

APPENDIX C:
ISSUES AND CONCERNS RAISED DURING THE FEEDBACK
MEETINGS AND EIA PHASE OF THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
PROCESS

**ISSUES AND CONCERNS RAISED DURING THE FEEDBACK MEETINGS AND EIA
PHASE OF THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS**

LIST OF TABLES

	PAGE
Table 1: Corridor Issues	C-2
Table 2: Route alignment issues	C-4
Table 3: Substation issues	C-5
Table 4: Visual / aesthetic issues	C-5
Table 5: Archaeological issues	C-6
Table 6: Biophysical issues	C-7
Table 7: Avifauna issues	C-7
Table 8: Environmental Impact Assessment issues	C-8
Table 9: Land-use issues	C-9
Table 10: Health issues	C-11
Table 11: Safety issues	C-12
Table 12: Economic issues	C-12
Table 13: General issues	C-12

ISSUES AND CONCERNS RAISED DURING THE FEEDBACK MEETINGS AND EIA PHASE OF THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS

Table 1: Corridor Issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
It was enquired whether the need exists to establish two corridors. Impala Platinum had already raised their concern regarding the northern corridor.	Mr Rudi Bingle Impala Platinum	Key Stakeholders Workshop; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	In terms of the environmental process, the project requires to consider alternatives. This project required one corridor with two servitudes within the corridors. Ms Jo-Anne Thomas, Bohlweki Environmental
Was cognisance taken regarding the future shafts planned by the mining industry in the area of the Bighorn substation and proposed Transmission lines?	Mr Mike Goosen Lonmin Platinum	Key Stakeholders Workshop; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Eskom looked at all the information that was made available to Eskom's local survey office regarding future shafts, and that this information has been taken into consideration in Eskom's planning. Mr John Geeringh, Eskom The proposed 400 kV Transmission line between the proposed Ikaros Substation and Bighorn Substation would run parallel on the southern side of the existing Trident – Bighorn 275 kV power line. Mr Koos Roestoff, Eskom The project requested future planning information from the various mining houses.
Impala Platinum's future shafts might be located within the proposed northern corridor. Impala Platinum is currently busy with extensive exploration work and does not yet know the exact position of the proposed shafts. He reiterated that this might present a problem for Impala Platinum. From Impala Platinum's perspective, the proposed southern corridor would be a preferred corridor.	Mr Coenie Pretorius Impala Platinum Ltd	One-on-one discussion; Friday, 8 February 2002 Key Stakeholders Workshop; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Eskom has taken cognisance of Impala Platinum's survey of the proposed northern corridor. He informed the delegates that the proposed southern corridor is the most feasible with regard to Eskom's future planning. Mr John Geeringh, Eskom

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
Is the blue route as indicated on the map being considered as an alternative and if so, indicated that the BRPM is situated within the corridor. He also pointed out that the proposed corridor might affect developments that are being planned in Boschhoek.	Mr Johan Schoeman Bafokeng Rasimone Platinum Mine	Key Stakeholders Workshop; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	The corridor as mentioned would be investigated. The exact co-ordinates of the newly proposed corridor have not yet been finalised. Once the information is available of who would be affected, contact would be made with the affected parties. Mr John Geeringh, Eskom
Due to the many developments that are taking place in the area, extra load was being placed on the system Gatimbe, and it would therefore be preferred that the two proposed 400 kV Transmission lines run parallel with the existing 275 kV Transmission lines. What would the distance between road surface and powerlines be?	Mr Rudi Bingle Impala Platinum	Key Stakeholders Workshop; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Eskom's 400 kV Transmission lines need to have a normal ground clearance of 9.5m. Over roads Eskom allows for an extra 3m. Should large equipment need to be transported that requires a specific height clearance, Eskom's power line could be interrupted for a short period of e.g. 2 – 3 minutes. Mr John Geeringh, Eskom
In which direction, in terms of the corridors, would the power be distributed from the Ikaros Substation?	Clr Moses Phakwe Bojanala Platinum District Municipality	Public Meeting; Thursday, 21 February 2002	From the proposed Ikaros substation, power would be distributed to users via distribution lines. Power to the proposed Ikaros substation would be supplied by constructing a 400 kV Transmission line from the existing Matimba-Midas Transmission line, to a easterly direction via the proposed Ikaros substation through to Bighorn, and then returning back (400 kV Transmission line) in a westerly direction and connect again with the existing Matimba-Midas Transmission line. Mr Joggie van Staden: Bohlweki Environmental
It was enquired whether information regarding the flora & fauna within the proposed corridors is available?	Clr Moses Phakwe Bojanala Platinum District Municipality	Public Meeting; Thursday, 21 February 2002	The Draft Scoping Report reflects the main findings of the various specialist information obtained during the scoping. Mr Joggie van Staden: Bohlweki Environmental

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
<p>In principle, the delegate is not against development taking place. She mentioned that the proposed northern corridor might affect local communities in such a way that they might need to be relocated. She enquired how relocation issues would be addressed.</p> <p>Concern raised for residents in Kanana Village where there are various informal settlements.</p>	Ms Lillian Sefike College of Education Tlhabane	Public Meeting; Thursday, 21 February 2002 Comment on Draft Scoping Report	Issues raised during the scoping phase will be assessed during the EIA phase. Communication will take place with various communities identified within the proposed corridors. Once a route alignment has been identified, and it is unavoidable that communities would be directly affected such that relocation is a requirement, then Eskom would start negotiations with community leaders regarding the various options available for relocation or compensation. Mr Joggie van Staden: Bohlweki Environmental
Impala Mine will be sinking large shafts to the north east of the current mining infrastructure and for this reason they would prefer the route of the new transmission lines to cross their property on the south western side.	Mr Les Jagger Impala Platinum Limited	Comment of draft minutes of key stakeholder workshop; Wednesday, 27 March 2002	Comment noted and forwarded to environmental team.

Table 2: Route alignment issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
It was requested that the project team discuss the proposed route alignment with the mine personally.	Dr Hermanus Prinsloo Bafokeng Rasimone Platinum Mine	Key Stakeholders Workshop Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Request noted. The Draft Environmental Impact Report becomes available April for public review, it is envisaged that a preferred corridor would be indicated. Mr John Geeringh
Would prefer that transmission lines not to traverse property as it will only have negative impacts.	Mrs Grobler Property owner: Farm Frischgewaagd, Portion 2	Telephonic discussion on Wednesday, 27 March 2002	Comment noted.

Table 3: Substation issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
It is noted that there are alternative corridors indicated for the proposed Transmission line but no alternative for the proposed Ikaros substation site.	Dr Hermanus Prinsloo Bafokeng Rasimone Platinum Mine	Key Stakeholders Workshop; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	The area identified by Eskom for the proposed Ikaros Substation is 500ha, and within that 500ha area, Eskom identified 3 possible sites of ±36ha each. Detailed geotechnical studies will be undertaken to determine the soil structure and type beneath the proposed substation site, which can accommodate the weight of a 400 kV substation. Mr John Geeringh
Would Anglo Platinum's comments regarding the position of the proposed Ikaros Substation be incorporated into the final route alignment?	Mr Vis Kruger Anglo Platinum	Key Stakeholders Workshop; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	The EIA is currently in its scoping phase, which provides stakeholders the opportunity to communicate any issues or concerns with the EIA consultants. During the consultation process I&APs already indicated to the project team a proposed alternative, and this alternative would be investigated. The purpose of an EIA is to find the route and substation site that is most feasible for all. Mr John Geeringh
The Mining Act allows for a minimum ground level weight for surface developments / constructions in areas where underground mining activities are taking place.	Mr Mike Goosen Lonmin Platinum	Key Stakeholders Workshop; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Comment noted.

Table 4: Visual / aesthetic issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
It is assumed that Eskom would construct state-of-the-art powerlines to minimise the negative visual impact of Transmission lines.	Dr Hermanus Prinsloo Bafokeng Rasimone Platinum Mine	Key Stakeholders Workshop; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Cross Rope Suspension Towers will be use by this project, where feasible.

Table 5: Archaeological issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
Requested information regarding the specialist used by Bohlweki Environmental to identify the archaeological significance of the study areas.	Mr Ntsizi November SAHRA – North West	Key Stakeholders Workshop; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Full list of specialists involved in the EIA process provided. Ms Jo-Anne Thomas, Bohlweki Environmental
<p>It was pointed out that SAHRA, with relation to the heritage component within the EIA, prefer to use registered archaeologists. It was reiterated that there might be local specialists, but that these specialists may not be registered and due to that fact, their findings and recommendations would not be legal. He mentioned that SAHRA does not have a registered archaeologist recorded in the North West Province.</p> <p>It was also mentioned that the heritage sites that were identified during the scoping studies were only mentioned in the Draft Scoping Report and were not mapped. He said that, in terms of the Act, those archaeological sites needed to be mapped. Should the proposed development adversely affect a heritage site and it had to be moved, all alternatives would have to be reflected in the report, as well as all the future mitigation needed to be in place.</p>	Mr Ntsizi November SAHRA – North West	Key Stakeholders Workshop; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Eskom would ensure that, should any heritage or archaeological site be identified that is not yet mapped, that it would be mapped. Mr John Geeringh, Eskom

Table 6: Biophysical issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
Enquired whether areas that are highly invaded by alien species be identified to be used for developments such as this project whereby the proposed substation could be constructed instead of on pristine land.	Ms Lillian Sefike College of Education Tlhabane	Public Meeting; Thursday, 21 February 2002	The proposed substation would be constructed between all the mining activities already taking place in the area. Mr Bobby Richardson, Eskom
Any loss of Bospoort Dam's ecosystem through pollution or any other disturbance during construction of powerline?	Ms Lillian Sefike College of Education Tlhabane	Comment on Draft Scoping Report	Bospoort Dam will not be impacted on by the proposed project. This dam is located approximately 5 km away from the proposed Substation site.
36 ha required for Ikaros Substation may lead to the disturbance of the entire biodiversity at large.	Ms Lillian Sefike College of Education Tlhabane	Comment on Draft Scoping Report	The study area is largely disturbed due to human activity. There are few areas of natural vegetation and associated fauna. Sensitive areas have been identified. Detailed studies will be undertaken within an EIA in order to assess potential impacts and nominate a preferred substation site and Transmission line corridor.

Table 7: Avifauna issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
Reiterate that negative impacts on general important natural phenomenon be limited and those natural phenomenon that can be preserved, be preserved e.g. use of bird guards, etc.	Mr Ekkehard Pape Rustenburg Agricultural Union	Comment on draft minutes of public meeting received on Tuesday, 2 April 2002	Comment noted.
It is assumed that Eskom would assess the issue regarding the danger powerlines hold for birds, their breeding grounds, etc.	Dr Hermanus Prinsloo, Bafokeng Rasimone Platinum Mine	Key Stakeholders Workshop; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Eskom has a working partnership with EWT and Eskom also has a National Bird Guard Project where all Eskom towers are being made bird-unfriendly in certain places on the tower to protect the birds and power lines - Mr John Geeringh

Table 8: Environmental Impact Assessment issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
When will the EIA process be completed?	Mr Deon du Preez, Property owner of Rhenosterfontein 390 J, Portion 13	Key Stakeholders Workshop Wednesday, 20 February 2002	It is envisaged that the Draft EIA Report would be made available for public review by the end of April 2002 for a 30-day review period. After the 30-day public review period, all comments received on the draft report will be included in the EIA Report, which would then be forward to the Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism for their decision. Ms Jo-Anne Thomas, Bohlweki Environmental
It was commented that DEAT's attendance at the workshop was independent and objective. It would be DEAT's responsibility to give the final Record of Decision in terms of the project. DEAT would like to appeal to I&APs, especially affected landowners, to raise their concerns as early as possible in the public participation process. All minutes of meetings held with I&APs would be scrutinised by DEAT to verify whether the concerns rose by I&APs have been recorded and addressed. It was also mentioned that after DEAT's Record of Decision, there would be an appeal process, but it is important to notice that an appeal can only be lodged if there is proof that the person has been involved in the process. Mr Matabane urged the delegates to communicate with the project team and to forward all the information regarding future development plans they foresee within their organisation.	Mr Vincent Matabane National Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism	Key Stakeholders Workshop Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Comment noted.

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
Enquired whether the specialist studies are completed.	Mr Raymond van der Merwe, Landowner, Zephanjeskraal	Public Meeting; Thursday, 21 February 2002	Only desktop studies are completed and issues identified to date are reflected in the Draft Scoping Report. Detailed specialist studies would commence during the assessment phase. Mr Joggie van Staden, Bohlweki Environmental
It was stated that it is the assumption that a proper impact assessment would be conducted.	Ms Lillian Sefike College of Education,	Comment on Draft Scoping Report	The Scoping Report indicated that an EIA will be undertaken to assess significant impacts.

Table 9: Land-use issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
What rights do landowners have, should they not permit a powerline to traverse their property although the environmental studies indicate that there are no biophysical flaws?	Mr Deon du Preez ,Property owner of Rhenosterfontein 390 JP, Portion 13	Key Stakeholders Workshop Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Eskom would follow a negotiation process with the specific landowner and from that negotiation process a final alignment of the Transmission line will be determined. Ms Jo-Anne Thomas, Bohlweki Environmental In terms of Government Legislation, an EIA needs to be undertaken with every powerline proposed by Eskom. This assessment is to ensure that the best environmental solution is found for the corridor. Mr John Geeringh, Eskom
It was requested that when the specialists start their assessment studies in the area, they consult on a one on one basis with the landowners so that the landowner's knowledge about his property can be shared with the specialist.	Mr Raymond van der Merwe Landowner, Zephanjeskraal	Public Meeting Thursday, 21 February 2002	Specialists sometimes experience difficulty in contacting landowners when they are in the area to do their studies. Where possible, landowners will be contact.

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
<p>It was enquired whether it is standard practice that a landowner and Eskom can discuss the type of clearance that Eskom intends to do on certain portions of their property. He also mentioned that should there be construction infrastructure on a property, could the landowner negotiate to keep the infrastructure and choose not to have the cleared areas rehabilitated? The delegate also requested whether any costs would be involved for the landowner, should the contractor's construction infrastructure on a property be left behind</p>	<p>Unkown delegate</p>	<p>Key Stakeholders Workshop Wednesday, 20 February 2002</p>	<p>Should Eskom obtain the right to construct a powerline, Eskom's negotiator will negotiate with all the affected landowners and between them, they would come to an amiable agreement as to where the powerline would be positioned within the identified corridor. During the construction phase, the appointed contractor who also has a scope of work to fulfill will negotiate with the affected landowner regarding the site identified for the erection of a temporary construction camp.</p> <p>The delegates were also informed that, whatever agreement has been reached between the appointed contractor and the landowner, would be between these two parties. Mr John Geeringh, Eskom</p>
<p>Concern was expressed regarding the loss of potential high agricultural land due to the 36 ha needed for the proposed new Ikaros substation.</p>	<p>Ms Lillian Sefike College of Education, Tlhabane</p>	<p>Public Meeting; Thursday, 21 February 2002</p>	<p>The portion of land identified for the proposed Ikaros substation would traverses through turf soils, which may have agricultural potential. Mr Joggie van Staden, Bohlweki Environmental</p> <p>Current and future land-uses are required to be taken into consideration.</p> <p>500ha had been identified for the proposed Ikaros substation but that only 36ha would be required. Ms Carol Streaton, Eskom</p>

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
Requested to be informed whether any of Vodacom's Base Transceiver Stations (masts) are located within the proposed corridors. They fully receive their rights	Mr Henri Malan Vodacom	Key stakeholder workshop registration form; 22 February 2002	Co-ordinates requested from Vodacom via e-mail. Reply received informing project team that information is confidential. Technical team to plot down any masts positions and inform Vodacom accordingly. Nicolene Venter, Bohlweki Environmental
Reiterate that as little as possible agricultural land be used for the project.	Mr Ekkehard Pape Rustenburg Agricultural Union	Comment on draft minutes of public meeting received Tuesday, 2 April 2002	Comment noted.
Proposed development of ±400 1ha sites within a game farm model is being planned. Propose that an alternative route for the transmission lines be investigated. Property information: Farm Boschhoek 103 IQ – Portions 10, 24 & 25)	Mr RC Streak Urban Consult Town & Regional Planners, Pretoria	Fax received on 27 February 2002	Specific details of portions incorporated into the development model have been received, and in the event of being impacted on by the powerlines, Eskom is to negotiate a servitude and it is at this time that the alignment will be finalised.

Table 10: Health issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
Would it be necessary for the communities, located in close proximity of the proposed Ikaros substation, to undergo regular medical examinations for possible radiation infection?	Mr Daniël Sefike Anglo Platinum Rustenburg Section	Public Meeting; Thursday, 21 February 2002	Communities would not be closely located to the proposed new substation's equipment, and Eskom has a clearing restriction that need to be adhered to, which does not allow communities within distance from a substation. She said that the reason why a 400 kV Transmission line has a servitude of 55m, and the height of the power lines (from ground level) is to ensure that there is a safe distance between the electrical field and the ground Ms Carol Streaton, Eskom

Table 11: Safety issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
Electrocution mentioned only on large birds of prey, what about the communities residing next to the powerlines.	Ms Lillian Sefike College of Education Tlhabane	Comment on Draft Scoping Report	

Table 12: Economic issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
Will Eskom purchase the property that would be affected by the project, or will they only purchase the servitude?	Bakubung Tribal Authority	Bakubung Tribal Authority Meeting; Wednesday, 10 April 2002	Depending on the project requirements. Should there be a need for a service road or a fire-break area, or should a property be severely negative impacted (uneconomical for farming or for any other use), only then might Eskom negotiate with the landowner to purchase the property. Unless this option is foreseen, and only the servitude rights will be negotiated for and registered by Eskom.
Will Eskom reduce the electricity rates at the end of this project?	Bakubung Tribal Authority	Bakubung Tribal Authority Meeting; Wednesday, 10 April 2002	Electricity rates are controlled by the Distribution Department of Eskom and not by Transmission. Eskom Transmission only transmits electricity from powerstations to substations. Eskom Distribution supplies the electricity from the substations to consumers.

Table 13: General issues

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
It was commented that the outcome of projects of this nature cannot be decided by an individual, or in isolation, but are subject to the decision taken by the majority of affected parties.	Mr Vis Kruger Anglo Platinum	Key Stakeholders Meeting; Wednesday, 20 February 2002	The Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism is the authority that will make the final decision by taking into consideration the inputs received from I&APs.

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
<p>Would the project team consider using local experts in the various fields, as there are local experts? This was an issue that affects them as a community and that it is something that should involve the whole community.</p>	<p>Ms Corné Theunissen Greater Rustenburg Community Forum</p>	<p>Key Stakeholders Meeting Wednesday, 20 February 2002</p>	<p>Bohlweki Environmental put together an accredited specialists team that is well acquainted with the area, but do not necessarily live there. It was requested that should the delegate be aware of any local accredited experts in the Rustenburg area, to please forward the contact details to Bohlweki Environmental. Ms Jo-Anne Thomas, Bohlweki Environmental</p>
<p>Information regarding the contact person who represents Lonmin Platinum in discussions with Eskom, be provided. He would like to ensure that the right people at Lonmin Platinum are communicated with.</p>	<p>Mr Mike Goosen Lonmin Platinum</p>	<p>Key Stakeholders Meeting Wednesday, 20 February 2002</p>	<p>Information forwarded to delegate. Ms Nicolene Venter, Bohlweki Environmental Should delegates be aware of smaller mining operations / businesses in their vicinity, to forward information to project team. Mr Koos Roesstoff, Eskom</p>
<p>It was commented that it is the mining houses responsibility to ensure that the correct people are informed regarding projects such as this.</p>	<p>Mr Vis Kruger Anglo Platinum</p>	<p>Key Stakeholders Meeting Wednesday, 20 February 2002</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
<p>It was enquired from the delegates whether they are satisfied with the EIA and public participation process followed to date and do they agree that enough opportunities for comment was given. He also enquired whether the delegates feel comfortable with specialist appointed as presented by Ms Jo-Anne Thomas.</p>	<p>Mr John Geeringh Eskom,</p>	<p>Key Stakeholders Meeting Wednesday, 20 February 2002</p>	<p>Request noted.</p>
<p>It was enquired whether special permission would be needed by Eskom should the power lines need to be constructed close to an area where blasting would take place.</p>	<p>Mr Rudi Bingle Impala Platinum</p>	<p>Key Stakeholders Meeting Wednesday, 20 February 2002</p>	<p>Eskom would not construct any power lines or substations in areas closer than 500m from open cast mining where blasting activities take place. Mr John Geeringh</p>

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
It was commented whether a central information system can be made available to ensure that EIA activities are not duplicated in the area. It might also limit the expense for developers.	Mr Mike Goosen Lonmin Platinum	Key Stakeholders Meeting Wednesday, 20 February 2002	The Department of Environmental Affairs Tourism is working on a framework system to determine which developments are proposed provincially. It is not certain whether this framework has been completed yet. Mr Joggie van Staden, Bohlweki Environmental All EIAs are public documents and should be available to the public, and these public documents also allows for cross-referencing. Ms Jo-Anne Thomas, Bohlweki Environmental
It was commented that the Department of Mineral and Energy Affairs does not allow any structures or developments close to areas where blasting takes place.	Mr Mike Goosen Lonmin Platinum	Key Stakeholders Meeting Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Comment noted.
It was commented that a forum in the greater Rustenburg area was established in which the Royal Bafokeng Administration, Lonmin Platinum, Impala Platinum, etc are represented. This forum discussions the various projects that are taking place in the area.	Mr Vis Kruger Anglo Platinum	Key Stakeholders Meeting Wednesday, 20 February 2002	The Forum is represented at the meeting and Mr George Khunou is Chairperson of the Forum. Contact details are captured on project's database. Ms Nicolene Venter, Bohlweki Environmental
Delegates were requested to forward information relating to the project. It is imperative for Eskom to have all relevant information, which may have an impact on the proposed project, to assist Eskom to make an informed decision regarding the preferred corridor and the final route alignment.	Mr John Geeringh Eskom	Key Stakeholders Meeting Wednesday, 20 February 2002	Request noted.

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
It was enquired whether Eskom would involve local environmental organisations or external organisations.	Clr Disele Phologane Rustenburg Local Municipality	Public Meeting Thursday, 21 February 2002	Specialists appointed to undertake EIAs need to be qualified registered specialists with a recognised institution, have a track record of work done in the area, etc. It was requested that, should the Rustenburg Local Municipality be aware of any environmental specialist in their municipal boundaries, to please forward the information to Bohlweki Environmental. Mr Joggie van Staden, Bohlweki Environmental
It was enquired whether the criteria, as set by Bohlweki Environmental, can be made available to see how it can accommodate local contractors.	Clr Disele Phologane Rustenburg Local Municipality	Public Meeting Thursday, 21 February 2002	Limited companies are skilled and equipped to construct power lines according to Eskom's standards. Should a company want to do an EIA they need to register on Eskom's vendor list. Vendor's application form provided to delegate. Ms Carol Streaton, Eskom
What would the estimated construction cost of the power line be?	Unknown delegate Clr Moses Phakwe Bojanala Platinum District Council	Key Stakeholder Workshop Wednesday, 20 February 2002 Public Meeting Thursday, 21 February 2002	The basic costs for a powerline could be between R600 000 and R1m per kilometre. Ms Carol Streaton, Eskom
It was requested that information regarding the contractor(s) that would be involved with the construction of the powerline be made available to the Municipality.	Clr Disele Phologane Rustenburg Local Municipality	Public Meeting Thursday, 21 February 2002	Contracting companies that are being appointed by Eskom to do powerline and tower constructions, are international companies with local offices or are in partnership with local companies in South Africa. He reiterated that these companies only do specialised work like constructing powerlines all over the world. Mr Bobby Richardson, Eskom

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
What amount would Eskom pay should the proposed powerline traverse tribal property?	Chief Monnagotla Bakubung Tribe	One-on-one discussion Wednesday, 27 February	Eskom does not purchase property, they only register a servitude. Negotiations will take place between Eskom and the affected property owner. Ms Nicolene Venter, Bohlweki Environmental
Project team to address community regarding proposed project.	Chief Monnagotla Bakubung Tribe	One-on-one discussion Wednesday, 27 February	It was agreed that community meeting will be organized by Chief's daughter and inform project team regarding the date and time. Meeting could not be arranged to address the community but a consultative meeting took place with the Tribal Authority on Wednesday, 3 April 2002.
Project team to address councilors of the Moses Kotane Local Municipality.	Mayor Molelekeng Moses Kotane Local Municipality	One-on-one discussion Wednesday, 27 February	Project team addressed councillors on Friday, 1 March 2002.
Suggest environmental education programme to provide communities with skills and knowledge as to what sustainable development entails.	Ms Lillian Sefike College of Education Tlhabane	Comment on Draft Scoping Report	Comment noted.
Environment and development are interdependent. Without environmental protection and development, one cannot have an improved quality of life. Nonetheless, Ikaros substation is a development, which is believed to have the blessing of the entire Rustenburg community.	Ms Lillian Sefike College of Education Tlhabane	Comment on Draft Scoping Report	Comment noted.
Seeking employment with Eskom.	Mr Wilson Molatudi Klerksdorp	Letter received on 8 March 2002	Request noted and letter forwarded to Eskom.
Permission for entry onto property needs to be clarified before hand. Property is fenced and locked.	Mrs Grobler, Property Owner: Farm Frischgewaagd Portion 2	Telephonic discussion on Wednesday, 27 March 2002	Comment noted.

Issue	Raised By	When Raised	Response
<p>Support the project.</p> <p>Expressed concern regarding the fact that people are not aware of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the danger of electricity in general; and • the importance of having electricity <p>Requested that towers be constructed high enough so that children could not reach it, even if they should use a long wire.</p>	<p>Ms Ellen Mosabela Greater Rustenburg Community Forum</p>	<p>Comment on draft minutes of public meeting received Tuesday, 2 April 2002</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
<p>When is Eskom going to start with this construction?</p>	<p>Bakubung Tribal Authority</p>	<p>Bakubung Tribal Authority Meeting; Wednesday, 10 April 2002</p>	<p>Depending on the outcome of the environmental impact assessment that will include their recommendation, and after a Record of Decision is received from the authorities, it is envisaged that construction would commence by the end of 2002.</p> <p>When all of the above is in place, then the transmission line will be operational in 2004.</p>