWB-SACRP-x	
	AUTHORISED AND QUA	IFIED PERSONS	15				SAR - Safety Analysis Report	
	QUALITY AND SAFETY M		16				OTS (KBA0022OTS0000001) - 0	Dineri
	DOCUMENTS AND RECO		16				SRSM (KBA0022SRSM000000)	
	ORGANISATIONAL CHAI	NGES	16					
	SAFETY COMMITTEES		17				Chem Spec (=KCS) (KBA0022C	HEM
	FINANCIAL SECURITY		17					
	INSPECTION PROGRAM		17				If ANY of the above documents	equi
	EVENTS ON SITE PUBLIC SAFETY INFORM	M TION FOR IM	18					
	DISPLAY OF NUCLEAR I		18					
		ent change is in conflict with ANY of the abo	and and and the state of a	A REAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.		81		

	€ Skom	Licence Impact	Template identifier Document Identifier	240-43921804 Rei 240-146211153 (KFA-049) Re October 2020		Rev Rev	6 24
	CSKOITI		Effective Date				
		Safety Evaluation Process KAA-709 (240-14360.4773), Appendix 6	Review Date	Octo	October 2023		
3.0	LD-1012 IMPACT						
	LD-1012 may be seen in G:\Nuclear Engineering/Design Eng\SAFEVAL/Nuclear Licence/LD - Licence Documents						
	Note that 'modification' in	cludes permanent and temporary modification	n, and "temporary alter	ston'.			
3.1	Does the activity or condition comprise a CSR or SR modification or temporary alteration?			Choose an item.			
3.2	Does the activity or condition require a modification to, or installation of, an SSC that may affect the frequency of occurrence of an accident initiating event that requires the functioning of the safeguard and protective systems as identified in the Safety Analysia Report?			Choose an item.			
3.3	Does the activity or condition require a modification to any safeguard or protective system, including CSR equipment, designed to mitigate initiating events given in 3.1 above as identified in the Safety Analysis Report?				Choose an item.		
3.4	Does the activity or condition require a modification to a safety support system, including SR equipment, that directly a flects the correct operation of any of the SSCs listed in 3.1 or 3.2 as identified in the Safety Analysis Report?				Choose an item.		
3.5	Does the activity or condition require a modification to fuel handling equipment?				Choose an item.		
3.6	Does the activity or condition require a modification to fuel assemblies?			Choose an item.			
3.7	Does the activity or condition require a modification to the reactor core or internals (including the control rod drive mechanism)?			Choose an item.			
3.8	Does the activity or condition require a modification to a physical security system?			Choose an item.			
3.9	Does the activity or condition require a modification to a civil structure that could, through its own failure, affect the probability of failure of any of the SSCs identified in 3.1 to 3.7?			Choose an item.			
3.10	Does the activity or condition require a modification to any packaging for the transport of radioactive material requiring Competent Authority approval?			Choose an item.			
3.11	Does the activity or condition require a modification to any system associated with the processing and control of effluents discharged from the site?			Choose an item.			
3.12	Does the activity or condition require a modification to any system associated with the processing and control of radioactive waste?			Choose an item.			
3.13	Does the activity or condition require a modification to any SSC providing containment or shielding of hazardous nuclear material, whose modification could impact on occupational exposure?			Choose an item.			
3.14	Does the activity or condition require a modification that will give rise to occupational exposure in excess of 250 person-mSvduring its execution?			Choose an item.			
3.15	Could the activity or condition incur radioactive dose to the public or personnel either during implementation or operation ?			Choose an item.			
3.16	Does the activity or condition require a modification that could affect the existing safety assessment of the plant?			Choose an item.			
3.17	Does the activity or condition require a modification to mitigate, or effect a mitigation function, during a Beyond Design Basis Accident (BDBA)?				Choose an item.		
IFAN	Y of the above is answered	"Yes", then the activity or condition requires 1	NNR approval.			_	_

240-146211153 (KFA-049) 2a Licence Impact Document Identifier Effective Date Rev Safety Evaluation Process KAA-709 (240-143604773), Appen Review Date er 2023 require change to any of the documents listed below? Choose an item nsing Requirements for Koeberg Nuclear Power Station (was GGS 1330) Management Requirements for Koeberg Nuclear Power Station (was GGS 1308) al Surveillance Requirements (was GGS 1309) gement Manual s Manual ns eering at Koeberg Nuclear Power Station V Evaluation Process. Department Procedures ign and Reload Studies Responsibilities and Interfaces agnian Archive Studies Pesponsolinites and metrisces g, Administering and Grading Initial Licence Examinations salification Training (LORT) Programme Guide m Fire Prevention and Protection Organisation During Plant Operation Phase (i) the "restructuration induction organization counting i man operation in take (seemic, Environment, Quality, Inspection and Management System Level Classification Standard napaction at Koeberg Nuclear Power Station being Nuclear Power Station e Compilation, Review and Validation of Operating Procedures leaf for Realization Protection at Koeberg Nuclear Power Station the Control of Mainteance Ital Learnes Examination of Reactor Operators and Senior Reactor Operators rating Technical Specifications afety related Surveillance Manual MSPEC00) - Chemical Specifications uireschange, then the activity, condition or document change requires NNR approval.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Appendix 7 – Justification

KAA-709

Revision 6

- a) Full Review
- b) Resolve the non-conformance identified in the QA audit report QA0860.
- c) Other changes are made to clarify the intent of the procedure.

Revision 7

- a) Full Review
- b) SRSM separation from OTS is reflected.
- c) Removal of OTS and SRSM from definition of design bases.
- d) Appendix 5 revised to reflect new OTS plant domains.
- e) Section 2.1 of screening form revised to allow for editorial changes without NNR approval.
- f) Minor editorial changes are made due organisational restructuring.

240-143604773

Revision 1

a) Revision 1 is skipped to aid management of the Safety Evaluation Process, the Safety Screening form and the Safety Evaluation form.

Revision 2

a) Update and Conversion from old Koeberg format KAA-709 to current format 240-143604773

Revision 3

- a) Included paragraph 3.9 'Validity' that was unintentionally omitted from the previous version.
- b) KFA-049, 3.0 LD-1012 IMPACT A note is added: Note that 'modification' includes permanent and temporary modification, and 'temporary alteration'.
- c) KFA-049, 3.1 is added:
 Does the activity or condition comprise a CSR or SR modification or temporary alteration?
- d) KFA-049, 3.15 is added: Could the activity or condition incur radioactive dose to the public or personnel either during implementation or operation?
- e) Various clarification inclusions

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE